



Feasibility of Training Community Health Workers in the Detection of Oral Cancer

Vipin Thampi, MPH; Roopa Hariprasad, DGO; Amrita John, MPH; Suzanne Nethan, MDS; Kavitha Dhanasekaran, MD; Vipin Kumar, MCA; Praveen Birur, MDS; J. S. Thakur, MD; Richard Lilford, PhD; Nasir M. Rajpoot, PhD; Paramjit Gill, DM

Abstract

IMPORTANCE Visual screening for oral cancer has been found to be useful in a large randomized clinical trial in Kerala, India, showing substantial reduction in mortality. To address the shortage of medical personnel in resource-deficient regions, using the services of community health workers has been proposed as a strategy to fill the gap in human resources in health care.

OBJECTIVE To assess the feasibility of community health workers in screening and early detection of oral cancer using a mobile application capturing system.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS A cross-sectional study using a household sample was conducted in 10 areas of Gautam Budhnagar district, Uttar Pradesh, India, from January 31, 2020, to March 31, 2021, to assess the feasibility of identification of oral lesions by community health workers using a mobile phone application compared with diagnosis by trained dentists in a screening clinic. Men and women aged 30 years or older as well as tobacco users younger than 30 years were eligible for screening.

INTERVENTIONS Screening by trained community health workers vs dentists.

RESULTS A total of 1200 participants were screened by the community health workers during their home visits; of these, 1018 participants (526 [51.7%] men; mean [SD] age, 35 [16] years) were also referred and screened by the dentists at a clinic. There was near-perfect agreement ($\kappa = 0.9$) between the findings of the community health workers and the dentists in identifying the positive or negative cases with overall sensitivity of 96.69% (95% CI, 94.15%-98.33%) and specificity of identification of 98.69% (95% CI, 97.52%-99.40%).

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE In this cross-sectional study, trained community health workers were able after initial supervision by qualified dentists to perform oral cancer screening programs. These findings suggest that community health workers can perform this screening in resource-constrained settings.

JAMA Network Open. 2022;5(1):e2144022. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.44022

Introduction

The oral cancer burden in India is increasing, with 135 929 new cases and 75 290 deaths reported in 2020 and age-standardized incidence (9.8%) and mortality (5.4%) rates.¹ India also contributes to one-third of the global oral cancer burden.² Potentially malignant oral disorders include a variety of lesions and conditions characterized by an increased risk for malignant transformation.³ Screening may play an important role; for example, a large randomized clinical trial conducted more than 20 years ago in Kerala, India, showed a significant reduction in mortality.⁴⁻⁶ In this trial, nonmedical university graduates were trained to conduct oral visual inspection,⁴ and further research is warranted.^{5,6}

Open Access. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License.

Key Points

Question Is it feasible for community health workers to screen for oral cancer using a mobile application capturing system?

Findings In this cross-sectional study, 1200 participants were screened and the findings were compared with those of a subgroup who were also screened by dentists. There was near-perfect agreement between the findings of the community health workers and dentists in identifying positive or negative cases of oral lesions.

Meaning The findings of this study suggest that it is feasible to train community health workers to perform oral cancer screening in resource-limited settings.

+ Supplemental content

Author affiliations and article information are listed at the end of this article.

The lower-cost, scalable option of community health worker-led oral cancer screening may be an important alternative.⁷ For example, accredited social health activists in India may be able to undertake these services; there is 1 accredited social health activist per 1000 residents who currently undertakes a variety of tasks including screening for noncommunicable diseases.⁸ Furthermore, community health workers contributed substantially to a policy program (Ayushman Bharat) that includes the National Programme for Prevention and Control of Cancer, Diabetes, Cardiovascular Diseases, and Stroke that is being gradually implemented nationally in India.⁹ Community health workers may be crucial in facing the growing challenge of health care access and delivery, but their role in low- and middle-income countries is less well studied.¹⁰

Earlier studies in which community health workers were provided a mobile phone with an application for oral cancer screening support the concept of integrating health and technology to improve public health surveillance.⁷ The electronic data captured have the advantage of maintenance of medical records, patient monitoring, and cloud-based storage service.¹¹ However, there are few studies assessing the utility of community health workers in early oral cancer detection through a technology-based platform with follow-up and smoking counseling.⁷ Hence, the present study was undertaken to assess the feasibility of community health workers in screening and early detection of oral cancer using a mobile application capturing system.

