

Accepted Manuscript

BJGP OPEN

Promoting parkrun to patients using the general practice website: a qualitative exploration of ‘parkrun practice’ websites

Fleming Joanna; Mensah Rebecca

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3399/BJGPO.2020.110>

To access the most recent version of this article, please click the DOI URL in the line above.

Received 22 June 2020

Revised 16 August 2020

Accepted 20 August 2020

© 2020 The Author(s). This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). Published by BJGP Open. For editorial process and policies, see: <https://bjgpopen.org/authors/bjgp-open-editorial-process-and-policies>

When citing this article please include the DOI provided above.

Author Accepted Manuscript

This is an ‘author accepted manuscript’: a manuscript that has been accepted for publication in BJGP Open, but which has not yet undergone subediting, typesetting, or correction. Errors discovered and corrected during this process may materially alter the content of this manuscript, and the latest published version (the Version of Record) should be used in preference to any preceding versions

Title: Promoting parkrun to patients using the general practice website: a qualitative exploration of 'parkrun practice' websites

Running title: Promoting parkrun using the general practice website

Authors:

Dr Jo Fleming, BSc. MSc. PhD. Research Fellow, Unit of Academic Primary Care, University of Warwick

Rebecca Mensah, BSc. Medical Student, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick

Key words

Qualitative research, health promotion, information technology

Accepted Manuscript – BJGPO – BJGPO:2020.110

Abstract

Background: The “parkrun practice initiative” is a widely accessible and low cost approach in primary care to promoting patient and staff health and wellbeing through increased physical activity and volunteering opportunities. The parkrun practice Toolkit offers guidance to practices. One recommendation is to ‘*include a parkrun page on the practice website, or a link to the parkrun website*’. How practices are presenting this information is unknown.

Aim: To explore how parkrun practices are using their websites to promote parkrun, including the content and format of information presented and variety of promotion methods used, in order to provide recommendations for inclusion in the Toolkit.

Design and Setting: Qualitative content analysis of a sample of parkrun practice websites.

Method: The websites of 114 practices who reported using their websites for parkrun promotion were to systematically search and the content analysed.

Results: Five main types of content were identified, namely, what parkrun/parkrun practice is; activities and events; addressing patients’ concerns; benefits of parkrun; and practical information. While there were similarities in the information provided, there were variations in presentation. Websites ranged from being extensive and highly informative, to minimal amounts of text or solely images.

Conclusion: Findings highlight the variability which currently exists across parkrun practice websites. Including a link on the homepage would assist patients to find information on “parkrun” and clarify the information which would be found. We make suggestions on the type of information and how it could be presented, to be further developed for inclusion in the Toolkit.

Key words

Qualitative research, health promotion, information technology

How this fits in

The internet has become a key tool for obtaining health information by the public and while general practice websites in the UK offer a wider range of information, they may not fully exploit the potential for patient communication. The parkrun practice initiative, encourages practices to link with their local parkrun events and practices can use the parkrun practice for guidance on the types of activities which the practice can undertake, though none are mandatory and creativity is encouraged. The extent to which parkrun practices are using their practice websites is unknown and so this study explores how registered parkrun practices are using their websites to promote parkrun and in doing so, identifies implications for practice.

Introduction

The parkrun practice initiative, launched by parkrun UK and the Royal College of General Practitioners (RCGP) in June 2018, is a widely accessible and low cost approach in primary care to promoting patient and staff health and wellbeing through increased physical activity and volunteering opportunities.¹ It encourages practices to link with local parkrun(s)^{1,2} and to date, almost 1500 practices have registered. The signposting of patients to non-medical, community and social activities, known as 'social prescribing' is becoming more common as a way to help patients manage and prevent illness, thereby improving their health and wellbeing.³ The parkrun practice initiative is one way in which a voluntary community organisation is being embedded into the wider primary care context. Practices can use the parkrun practice Toolkit¹ which offers guidance on activities, e.g. displaying parkrun information on television screens; sharing flyers with patients/colleagues. One recommendation is to '*include a parkrun page on the practice website, or a link to the parkrun website*'. How practices are presenting this information is unknown.

The internet is a key tool for the public to obtain health information.⁴ While parkrun practices are not delivering an internet intervention⁵, the website offers a medium for patients to learn about parkrun, its benefits and how to become involved. Practice websites offer a wider range of information, and while technically satisfactory, they often do not fully exploit the potential for electronic doctor-patient communication⁶ and can be incomplete or lack coherence and strategy⁷. As such, dissemination of public information is not always maximised.

