

STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE 25

Auditing of Research Studies in WCTU

Version:	4.0
Approval Date:	08/07/2026
Effective Date:	22/07/2026
Review Date:	21/07/2028
Review Lead:	Christina May, Clinical Trials Monitor, WCTU
WCTU Reviewers:	Kerry Raynes, Trial Manager, WCTU Emily Long, Quality Assurance Manager, WCTU
Sponsor Reviewers:	Mathew Gane, Research Governance & QA Manager, R&IS
WCTU approval:	Natalie Strickland, Head of Operations, WCTU
Sponsor approval:	Carole Harris, Associate Director Research Culture, Governance and Compliance, R&IS

Revision Chronology:	Effective date:	Reason for change:
Version 4.0	22 July 2026	Biennial review: Added to new template; Updates to text to make more concise and to align with updated clinical trial regulations
Version 3.0	16 April 2024	Biennial review: Addition of clearer escalation strategy. Minor clarifications throughout.
Version 2.0	8 April 2022	Biennial review: Change to new format. Change of title. Updates to QA team procedures.
Version 1.5	23 December 2019	Change to new format. Updates to QA team procedures. Addition of new audit types.
Version 1.4	15 July 2016	Minor changes to text. Change to new format.
Version 1.3	9 December 2013	Addition of process to escalate an unsatisfactory response to an audit report and process for triggered audits.
Version 1.2	3 September 2012	Format change. Amended process to inform WCTU which WMS trials require audit.
Version 1.1	12 May 2010	Change WMSCTU to WCTU. Addition of section references for ICH and MRC GCP definition of audit. Amendment to list of report recipients.
Version 1.0	May 2008	

CONTENTS

1	PURPOSE AND SCOPE	3
2	ACRONYMS.....	3
3	DEFINITIONS	3
4	BACKGROUND.....	4
5	RESPONSIBILITIES	4
6	WHEN	4
7	HOW.....	5
7.1	NOTIFICATION OF AUDIT	5
7.2	CONDUCT OF AUDIT	5
7.3	WRITTEN REPORT OF AUDIT FINDINGS	5
7.4	RESPONSE TO WRITTEN REPORT, FOLLOW UP AND ESCALATION	6
7.5	TRIGGERED AUDITS	6

1 Purpose and Scope

To describe the audit procedures for WCTU where they have been delegated to undertake audits on behalf of the sponsoring organisation. This SOP specifically describes the processes for WCTU managed studies, including selection of studies to be audited, types of audits, procedures for carrying out different types of audits and reporting audit findings. It also describes the requirements for auditees to respond to audit reports and implement corrective actions.

This SOP is applicable to all staff involved in the conduct of research studies managed by WCTU. For studies sponsored by the University of Warwick, that are managed outside of WCTU, plans for auditing will be determined on a study-by-study basis and communicated to researchers by the sponsor's office.

2 Acronyms

CI	Chief Investigator
GC	Governance Committee
GCP	Good Clinical Practice
GDPR	General Data Protection Regulation
ICH	International Conference on Harmonisation
MHRA	Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency
PI	Principal Investigator
PIS	Patient Information Sheet
QA	Quality Assurance
QC	Quality Control
R&IS	Research & Impact Services
SAE	Serious Adverse Event
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure
SPM	Senior Project Manager
WCTU	Warwick Clinical Trials Unit

3 Definitions

Audit process	To evaluate whether the processes put in place to manage and conduct the trial are appropriate to ensure compliance with the protocol, GCP and the applicable regulatory requirements.
Auditee	A person or organisation that is audited.
Auditor	A person authorised, with appropriate training and experience to undertake audits
Trial Master File/ Document audits	A review of trial-specific essential records or system documentation (e.g. computer system validation package, protocols)
System audits	Looking at the functionality of complete systems (e.g. pharmacovigilance, data management)
Process audits	Looking at the performance of a specific process within systems (e.g., expectedness assessments in safety reporting, data query process)
Vendor audits	Assessment of external service providers (e.g. clinical trial drug supply companies, courier services).

SOP: 25

Title: Auditing of Research Studies in WCTU

Version: 4.0

Effective: 22/07/2026

4 Background

Sponsors are legally responsible for auditing research practice and assuring adherence to current legislation and guidelines. As such, it is necessary to audit research for which either the University is the lead sponsor, where a co-sponsorship agreement is in place which delegates audit activities to WCTU, or where WCTU are managing a trial on behalf of an external sponsor, against the standards of the UK Policy Framework for Health & Social Care Research 2017, the Medicines for Human Use (Clinical Trials) Regulations 2004 (as amended), where applicable, the approved trial documentation, UK laws (e.g. UK GDPR) and against the quality systems of GCP intrinsic to the regulations.

The purpose of an internal audit is to:

- Ensure participants' rights and welfare are being adequately protected
- Assist researchers with compliance to regulatory requirements and University policy
- Assure regulatory compliance, where applicable
- To assess whether staff working on the trial are appropriately trained, are clear about their role and are working to GCP, the protocol and SOPs or other key study documents
- To ensure that a high level of QC is being maintained (see SOP 19 'Quality Control')
- Prepare researchers for potential future external regulatory inspections
- Aid in identifying and correcting problem areas and provide suggestions to improve quality.