Methods

Study Design and Setting

We conducted a cross-sectional analytical pilot study with a sample size of 1200 participants from 10 areas of Gautam Budh Nagar district, Uttar Pradesh, India. Uttar Pradesh reported a tobacco use prevalence of 35.5% as per the Global Adult Tobacco Survey in 2015-2016, which is higher than the national average of 28.6% in India.¹² Gautam Budh Nagar district was chosen from Uttar Pradesh as the study area owing to its close proximity to our organization (Indian Council of Medical Research, National Institute of Cancer Prevention and Research, referred to as HPC from here on) for accessing the screening services by the participants with ease when referred. The study was performed from January 31, 2020, to March 31, 2021, and screening was conducted in the same period. Ethics approval for the study was obtained from the University of Warwick, Coventry, UK, and the Indian Council of Medical Research in India. Written and verbal informed consent were obtained in the local language (Hindi); participants did not receive financial compensation. This study followed the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) reporting guideline.

Training of Community Health Workers

A 1-day hands-on training workshop was conducted at the HPC for the 10 selected community health workers to (1) systematically enumerate households and individuals using a household form; (2) interview the eligible individuals to elicit and record information on sociodemographic factors and tobacco and alcohol habits using the mobile application device; (3) perform a systematic visual inspection of the buccal and labial mucosa, gingivae, Buccoalveolar sulci, tongue, palate, and floor of the mouth under adequate lighting and using 2 disposable wooden spatulas; (4) identify any oral suspicious white and red lesions, trismus, and ulcers and growths suggestive of oral cancer, along with the referral criteria to the dental practitioner at our organization; and (5) photograph the oral cavity using the camera in the mobile phone.

These sessions were led by trained dentists from the organization (Indian Council of Medical Research) (V.T. and A.J.). The oral cancer screening application on the mobile phones of community health workers was used for training and data-capturing purposes. A training manual prepared by the faculty in the vernacular Hindi language for community health workers that provided descriptive and photographic documentation enabling identification of the different types of oral lesions was used as the resource material for the training.

A kit was provided to the community health workers containing tongue depressors, disposable masks, disposable gloves, flashlight, red medical waste bags, hand sanitizers, mobile phone for data entry, an easy-to-use reference manual for identification of oral lesions, and consent forms and referral cards in the local language. At the end of the training session, written tests were conducted to evaluate the level of understanding in identifying normal and abnormal lesions, use of the mobile application, and the knowledge gained by the community health workers. The top 5 ranked community health workers were first chosen to conduct screening and the remaining 5 community health workers received further training before deployment in the field. They were all paid for undertaking this extra screening activity.

Study Population and Approach

All homes in the 10 areas were eligible for the screening program. As per the national guidelines for population cancer screening, all men and women aged 30 years or older, as well as tobacco users younger than 30 years, were eligible for screening.¹³

