CDCE² is a collaboration between the University of Warwick (UoW), Public Health England (PHE) and Health Education England West Midlands (HEE). Our aims are to undertake research to develop the evidence base for communicable disease control and to support others in undertaking and using research in public health practice, including through research training. This, our first termly newsletter, is part of advertising our work with a view to increasing collaborations with colleagues across PHE and the University of Warwick. It introduces our team and describes one project done to support PHE, ‘Synthesising Evidence on Sepsis’.
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Sepsis is a life-threatening condition and a major public health and economic burden in the industrialised world. Difficulties in accurate diagnosis of sepsis have been shown to lead to diagnostic delays and there is substantial advocacy for interventions to speed up presentation, diagnosis and initiation of specific treatments. Guidance from the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence on the recognition, diagnosis and management of severe sepsis is currently in consultation with expected publication in July 2016. This does not consider public facing interventions to improve public recognition of sepsis and presentation to healthcare. The 2015/6 remit letter from the Department of Health to Public Health England identified the need to “review the evidence and make recommendations on the scope for a public-facing campaign to raise awareness of sepsis”.

Working with Isabel Oliver as the PHE lead on this task we agreed on questions for evidence synthesis research to meet this DH request. This guided work including systematic reviews of the evidence base to identify (i) the community onset component of the sepsis burden in high income countries since this is the part of the burden that might be amendable to public facing campaigns, and risk factors for community onset sepsis and (ii) the evidence base for the impact of public facing information interventions. In addition information on existing public facing interventions, whether evidence based or not, were identified from www searches and collated.

The systematic review on sepsis burden in the community identified a large variation in estimates of the incidence of community onset sepsis from non-severe sepsis to septic shock groups (Figure and [1-2]). Variation may largely be due to varying definitions and case-ascertainment methods, highlighting these factors in any population based surveillance that PHE might undertake in this area. No evidence for the effectiveness of public facing information campaigns to improve awareness of, and presentation with, sepsis was identified. Synthesis of evidence for community onset sepsis risk factors for was used to identify high risk population groups (Figure and [1, 2]) for any more targeted interventions. The CDCE team participated in PHE meetings and production of papers to policy makers to support the integration of this work into advice to policy makers.


Selected risk groups and conditions for severe sepsis (odd ratios with 95% confidence intervals)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Group</th>
<th>Odds Ratio and 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nursing home residents</td>
<td>2.6 (1.2 - 5.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunosuppression</td>
<td>4.5 (3.7 - 5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory disease</td>
<td>3.3 (2.8 - 3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>2.0 (1.7 - 2.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>