The aim of this study is to explore how parkrun practices use their websites to promote parkrun by: 1) examining the content and format of the information presented; 2) examining

the variety of promotion methods used; 3) providing suggestions for how practices could use their website to promote parkrun.

Method

Design

A qualitative examination of a sample of practices who completed an online survey as part of a larger study about being a parkrun practice. A detailed explanation of the survey and sampling is published elsewhere.⁸ In the current study, practices were those who responded 'undertaken' to the following question: '*which activities suggested in the parkrun practice Toolkit have you adopted? - Include a parkrun page on the practice website, or a link to the parkrun website*'.

Sample

An online survey to all 780 parkrun practices (n=780) in the UK,⁸ whereby 306 (39.2%) completed it. Of these, 114/306 (37.3%) reported "including a parkrun page on the ir website, or link to the parkrun website", and were included in the current study.

Data Collection

Each website was systematically searched to identify parkrun content (October 2019). A small sample was initially searched, with information location recorded, e.g. homepage, news page. Many practices used a similar format, which provided a systematic approach to searching each one. Where present, pages were searched in the following order: homepage, news, newsletter, practice information, patient information, health advice, self-help, physical activity and fitness, social prescribing, services and events. If no parkrun content was found, the search tool was used.

Screenshots of all parkrun content were saved. A data extraction proforma was used to collect descriptive data (e.g. information location, ease of finding). Ease of finding was determined by the number of 'clicks' needed to take the website user from the homepage to the specific parkrun page (**Supplementary File 1**).

Due to data collection being website information available in the public domain, no ethical approval was required.

Analysis

A content analysis was used to analyse the screenshots uploaded to NVivo 12. Content analysis explores and analyses the correlation between texts and possible themes or concepts.⁹ The analysis included the following components: 1) Reading and familiarising with the data; 2) Types of content noted and codes created; 3) Coding of data, primarily by one researcher with subsets of data coded by a second researcher to ensure accuracy. Where discrepancies were present in coding, the two researchers discussed these to reach an agreement. All discrepancies were resolved without the need for a third researcher. One researcher conducted this primary analysis, with a second involved in subsequent analytical discussions to describe the data within the context of the study aim.

Results

One-hundred and fourteen practices said they had '*Included a parkrun page on the practice website, or a link to the parkrun website*'. Practice characteristics are shown in **Table 1**.

Almost half (47.4%) had between 4000-16000 registered patients. Most (90.4%) were linked with one parkrun, but three (2.6%) were linked with five or more. In two thirds (68.4%), the closest linked parkrun was within the practice's catchment area. Almost two-thirds (63.5%) had no involvement with parkrun prior to the initiative, and 43.9% a little prior involvement. Of the 114 practices reporting using their website, 79/114 (69.3%) were currently doing this.

Website characteristics

Data was recorded about type, location and frequency of information presented on practice websites (**Table 2**). Over half (43/79; 54.4%) provided some parkrun information on their homepage, while for some, this was located in dated news bulletins, or downloadable newsletters. Over a quarter used these to provide current news (23/79; 29.1%). While easy to find at the time of publication, this was more difficult once archived. Just under a quarter (18/79; 22.8%) had information in other areas, including social prescribing pages, practice information and self-help pages. To find information here, websites were manually searched. Text alone was the most common format, with those not using text, commonly using the official parkrun logo or flyer. Many practices used the same text and bullet point layout, made available in the Toolkit.¹ A commonly used image, available in the Toolkit as an official flyer¹ involved a group of people of mixed age and gender participating together (**Figure 1**).

Other images included mothers running with buggies and people running with dogs on leads. Some practices used social media (e.g. Twitter, Facebook) to promote parkrun or to post images from recent events or notices, and/or YouTube videos.

Five types of content were identified:

- What parkrun / parkrun practice is
- parkrun practice activities and events
- Addressing patients' concerns about participating in parkrun
- Benefits of parkrun (health and other)
- Practical information

Table 3 shows how often this information was presented across the 79 parkrun practices.

The most common were 'what parkrun/parkrun practice is' (65/79; 82.3%), and practical information (60/79; 75.9%). Reporting activities and events was least common with 28/79 (35.4%) doing this.