At the start of the program, a health awareness event was organized to encourage uptake. Then, the community health workers identified eligible individuals with the information provided in the household listing forms. Screening was done primarily by community health workers, with assistance from the dentists and a nurse during the initial days of the study. Specifically, the community health workers screened individuals using a mobile phone-based questionnaire (eAppendix 1 in the [Supplement](#)). During the first 3 months of the study, an average of 10 to 12 participants were screened per day and screening was stopped due to the COVID-19 lockdown in India from March 25 to May 31, 2020. Screening was gradually restarted in June 2020, with 5 to 6 participants screened per day with due consideration of adherence to COVID-19 guidelines. Capturing and uploading the photographs including normal mucosa and abnormal lesions in the oral cavity of the participants were undertaken using the oral cancer screening mobile application in the provided android phone (Redmi Note 9; Xiaomi Inc). The images were captured in autofocus and auto flash modes. If the community health worker noted the presence of any oral potentially malignant disorders (eg, white, red, or mixed lesions; ulcers; and growth masses), apparently normal mucosa, and apparently normal mucosa, they informed the participant. Yellow referral cards were provided to the participants with normal findings. Red cards were provided to participants with suspected oral lesions, and they were encouraged to visit the HPC for further examination by the dentists and recording of appropriate details in the online clinic (eAppendix 2 in the [Supplement](#)). The participants were provided with the appropriate diagnosis, and the photographs of the oral cavity were taken with a high-resolution digital single-lens reflex camera (Nikon D5600; Nikon Inc) by the dentists. Punch biopsies were performed on suspicious lesions by trained dentists and reported as per World Health Organization guidelines (ie, hyperkeratosis; mild, moderate, or severe dysplasia; carcinoma in situ; and squamous cell carcinoma).¹³ Individuals diagnosed with squamous cell carcinoma were referred to a tertiary care center for further investigation and appropriate management.

All participants were informed of the ill effects of tobacco and the importance of routine oral self-examination. They were advised to undergo screening every 5 years per the national guidelines or whenever they noticed any abnormality in their oral cavity.¹³ In addition, smokers were referred to the tobacco cessation clinic. Individuals who were tobacco users and had oral potentially malignant disorders underwent tobacco counseling in the tobacco cessation clinic. They were offered 4 to 8 counseling sessions lasting 30 to 60 minutes. Patients with potentially malignant oral disorders were followed up for up to 3 months depending on the size and site of the lesion, smoking cessation, presence of underlying disease, and change in the size of the lesion. Reasons for nonparticipation were also noted.

Statistical Analysis

The data collected in the oral cancer screening mobile application were exported to a Microsoft Excel 2018 (Microsoft Corp) spreadsheet and analyzed using Stata, version 14 (StataCorp LLC). Sensitivity,

specificity, and positive and negative predictive values were calculated. The interrater agreement was analyzed with the Cohen κ coefficient test. With paired, 2-sided testing, the significance threshold was $P < .001$.

Results

A total of 1200 individuals were approached by community health workers for screening with 98% uptake. Initially, 10 to 12 participants were screened per day, but this stopped as India went into lockdown (March-May 2020) due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The main reasons for nonparticipation (total, 182; 54% men and 48% women) included the perception of being healthy and lack of time or interest.

Of these, 1018 participants (526 [51.7%] men and 492 [48.3%] women) visited HPC for screening by the dentists (Table 1). Of the total population screened, most were aged 30 to 39 years (338 [33.2%]), and the mean (SD) age of participants was 35 (16) years. Smokeless tobacco (35%; 95% CI, 33.5%-36.5%) was consumed more commonly than smoked tobacco (35%; 95% CI, 32.9-37.0) products in both sexes, with a high predilection of both tobacco and alcohol use among men.

Table 2 reports the oral visual examination findings referred by the community health workers and screened by the dentists. White patch lesions (leukoplakia) were the most common lesion in both sexes (148 [14.5%]), followed by oral submucous fibrosis (79 [7.8%]) and tobacco pouch keratosis (81 [8.0%]).

Reliability in Identification by Community Health Workers

The reproducibility of community health workers' examinations and their agreement with the dentists' outcomes was measured using κ statistics.¹⁴ The sensitivity and specificity of the oral visual examination by the community health workers were calculated by pooling all the results as well as by stratification according to participant sex and age.

The interrater agreement of the oral visual examination findings among the 3 dentists at our organization was done in 100 participants and showed near-perfect agreement between them as indicated by the overall κ value of 0.9 ($P < .001$). There also was near-perfect agreement between the findings of the 3 dentists as indicated by the overall κ value of 0.9 ($P < .001$).

