



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Dietary magnesium intake and blood pressure: a qualitative overview of the observational studies

S Mizushima,^{1,2} FP Cappuccio,^{3,4} R Nichols¹ and P Elliott¹

¹Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Imperial College School of Medicine at St Mary's Campus, London, UK; ²Department of Public Health, Yokohama City University School of Medicine, Yokohama, Japan; ³Human Nutrition Unit, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK; ⁴Department of Medicine, St George's Hospital Medical School, London, UK

Published reports of 30 separate sets of analyses from 29 observational studies relating dietary intake of magnesium to blood pressure (BP) were identified through a comprehensive search using MEDLINE and BIDS-EMBASE. Three studies were prospective, 24 cross-sectional (25 reports), of which four also contained a longitudinal component, and two were obtained from baseline data in a trial. Various dietary methodologies were used: 24-h dietary recall ($n=12$), food-frequency questionnaire (8), food record (7), and duplicate diet (2). Twelve reports compared magnesium intake or BP level between subgroups. Seven showed a negative association between magnesium intake and BP level, and five reported no association. From 18 of the 30 sets of analyses either a regression estimate or a Pearson correlation coefficient was reported. Many reports also allowed identification of subgroups by sex, age and race. Ninety population samples and subgroups could

thus be identified from the 30 reports. All 11 Pearson- r correlation coefficients reported for systolic BP (SBP) (three significant, $P < 0.05$) and 10 (out of 12) Pearson- r correlation coefficients reported for diastolic BP (DBP) (four significant) were negative. Seven reports (13 subgroups for SBP, 11 subgroups for DBP) gave partial regression coefficients after adjustment; 10 (seven significant) and eight (six significant) were negative for SBP and DBP, respectively. For 13 subgroups in five papers, Pearson- r correlation coefficients were reported after adjustment for confounding factors. Eight (out of 13) showed a negative relationship for SBP and DBP. This review points to a negative association between dietary magnesium intake and BP. A systematic quantitative overview is needed to reconcile the inconsistencies of the results of individual studies and to quantify the size of such relationship.

Keywords: blood pressure; magnesium; observational study; review

Introduction

The possible relationship between dietary divalent cations such as calcium and magnesium and blood pressure (BP) follows reports in the 1950s and 1960s of a negative ecological association between drinking water hardness and both cardiovascular and cerebrovascular mortalities.^{1–5} However, since intake of these cations from drinking water generally represents only a small proportion of total intake, the role of dietary intake in relation to cardiovascular disease has become the focus of more recent investigation. Epidemiological and clinical research of the association of dietary calcium intake and BP has been extensively reviewed in recent years^{6–11} including obtaining pooled quantitative estimates of effect.^{7,11} However, less is known of the possible role of dietary magnesium on BP.^{9,12,13}

This review was undertaken to re-assess the evi-

dence from observational (mainly cross-sectional and prospective) studies relating dietary magnesium intake to BP in the light of recent reports on this question.

Materials and methods

Published reports of observational studies relating dietary intake of magnesium to BP were identified through a comprehensive computerised search using MEDLINE (January 1966 to December 1995) and BIDS-EMBASE (January 1980 to December 1995) searching for the keywords 'magnesium', 'blood pressure' and 'hypertension', through examination of cited reference sources and by personal contact with several investigators who are experts in the field. Papers written in languages other than English were included. A copy of each paper was obtained and relevant data were abstracted independently by two of the authors (SM, FPC). Any discrepancies were reconciled.