What parkrun / parkrun practice is

Details about what parkrun is were similar across websites with the same terminology used, namely, '*free, weekly, timed 5km events taking place every Saturday morning*'. Some stated solely that they were a 'parkrun practice', whereas others explained more about the partnership and its aims and objectives. Use of official parkrun literature provided some consistency.

parkrun activities and events

Some practices provided information about activities they had done or were planning, such as 'parkrun volunteer takeovers' where staff take on all the volunteering roles at their linked parkrun on a specific date (e.g. marshalling, time keeping, barcode scanning). This was often accompanied with photos of staff wearing volunteer jackets. Some practices had completed a parkrun together and showed photos of staff at the event. There was sometimes mention of recurring occasions when staff participated in parkrun, e.g. '*our practice meets on the first Saturday of every month*', or future plans to complete a parkrun takeover, '*On the 10th November we will be staffing the [park name] event. Why not come and join us?*'

Sometimes, parkrun information was located alongside information about other physical activities, including the cancer support group 5k Your Way¹⁰, walking groups and 65+ dancing groups.

Addressing patients' concerns about participating in parkrun

Concerns addressed included ability, speed, fears, and support. parkrun was described by some as not being a race and patients did not need to be experienced, e.g. *'we were all really pleased to learn that parkrun is NOT A RACE'*. There was reassurance about suitability for all abilities, *'[parkrun is] open to everyone, including those who are inactive or have health conditions or disability'*. It was made clear that speed did not matter and patients are free to go at a pace that suits them. Images to show different groups of people running together, with a sense of enjoyment were frequently used. The most commonly used (**Figure 1**), is the parkrun flyer available in the Toolkit.¹

Figure 1: Most commonly used image

Benefits of parkrun (health and other)

Described benefits included both health and other benefits. Health benefits included improved fitness, physical and mental health and social wellbeing. parkrun was commonly described as *'a great way to cope with health problems'*, or to lessen the impact of chronic health conditions. Some gave specific examples of where parkrun could be beneficial, such as diabetes management, weight loss and mental health e.g. depression, anxiety and low self-esteem. A commonly described benefit was social wellbeing. Many referenced the welcoming and supportive community of parkrun which could create a good opportunity to socialise and make friends, for example post-event socialising, *'maybe enjoy a nice coffee and chat at the end'*.

Practical Information

Details on how to prepare and what to expect at parkrun were present on some websites. Some gave direct links to the parkrun registration page or homepage. Details of parkrun location were often varied; some specifically named the venue, while others provided postcodes and maps detailing meeting points. Little information was given about running route, terrain and number of laps, however if a link to the event website was included this

was detailed here. Some practices provided details of people they could contact for more information, ranging from '*any member of the practice team*', to named staff members.

Discussion

Summary

This study identifies the content and format of information provided by parkrun practice websites in the promotion of parkrun to their patients. While there were some similarities in the text and images used, there were variations in layout and presentation. Websites ranged from being extensive and highly informative, to having minimal amounts of text or images. Findings demonstrate the variability which currently exists across websites and provides suggestions for information to be included. With refinement, this information could be an addition to the Toolkit. Findings highlight the importance of practices becoming further embedded within their local communities and signposting patients to existing community services, as well as the importance of the accessibility of updated and timely information on practice websites, ease of access and user-friendliness.

Strengths and Limitations

This is the first study to investigate information provided on parkrun practice websites where analysis of the content has enabled a detailed investigation. The data is based on practices responding to a survey sent in April 2019; less than a year since the initiative started and so some had not been part of the initiative for long. Half (105/306; 50.7%) reported '*intending to use their website to promote parkrun*'. It is likely that over 12 months on, there will be more practices utilising their websites. Furthermore, those already using them may have increased or improved the information being provided, although it is likely that the issues of variability still remain.

Comparison with existing literature

The GP Patient Survey is an independent survey run on behalf of NHS England. It is sent out to over two million people across the UK each year to assess how people feel about their practice. In 2019, it showed just under two-fifths of patients (38.2%) tried to use their practice website to access information or services; an increase of 2.7% compared to 2018.¹¹ Of patients who tried, 77% found it easy to use.¹¹ Previous research has found webpages that

receive high scores of pleasure correlate with low and medium levels of complexity; simple and moderately complex websites were liked more¹². The homepage is the first aspect visitors will see. In the current study, practices where parkrun information or link was located on the homepage were easy to navigate. Having information clearly visible on the homepage may allow patients to chance upon it and take interest. However parkrun is likely to be competing with other important aspects such as information regarding registering and appointments and therefore ways in which parkrun can be incorporated, e.g. a clearly visible link to further information, may help this.