Table 1. Substance Use History Among the Population Screened by Community Health Workers and Dentists

Substance use	Sex	Age group, No. (%), y							Total
		0-19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	≥70	
No. (%)		17	175	338	324	99	53	12	1018
No use	Male	1 (1.5)	12 (17.6)	21 (17.6)	27 (39.7)	3 (4.4)	4 (3.8)	0	68 (6.7)
	Female	0	9 (2.7)	150 (44.9)	133 (39.8)	25 (7.5)	14 (4.2)	3 (0.9)	334 (32.8)
Smoking only	Male	6 (2.7)	63 (28.8)	52 (23.7)	50 (22.8)	26 (11.9)	17 (7.8)	5 (2.3)	219 (21.5)
	Female	0	4 (1.9)	0	7 (33.3)	7 (33.3)	3 (14.2)	0	21 (2.1)
Smokeless tobacco (SLT) users	Male	13 (3.8)	81 (23.8)	103 (30.2)	98 (28.7)	32 (9.4)	13 (3.8)	1 (0.3)	341 (33.5)
	Female	1 (0.8)	33 (26)	34 (26.8)	39 (30.7)	10 (5.5)	7 (5.5)	3 (2.4)	127 (12.5)
Areca nut ^a	Male	1 (3.1)	6 (18.8)	9 (28.1)	11 (34.3)	2 (6.3)	3 (9.4)	0	32 (3.1)
	Female	0	3 (16.7)	10 (55.6)	3 (16.7)	1 (5.6)	1 (5.6)	0	18 (1.8)
Dual use (smoking and SLT users)	Male	4 (3.5)	29 (25.4)	33 (28.9)	33 (28.9)	8 (7)	7 (6.1)	0	114 (11.4)
	Female	0	1 (33.3)	0	2 (66.7)	0	0	0	3 (0.3)
Smoking and alcohol	Male	3 (3.1)	27 (27.8)	25 (25.8)	25 (25.8)	12 (12.4)	4 (4.1)	1 (1)	97 (9.7)
	Female	0	0	0	0	1 (100)	0	0	1 (0.09)
Smokeless tobacco use and alcohol users	Male	5 (3.6)	38 (27.5)	44 (31.9)	36 (26.1)	10 (7.2)	5 (3.6)	0	138 (13.6)
	Female	0	0	0	3 (100)	0	0	0	3 (0.3)

^a Areca nut, commonly known as betel nut.

eTable 1 in the [Supplement](#) reports the identification of oral lesions by community health workers and dentists in the screened population: there was near-perfect agreement between the dentists and the community health workers in designating participants with positive or negative cases ($\kappa = 0.9$; $P < .001$). The overall sensitivity of the total findings by both dentists and community health workers was 96.69% (95% CI, 94.15%-98.33%) and the specificity of identification was 98.69% (95% CI, 97.52%-99.40%). The positive likelihood ratio was 73.70%, and the negative likelihood ratio of identification of oral lesions was 0.03%. The prevalence of disease was 32.41% with a positive predictive value of 97.20% and negative predictive value of 98.44%, with an accuracy of 98.29%.

Of the 1018 participants screened by the dentists, 686 (67.4%) were designated as having no potentially malignant oral disorders and 332 (32.6%) participants were diagnosed with potentially malignant oral disorders. Leukoplakia ($n = 7$), oral submucous fibrosis ($n = 2$), and recurrent aphthous ulcers ($n = 2$) were misdiagnosed as normal (ie, false-negative) by the community health workers. The screening undertaken by community health workers showed that 688 participants (67.6%) had no abnormalities and 330 (32.4%) had a potentially malignant oral disorder. A total of 27 oral cancers (2.7%) were detected in the study.

eTable 2 in the [Supplement](#) reports the concordance between the results of the oral visual examination by community health workers and the dentists based on the type of lesion. When considering single types of lesions, the agreement was also very good, as evidenced by the κ statistics, with $\kappa = 1.0$ indicating perfect agreement for the red patch lesions (erythroplakia) and growth mass (malignant neoplasm); $\kappa = 0.9$ indicating near-perfect agreement for the white patch lesions, such as leukoplakia and oral lichen planus; and $\kappa = 0.9$ indicating near-perfect agreement for the ulcers and others types of lesions, such as oral submucous fibrosis, tobacco pouch keratosis, and lichenoid lesions. The overall agreement between the community health workers and the dentists in identifying the different types of lesions was high ($\kappa = 0.9$).