Description of selected papers and population subgroups

Thirty-one published papers from observational studies carried out in 29 different populations worldwide were identified^{1a-31a} (Tables 1 and 2). Two papers reporting findings from the Yi people study used the same dataset and are combined for the purpose of this review,^{16a-17a} whereas two papers from the Australian children study were treated as independent reports as they contained different analyses and results.^{22a,24a} The 30 reports came from the USA ($n=11$), China (4), Australia (4), Canada (2), Belgium (2), Finland (1), France (1), Greece (1), Japan (1), Netherlands (1), Spain (1), and Sweden (1). Three reports published in languages other than English, ie, Chinese, French and Japanese, were included.¹⁴

Twenty-three of the reports involved adults,^{1a-7a,9a,11a-19a,21a,23a-31a} while five focused on children and adolescents,^{10a,20a,22a,24a,26a} one on infants,^{19a} and in one the study population was not stated.^{8a} Nineteen included males and females,^{5a-12a,21a-31a} six males only^{1a,13a-18a} and five females only.^{2a-4a,19a,20a}

Three studies were prospective, 24 cross-sectional, of which four also contained a longitudinal component, and two were obtained from baseline data in a trial. Sample size of these 30 studies ranged widely from 40 in the study among US elderly^{25a} to 58 218 in the US Nurses Study.^{3a} The median sample size was 253. Various dietary methodologies were used: 24-h dietary recall ($n=12$) including single (9), 3 (2) and 7 days (1); food-frequency questionnaire (9) including semiquantitative food-frequency (6); food record (7) and duplicate diet (2).

Most studies controlled for age and body mass index, with varied control for other confounders. Many reports also allowed identification of subgroups by sex, age and race. Ninety population samples and subgroups could thus be identified. Three reports included subgroups stratified by BP level (normotensive/hypertensive)^{21a} or by the use of anti-hypertensive medication (including/excluding those who were on anti-hypertensive medication).^{23a,30a}

In 12 of the 30 papers, magnesium intake or BP level were compared between subgroups^{1a-12a} (Table 1). The remaining 18 studies reported either a regression estimate or a Pearson-*r* correlation coefficient^{13a-31a} (Table 2).

Results

Analysis of reports which compared subgroups without reporting a regression or correlation estimate

Twelve papers reported some comparison between magnesium intake and BP subgroups without a regression or correlation estimate^{1a-12a} (Table 1). Four reports compared dietary magnesium in hypertensive and normotensive persons,^{1a,4a,8a,9a} and two compared magnesium intake among people in the upper and lower percentiles of the BP distribution.^{2a,10a} One study reported dietary magnesium intake for students with and without a family history of hypertension,^{6a} another reported relative risk

of hypertension among quintiles of magnesium intake.^{3a} Two studies compared BP levels among groups with different dietary intakes,^{5a,7a} one report gave mean magnesium intake and BP levels for males and females,^{11a} and one for different age groups.^{12a} Seven of these 12 studies reported a negative association between magnesium intake and BP and five reported no association (Table 1).

Analysis of reports which reported a regression or correlation estimate

Forty-six subgroup analyses from 18 studies reported either a regression or a Pearson-*r* correlation coefficient of magnesium intake to BP^{13a-31a} (Table 2).

In five subgroups from two papers, simple regression coefficients were reported.^{17a,20a} Median regression coefficients were -0.08 mm Hg/100 mg Mg (range -17.0 to $+3.5$, $n=5$) for systolic BP (SBP) and -1.68 (-17.8 to $+10.1$, $n=5$) for diastolic BP (DBP).

All 11 simple Pearson-*r* correlation coefficients for SBP in 11 subgroups of six reports were negative (three significant, $P < 0.05$).^{13a-15a,19a,21a,25a} Ten out of 12 simple correlation coefficients for DBP in 12 subgroups of seven reports were negative (four significant).^{13a-15a,19a,20a,24a,25a}

Ten reports (22 subgroups for SBP, 21 subgroups for DBP) applied multivariate analyses to control for covariates^{15a-19a,22a-23a,26a-31a} (Table 2).