A number of factors are important in contributing to attendance and sustained involvement in parkrun, including event accessibility, opportunities for social interaction, the outdoor environment and volunteering¹³. The majority of websites portrayed parkrun as an event 'open to everyone' where 'all are welcome', which aligns with findings of 'reciprocity' and 'freedom'.¹³ Two thirds of practices had a parkrun within the practice catchment area. This said, recent findings have shown, that improving geographical access, by creating new parkrun events is unlikely to substantially increase the number of participants from lower socio-economic groups.¹⁴ Having a nearby parkrun and available website information, is only a small part of this initiative.

Addressing concerns and challenging perceptions of parkrun, such as speed, fear and ability was prominent across websites. The parkrun PROVE project, which aims to increase engagement in parkrun by those living with long-term health conditions, has shown success to be dependent on parkrun being accepted as an activity appropriate for all abilities and breaking down any misconceptions that could act as a deterrent¹⁵. Our findings show many practices addressing these issues. However, further information specific to patient groups, such as those with long-term health conditions may be useful. Websites could further highlight the benefits of parkrun to specific patient populations and/or patients who have benefitted from engaging in parkrun could become local practice champions who are willing to share their success stories and empower other patients with similar conditions, using the website and other mediums, such as social media to portray this.

Implications for research and/or practice – future directions

Using the practice website is just one activity suggested in the Toolkit. The initiative is low maintenance, with practices left to do what suits them best. Further guidance on how a webpage might look, and what information to include could be beneficial. Where practices are already pushed for time to carry out activities⁸ and with heavy practice staff workloads¹⁶ making website use as easy as possible may increase adoption.

Collating evidence from practices who currently use their websites to promote parkrun, has identified a possible template which could be added to the Toolkit and tested (**Figure 2**).

Figure 2: Parkrun practice information

It is unknown how many people visit practice websites for parkrun information or generally. Further research including hit rates for specific pages, alongside research with patients assessing the ease of accessing information would be useful in understanding their use and how to make more targeted evidence-based recommendations. Used in combination with other suggested Toolkit activities, an improved website may help increase patient participation.

Of the 114 practices who said they used their website, only 79/114 (69.3%) were currently doing so. This could be due for example to, not locating the information, or information being removed, or not yet added. Future research could include learning about the challenges associated with keeping website information current and how this could be overcome. While this study did not look specifically at social media, some had links to these. Further exploration on how practices could use social media to promote parkrun and how this might influence patients' actions in conjunction with website use would be beneficial.

Funding: This study formed part of a medical student Rebecca Mensah's third year research project (SSC2).

Ethical Approval: This study was checked by the University of Warwick Ethics Committee (BSREC) who confirmed that due to the nature of the data collection and analysis, no ethical approval was required.

Competing Interests: Dr Jo Fleming is a parkrun participant.

References:

1. Royal College of General Practitioners (RCGP) <https://r1.dotdigital-pages.com/p/49LX-52M/parkrunpractice> [Accessed 18th March 2020]
2. Fleming J. parkrun: increasing physical activity in primary care. *Br J Gen Pract* 2019; **69(687)**:483-484
3. Husk K, Blockley K, Lovell R, *et al*. What approaches to social prescribing work, for whom, and in what circumstances? A realist review. *Health Soc Care Community* 2019;00:1-16.

4. Brouwer W, Kroeze W, Crutzen R, *et al.* Which intervention characteristics are related to more exposure to internet-delivered healthy lifestyle promotion interventions? A systematic review. *J Med Internet Res* 2011, **13(1)**: 1-19.
5. Rogers MAM, Lemmen K, Kramer R, *et al.* (2017) Internet-delivered health interventions that work: systematic review of meta-analyses and evaluation of website availability. *J Med Internet Res* 2017, **19(3)**: e90.
6. Howitt A, Clement S, de Lusigan S, *et al.* An evaluation of general practice websites in the UK. *Fam Pract* 2002, **19(5)**: 547-556.
7. Anderson H, Adamson J, Birks Y. Presenting the primary care team to the public: a qualitative exploration of general practice websites. *Br J Gen Pract* 2018, **68(668)**: e178-e186.
8. Fleming J, Bryce C, Parsons J, Wellington C, Dale J. (2020). General practice engagement with and delivery of the 'parkrun practice initiative': a mixed method study, *British Journal of General Practice* 2020; 70 (697): e573 e580
9. Jose A, Lee S. Environmental reporting of global corporations: A content analysis based on website disclosures. *J Bus Ethics* 2007; 72(4), 307-321.
10. 5k Your Way: Move Against Cancer <https://5kyourway.org/> [Accessed 16th August 2020]
11. NHS England (2019) GP Patient Survey 2019. Available from <http://www.england.nhs.uk/statistics> [Accessed 18th March 2020]
12. Pandir M, Knight J. Homepage aesthetics: The search for preference factors and the challenges of subjectivity. *Interact Comput* 2006, **18(6)**: 1351-1370.
13. Stevinson C, Wiltshire G, Hickson M. Facilitating participation in health-enhancing physical activity: a qualitative study of parkrun. *Int J Behav Med* 2015, **22**: 170-177.
14. Schneider PP, Smith RA, Bullas AM *et al.* Where should new parkrun events be located? Modelling the potential impact of 200 new events on geographical and socioeconomic inequalities in access and participation. MedRxiv 2019; doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/19004143>
15. Quirk H, Haake S. How can we get more people with long-term health conditions involved in parkrun? A qualitative study evaluating parkrun's PROVE project. *BMC Sports Sci Med Rehabil* 2019, **11**: 22.
16. Croxson CHD, Ashdown HF, Hobbs R. GPs' perceptions of workload in England: a qualitative interview study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2017, **67(655)**: e138-e147