In a 3-month follow-up period, potentially malignant oral disorders, such as homogeneous leukoplakia, showed regression or complete resolution in 22 patients (17 men, 5 women) concurrent with tobacco cessation, management, and follow-up provided by the dentists. Twenty-six participants either stopped or reduced tobacco use.

Table 2. Findings on Participants Referred to Dentists

Visual findings	No. (%)		
	Men	Women	Total
No.	526 (51.7)	492 (48.3)	1018
White patch lesions			
Leukoplakia	129 (24.5)	19 (3.9)	148 (14.5)
Oral lichen planus	4 (0.8)	5 (1.0)	9 (0.9)
Red patch lesions			
Erythroplakia	1 (0.2)	0	1 (0.09)
Ulcer			
Recurrent aphthous ulcer	30 (5.7)	18 (3.7)	48 (4.7)
Growth mass			
Malignant	23 (4.4)	4 (0.8)	27 (2.7)
Other			
Oral submucous fibrosis	57 (10.8)	22 (4.5)	79 (7.8)
Tobacco pouch keratosis	74 (14.1)	7 (1.4)	81 (8.0)
Lichenoid lesion	2 (0.4)	4 (0.8)	6 (0.6)
Mixed lesions			
Leukoplakia + tobacco pouch keratosis	2 (0.4)	0	2 (0.2)
Oral submucous fibrosis + tobacco pouch keratosis	2 (0.4)	0	2 (0.2)
Normal	202 (38.4)	413 (83.9)	615 (60.4)

Discussion

Our results help expand the literature on screening for oral cancer by showing the feasibility of community health workers performing screenings with mobile cameras with high accuracy. Our findings that the sensitivity of oral cancer screening visually by community health workers was 96.6%, and specificity was 98.6% when referred to the dentists compared favorably with those in a study reported by Mathew et al¹⁵ in which community health workers undertook screening. Other studies have found that the sensitivity of oral cavity inspection visually varied from 57.1% to 61.4%, and the specificity ranged from 98.6% to 98.8%.^{4,16,17}

A study done in Ernakulam district, Kerala, India, to assess the use of community health workers in performing the oral visual inspection showed a lower sensitivity of 56% and comparable specificity of 98% compared with our study.¹⁸ In an earlier Sri Lankan study, 29 215 (33.5%) of the 87 277 eligible participants aged 20 years and older were examined by community health workers.¹⁹ Some 565 of the 1220 referred participants (46.3%) were further examined by dentists in which 338 had white patch lesions (59.8%), 14 had malignant lesions (2.4%), and 213 had benign or no lesions (37.6%). Almost similar results were obtained when this model was reproduced in another region of Sri Lanka.²⁰ In contrast, a long-term feasibility study to evaluate the role of community health workers in the early detection of oral cancer in Trivandrum district, Kerala, India, did not motivate the community health workers, and 90% of them did not participate in the program.²¹

During 1984-1990, dentists in the Cuban health services provided the screening test in which 12 990 677 examinations were performed and 30 244 participants (0.23%) were referred to hospitals. Among them, 8.1% had oral cancer, 37% oral potentially malignant disorders, and 54.9% had either benign or no lesions. Thus, the false-positive referrals in the reported studies of oral cancer screening varied from 20% to 55%.²² Community health workers have also played roles in previous studies to address the feasibility of using health care auxiliary personnel in the control of oral cancer in India and Sri Lanka.¹⁸⁻²⁰ These studies suggest that trained community health workers can undertake a systematic mouth examination and identify lesions.