5 Responsibilities

QA Manager	• Ensure audits are planned and completed in accordance with this SOP. • Provide audit reports to research teams • Escalate outstanding issues to WCTU Governance Committee
Auditee	• Provide required records/access to systems to allow the audit to take place • Resolve and respond to audit findings within the agreed timeline
SPM	• Maintain oversight of audit activity on the portfolio • Support prioritisation of audit resolution
CI/Academic Lead	• Permit auditing by the sponsor's representatives and ensure responses to findings are returned, and actions are completed within the stipulated timeframe. The CI/Academic lead may delegate completion of actions and/or sending responses to an appropriate team member.
WCTU Governance Committee	• Receive system or process audit reports • Receive serious breach reports from study audits • Oversight of timely completion of audit actions • Follow up on escalated incomplete audit responses
Warwick Sponsorship and Oversight Committee	• Receive copies of audit reports from Warwick sponsored studies

6 When

The WCTU QA Managers will schedule audits as detailed in the annual audit plan. The plan is generated at the beginning of each year using an audit scheduling tool, which considers risk levels and prioritises higher risk studies and processes.

SOP: 25

Title: Auditing of Research Studies in WCTU

Version: 4.0

Effective: 22/07/2026

Where there is evidence of increased risks to a study or process, additional audits may be triggered outside of the annual plan.

Trials, processes, or vendors may also be audited on a voluntary basis (upon request or where there is a suspicion of non-compliance with regulations, SOPs or contracts).

7 How

7.1 Notification of Audit

The Auditor will notify the relevant personnel when an audit is due, in line with the annual audit plan or where an audit has been triggered due to concerns.

For all audits, the auditees will be informed, and a mutually convenient date agreed.

The audit notification will outline the scope and objectives of the audit, provide a list of the documents or access to databases and electronic files that will be required and state the estimated time the audit is expected to take. The notification will also identify the people who may be required and how findings will be reported back.

7.2 Conduct of Audit

- The audit will commence on the agreed date and information will usually be assessed and recorded using template report checklists tailored to the audit type. If on the day of the proposed audit, the auditee or auditor are unavailable, the audit may be postponed until another mutually convenient date can be found.
- Any questions that are identified at the time of the audit may be raised with the auditee or delegate.
- Every attempt will be made to complete the audit within the stipulated timeframe, but where additional time is required, this should be allowed by the auditee.
- Where possible the auditor should arrange to meet with the auditee after completion to summarise the nature of the findings and identify any areas of priority. SPMs or Academic leads (depending on the type of audit) should attend this meeting where possible to facilitate conversations around risk and priorities.

7.3 Written report of audit findings

- The Auditor should aim to send the auditee a written report within 28 calendar days identifying any areas of non-compliance and suggested actions to correct the non-compliance or to prevent recurrence.
- The report will include a table of the findings categorised by the grade of the finding.
 - o Serious Breach*
 - o Violation*
 - o Deviation*
 - o Observation -Any minor issues identified during the audit which do not fit the criteria above. For example, an essential document which is present but has been misfiled, old versions of documents not marked as 'superseded' etc.

*For further information on the category types and definitions please see [SOP 31](#) 'Deviations, Violations, Misconduct and Serious Breaches of GCP and/or Trial Protocol'.

- For system or process audits which look across multiple studies, the written report will be provided to the WCTU Governance Committee with recommendations for improvements.
- Findings from system/process audits relevant to a specific study, will be reported to the team concerned.

SOP: 25

Title: Auditing of Research Studies in WCTU

Version: 4.0

Effective: 22/07/2026

- For Warwick sponsored studies, information on completed audits will also be provided to the University's Sponsorship and Oversight Committee via WCTU's standard activity report.
- Where an audit has been undertaken by, or on behalf of, an external Sponsor or the organisation co-sponsoring the study, a copy of the report should be forwarded to the relevant sponsors' organisation by the auditor.
- If any findings potentially constitute a serious breach, actions should be taken in line with SOP 31 'Deviations, Violations, Misconduct and Serious Breaches of GCP and/or Trial Protocol'.

7.4 Response to written report, follow up and escalation


For study-specific audits, it is the task of the auditee to respond to any findings on behalf of the CI. It remains the responsibility of the CI to ensure the required actions are taken to remedy any issues or non-compliances detailed in the report within the timelines indicated.

For system or process audits covering multiple studies across the portfolio, relevant actions will be assigned to a member of the study team who will coordinate the response on behalf of the CIs, who will be responsible for ensuring the required actions are completed. If an audit is not study-linked, an appropriate lead will be identified who will be responsible for resolution.

Trial Management Group meetings must review outstanding audit actions to ensure timely completion. The WCTU Governance Committee will receive study-specific updates on expected timelines and escalation paths for unresolved findings. This enables oversight and allows the committee to form targeted action groups when necessary..

The audit will be closed by the auditor following receipt of confirmation from the auditee (or delegate) that all required actions have been completed. The auditee may need to provide evidence if requested.

Figure 1: Audit timelines and escalation for unresolved findings



7.5 Triggered audits

Where information comes to light regarding any allegations or evidence of systematic non-compliance with a study protocol, GCP principles or regulatory requirements, or where a 'trigger' as specified in the study's Monitoring Plan occurs, a triggered or 'for cause' audit will be instigated.

This could be at WCTU, vendor site, or due to issues identified at a study recruiting site e.g., data anomalies or a higher frequency of errors, protocol violations, persistently late reporting of SAEs, etc.

The procedure for these audits and subsequent escalation (as necessary) will follow that described above, but with a particular focus on the activity or issue causing concern. A full report will be issued and sent to the auditee and the PI at the site if applicable.