Table 1: Practice Characteristics

Characteristic	Value	N (%)
Number of patients registered at practice	Less than 4000	2 (1.8)
	4000-8000	26 (22.8)
	12000-16000	28 (24.6)
	16000-20000	16 (14)
	More than 20000	8 (7)
Number of parkruns linked to	0	1 (0.9)
	1	103 (90.4)
	2	3 (2.6)
	3	2 (1.8)
	4	0 (0)
	5 or more	3 (2.6)
	Not stated	2 (1.8)
Closest parkrun is within patient catchment area	Yes	78 (68.4)
	No	34 (29.8)
	Not stated	2 (1.8)
Practice had involvement with parkrun prior to initiative	Yes, a lot	2 (1.8)
	Yes, a little	50 (43.9)
	None at all	61 (63.5)
	Don't know	1 (0.9)

Table 2: Type, location and frequency of information presented

Type of information	N (%) including this
Content and Location	
parkrun information on homepage	18 (22.8)
Link to parkrun information on homepage	19 (24.1)
parkrun logo on homepage	6 (7.6)
parkrun information on news page	19 (24.1)
parkrun information in newsletter	4 (5.1)
parkrun information in other areas of website	18 (22.8)
Use of official parkrun literature (e.g. leaflets)	42 (53.2)
Links to other health initiatives	19 (24.1)
Use of social media (e.g. Facebook, YouTube, news articles)	20 (25.3)

Table 3: Presentation of types of information

Type of information	Number of parkrun practices presenting this information (%)
What parkrun / parkrun practice is	65 (82.3)
Parkrun activities and events	28 (35.4)
Addressing patients' concerns about participating in parkrun	54 (68.4)
Health benefits of parkrun	40 (50.6)
Other (non-health) benefits of parkrun	42 (53.2)
Practical information	60 (75.9%)

Accepted Manuscript – BJGPO – BJGPO.2020.710

Figure 1: Most commonly used image¹



Accepted Manuscript – BJJG

Figure 2: Parkrun practice information

A link located on practice homepage where possible:

What is parkrun? What is a parkrun practice?

- Explaining that parkrun is a free 5k event that takes place every Saturday at 9am.
- Explaining that a parkrun practice is committed to raising awareness of parkrun in its community and promoting the health and wellbeing of staff, patients and carers.
- Why RCGP is recommending it
- Explaining that parkrun is both a national and international event (happens all over the UK and even the world)
- Link to parkrun registration

Health and other benefits of parkrun

- Explaining that parkrun can have a positive impact on physical and mental health.
- Examples of specific conditions which could benefit.
- Patients who do not feel confident in walking / running 5km can carry out a volunteering role which also has evidence in increasing physical and mental wellbeing, as allows patients to be active, be part of a community and outdoors.

Addressing patient concerns

- Addressing concerns regarding as ability, speed, fears, inclusivity and support which is available, may help patients may feel more reassured and confident in taking part.

Practical information

- Information about the linked parkrun(s). This might include a link to the parkrun webpage, or the practice may describe it, e.g. the running surface, number of laps. Where the event has multiple laps, the practice could highlight that patients can start with one lap to begin with.
- Information on there the parkrun takes place, what to expect before, during and after. Mention of the pre-event briefing for first timers.
- Contact details of a practice staff member should a patient want further information about parkrun.

Images / News

- Images highlighting real parkrun participants of a range of ages and abilities may help to reinforce the inclusivity of the event, as well as photos of families and dog owners.
- Photos of the practice and patients taking part in parkrun
- Regular news updates / images of practice staff and/or patients taking part