Although oral cancer and oral visual examination meet most of the criteria of Wilson and Jungner²³ for a suitable disease and screening test, respectively, there are few randomized clinical trials to support the usefulness of screening for oral cancer as being effective in reducing the incidence and mortality associated with this disease.²⁴ Sankaranarayanan et al⁴ and Mathew et al²¹ have reported that nonmedical graduates can identify oral lesions by visual screening and their role in oral cancer screening programs. They suggested that oral screening of high-risk individuals be established in routine health services in India, given the high disease burden. However, caution is required because there are methodologic weaknesses in these and other studies, including no analysis of clustering, blinding of outcome, and loss to follow-up.^{15,16,24}

In our study, the agreement between the results of oral examination by community health workers and the dentists was notable because, as the number of participants recruited in the study increased, the community health workers accumulated further experience in identifying oral mucosal lesions. Also, the retraining provided by the dentists along with additional monetary incentives improved the performance of the community health workers in screening and referrals of participants by them to our organization.

Our study builds on the work of Braun et al²⁵ and Källander et al,²⁶ showing that community health workers have used mobile technology in house-to-house screening data collection, promoting health education, counseling, and referral for further care in various domains of health. A study by Birur et al²⁷ noted the novelty of the mobile phone health-based approach and aided remote early detection of oral cancer by community health workers in a resource-constrained setting. In our study, community health workers were trained to capture the data electronically and obtain photographs of the oral mucosa using android phones. The use of mobile phone technology for data collection and image capturing in the offline mode was a new experience for the community health workers and we faced a few challenges during the screening process, such as capturing images of an inappropriate

subsite of the oral cavity, missing imaging of the lesion, and poor-quality images. These shortcomings occurred during the initial part of the study; with the passage of time and increasing experience in handling the devices, these issues were resolved.

Strengths and Limitations

Strengths of our study suggest that community health workers can undertake oral screening. We were able to follow up participants with potentially malignant oral disorders and, in 22 participants, there was a decrease in the size of the lesion and, through tobacco cessation counseling, 26 participants stopped this habit. Furthermore, electronic data collection using android mobile phones with data transfer when connected to the internet increased data accessibility for researchers. The high-quality oral images of the participants screened by dentists may serve as a repository for further researchers. None of the community health workers dropped out of the oral cancer screening in the community program throughout the duration of our study.

The study also has limitations. The intraobserver agreement among 10 community health workers in identifying normal and abnormal oral lesions was not calculated and the study was not powered to detect differences. In addition, participants were selected from a single geographic location, so the findings cannot be generalized.

The 9 potentially malignant oral disorders and 2 recurrent aphthous ulcers missed by the community health workers were present in the lower vestibular mucosa, buccal mucosa, and lower lip. This lack of identification indicates that these areas in the oral cavity may have been inaccessible owing to improper retraction.

Conclusions

The findings of this study suggest feasibility of training community health workers to perform oral cancer screening in a low- or middle-income country. However, a randomized clinical trial is needed to determine the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of this approach.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Accepted for Publication: November 22, 2021.

Published: January 18, 2022. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.44022

Open Access: This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [CC-BY License](#). © 2022 Thampi V et al. *JAMA Network Open*.

Corresponding Author: Paramjit Gill, DM, Division of Health Sciences, University of Warwick, Gibbett Hill Road, Coventry CV4 7AL, United Kingdom (p.gill.1@warwick.ac.uk).

Author Affiliations: Piramal Swasthya Research Institute, Hyderabad, India (Thampi); Indian Council of Medical Research, National Institute of Cancer Prevention and Research, Noida, India (Hariprasad); Shore Christian Fellowship, Rajnandgaon Chhatisgarh, India (John); School of Preventive Oncology, Bihar, India (Nethan); Indian Council of Medical Research, National Institute of Cancer Prevention and Research, Noida, India (Dhanasekaran); E-Government Cell, Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi, India (Kumar); Oral Medicine and Radiology, KLE Institute of Dental Sciences and Lead-Oral Cancer Screening-Biocon Foundation, Bengaluru, India (Birur); Department of Community Medicine & School of Public Health, Post Graduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India (Thakur); National Institute for Health Research Applied Research Collaboration West Midlands, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, United Kingdom (Lilford); Department of Computer Science, Tissue Image Analytics Centre, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom (Rajpoot); Division of Health Sciences, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom (Gill).

Author Contributions: Dr Hariprasad had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Mr Thampi and Dr Hariprasad contributed equally.

Concept and design: Hariprasad, John, Birur, Thakur, Gill.

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Thampi, Hariprasad, John, Nethan, Dhanasekaran, Kumar, Lilford, Rajpoot, Gill.

Drafting of the manuscript: Thampi, Hariprasad, John, Kumar, Lilford, Gill.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Thampi, Hariprasad, Nethan, Dhanasekaran, Birur, Thakur, Rajpoot, Gill.

Statistical analysis: Thampi, John, Kumar.

Obtained funding: Hariprasad, John, Gill.

Administrative, technical, or material support: Hariprasad, John, Nethan, Thakur, Lilford, Gill.

Supervision: Hariprasad, Nethan, Dhanasekaran, Birur, Gill.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: Dr Gill reported receiving grants from the University of Warwick Global Challenges Research Fund (GCRF) during the conduct of the study and is supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Applied Research Collaboration West Midlands and NIHR Global Health Research Unit on Improving Health in Slums. Dr Gill is also an NIHR senior investigator for the NIHR Department of Health and Social Care. No other disclosures were reported.

Funding/Support: This study was funded by the GCRF, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK.

Role of the Funder/Sponsor: The funding source had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Additional Contributions: The authors acknowledge the 10 community health workers; the entire Indian Council of Medical Research, National Institute of Cancer Prevention and Research team, Division of Cytopathology, for their support and all the participants undergoing the screening.

Additional Information: This study was performed by Mr Thampi, Ms John, and Dr Nethan during their tenure at the Indian Council of Medical Research–National Institute of Cancer Prevention and Research.

REFERENCES

1. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2021;71(3):209-249. doi:10.3322/caac.21660
2. Gupta B, Johnson NW. Oral cancer: Indian pandemic. *Br Dent J*. 2017;222(7):497-497. doi:10.1038/sj.bdj.2017.293
3. Warnakulasuriya S, Johnson NW, van der Waal I. Nomenclature and classification of potentially malignant disorders of the oral mucosa. *J Oral Pathol Med*. 2007;36(10):575-580. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0714.2007.00582.x
4. Sankaranarayanan R, Ramadas K, Thomas G, et al; Trivandrum Oral Cancer Screening Study Group. Effect of screening on oral cancer mortality in Kerala, India: a cluster-randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2005;365(9475):1927-1933. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(05)66658-5
5. Speight PM, Epstein J, Kujan O, et al. Screening for oral cancer—a perspective from the Global Oral Cancer Forum. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol*. 2017;123(6):680-687. doi:10.1016/j.oooo.2016.08.021
6. Coelho KR. Challenges of the oral cancer burden in India. *J Cancer Epidemiol*. 2012;2012:701932. doi:10.1155/2012/701932
7. Birur NP, Gurushanth K, Patrick S, et al. Role of community health worker in a mobile health program for early detection of oral cancer. *Indian J Cancer*. 2019;56(2):107-113. doi:10.4103/ijc.IJC_232_18
8. Jeet G, Thakur JS, Prinja S, Singh M. Community health workers for non-communicable diseases prevention and control in developing countries: evidence and implications. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(7):e0180640. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0180640
9. Bhargava B, Paul VK. Informing NCD control efforts in India on the eve of Ayushman Bharat. *Lancet*. 2018; S0140-6736(18)32172-X. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32172-X
10. Reddy KS. Global Burden of Disease Study 2015 provides GPS for global health 2030. *Lancet*. 2016;388(10053):1448-1449. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31743-3
11. Ehrenstein V, Kharrazi H, Lehmann H, Taylor CO. *Obtaining Data From Electronic Health Records. Tools and Technologies for Registry Interoperability, Registries for Evaluating Patient Outcomes: A User's Guide. 3rd ed, Addendum 2.* Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2019.
12. GATS 2: Global Adult Tobacco Survey. Accessed December 9, 2021. https://www.tobaccofreekids.org/assets/global/pdfs/en/GATS_India_2016-17_FactSheet.pdf
13. Shirani S, Kargahi N, Razavi SM, Homayoni S; Directorate General of Health Services. Epithelial dysplasia in oral cavity. *Iran J Med Sci*. 2014;39(5):406-417.

14. Fleiss JL, Levin B, Paik MC. *Statistical Methods for Rates and Proportions (Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics)*. 3rd ed. Wiley; 2003.
15. Mathew B, Sankaranarayanan R, Sunilkumar KB, Kuruville B, Pisani P, Nair MK. Reproducibility and validity of oral visual inspection by trained health workers in the detection of oral precancer and cancer. *Br J Cancer*. 1997;76(3):390-394. doi:10.1038/bjc.1997.396
16. Sankaranarayanan R, Black RJ, Swaminathan R, Parkin DM. An overview of cancer survival in developing countries. *IARC Sci Publ*. 1998;(145):135-173.
17. Yeole BB, Sankaranarayanan R, Sunny L, Swaminathan R, Parkin DM, Parkin DM. Survival from head and neck cancer in Mumbai (Bombay), India. *Cancer*. 2000;89(2):437-444. doi:10.1002/1097-0142(20000715)89:2<437::AID-CNCR32>3.0.CO;2-R
18. Mehta FS, Gupta PC, Bhonsle RB, Murti PR, Daftary DK, Pindborg JJ. Detection of oral cancer using basic health workers in an area of high oral cancer incidence in India. *Cancer Detect Prev*. 1986;9(3-4):219-225.
19. Warnakulasuriya KAAS, Ekanayake AN, Sivayoham S, et al. Utilization of primary health care workers for early detection of oral cancer and precancer cases in Sri Lanka. *Bull World Health Organ*. 1984;62(2):243-250.
20. Warnakulasuriya KA, Nanayakkara BG. Reproducibility of an oral cancer and precancer detection program using a primary health care model in Sri Lanka. *Cancer Detect Prev*. 1991;15(5):331-334.
21. Mathew B, Sankaranarayanan R, Wesley R, Joseph A, Nair MK. Evaluation of utilisation of health workers for secondary prevention of oral cancer in Kerala, India. *Eur J Cancer B Oral Oncol*. 1995;31B(3):193-196. doi:10.1016/0964-1955(95)00016-B
22. Fernández Garrote L, Sankaranarayanan R, Lence Anta JJ, Rodríguez Salvá A, Parkin DM. An evaluation of the oral cancer control program in Cuba. *Epidemiology*. 1995;6(4):428-431. doi:10.1097/00001648-199507000-00019
23. Wilson JMG, Jungner G. *Principles and Practice of Screening for Disease*. World Health Organization; 1968. Accessed December 13, 2021. https://www.who.int/ionizing_radiation/medical_radiation_exposure/munich-WHO-1968-Screening-Disease.pdf
24. Brocklehurst P, Kujan O, O'Malley LA, Ogden G, Shepherd S, Glenny A-M. Screening programmes for the early detection and prevention of oral cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2013;2013(11):CD004150. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD004150.pub4
25. Braun R, Catalani C, Wimbush J, Israelski D. Community health workers and mobile technology: a systematic review of the literature. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(6):e65772. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0065772
26. Källander K, Tibenderana JK, Akpogheneta OJ, et al. Mobile health (mHealth) approaches and lessons for increased performance and retention of community health workers in low- and middle-income countries: a review. *J Med internet Res*. 2013;15(1):e17. doi:10.2196/jmir.2130
27. Birur PN, Sunny SP, Jena S, et al. Mobile health application for remote oral cancer surveillance. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2015;146(12):886-894. doi:10.1016/j.adaj.2015.05.020

SUPPLEMENT.

eAppendix 1. Mobile-Based Questionnaire by CHW

eAppendix 2. Details Collected by the Dentists at ICMR-NICPR-HPC

eTable 1. Identification of the Oral Lesion by CHWs and Dentists in the Screened Population

eTable 2. Distribution and Agreement by Type of Individual Findings on Oral Visual Inspection by CHWs and Dentists