Seven reports (13 subgroups for SBP, 11 subgroups for DBP) gave partial regression coefficients after adjustment for confounding factors; 10 (seven significant) and eight (six significant) were negative for SBP and DBP, respectively.^{16a-18a,22a,23a,26a,28a,29a} One report indicated only significant negative direction of the association between magnesium intake and DBP in young males without reporting a regression or a correlation estimate.^{10a}

Thirteen subgroups in five reports gave Pearson-*r* correlation coefficients after adjustment for confounding factors.^{15a,19a,27a,30a,31a} Eight of 13 were negative for both SBP and DBP.

These quantitative associations between dietary magnesium and BP are summarised in Table 3. Negative association between magnesium intake and BP was reported from simple regression-correlation analysis in 15 (93.8%) of 16 subgroups for SBP and 14 (82.4%) of 17 for DBP, and significant negative association in four (25%) and six (35.3%), respectively. When adjustment for confounders was considered, negative association was reported in 18 (69.2%) of 26 subgroups for SBP and 16 (66.7%) of 24 for DBP, and significant negative association in nine (34.6%) and eight (33.3%), respectively. One positive association was reported for SBP (6.3%) and three (17.6%) for DBP on simple regression-correlation analysis, and eight for SBP (30.8%) and eight for DBP (33.3%) on multiple regression-correlation analysis. One significant positive association was reported.

Table 1 Summary of reports which did not present regression or correlation estimates

First author (reference)	Year	Country	Population	Design	Sample size	Age (mean or range)	Dietary method	No. of sub-groups	Subgroups	Main results
Males only										
1. Zemel (1a)	1988	US	Blacks and whites	XS	66	49.5	4d Record	4	Race, NT vs HT	Mg in HT < Mg in NT
Females only										
2. Thulin (2a)	1980	Sweden	Females	XSL	60	52	Duplicate	2	BP <30% vs 95% < BP	NS
3. Wittman (3a)	1989	US	US nurses	Prosp	58218	34–59	SFFQ	5	Mg intake quintiles	HT RR lower with higher Mg in 1st trimester
4. Overloop (4a)	1994	France	Pregnant females	XSL	125	26.5	24h Recall	4	1st & 3rd trimester, NT vs HT	Mg in HT < Mg in NT
Both males and females										
5. Rouse (5a)	1983	Australia	Religious population	XS	293	33.2	24h Recall	6	Sex, vegetarian groups	BP in high Mg < BP in low Mg
6. Lehtonen (6a)	1985	Finland	Students	XS	147	25.1	7d Record	4	Sex, FH(+) vs FH(-)	NS
7. Margetts (7a)	1986	Australia	Mild hypertensive	Trial	58	30–64	24h Recall	3	Diet groups	Mg in high BP < Mg in low BP
8. Fodor (8a)	1987	Canada	Newfoundland study	XS	173	NA	Duplicate	2	NT vs HT	Mg in HT < Mg in NT
9. Karanja (9a)	1987	US	Normotensive	Trial	70	21–70	24h Recall	4	Sex, NT vs HT	in F, Mg in HT < Mg in NT
10. Martel-Claros (10a)	1989	Spain	Terrejon study	XS	1109	14–18	7×24h Recall	2	Sex < 50% vs 90% < BP	NS
11. Kesteloot (11a)	1990	Belgium	Interuniversity research	XS	4055	50.4	1d Record	2	Sex	NS
12. Kafatos (12a)	1993	Greece	Elderly	XS	164	65–91	24h Recall	6	Sex, age groups	NS

XS, cross-sectional; XSL, cross-sectional with longitudinal component; Prosp, prospective; NA, not available; SFFQ, semi-quantitative food-frequency questionnaire; NT, normotensive; HT, hypertensive; FH, family history of hypertension; Mg, magnesium; BP, blood pressure; F, females; RR, relative risk; NS, not significant.

Table 2 Summary of reports which did present regression or correlation estimates

First author (reference)	Year	Country	Population	Design	Sample	Age (mean or range)	Dietary method	No. of sub-groups	Subgroups	Reporting	Covariates	Main results
Males only												
1. Joffres (13a)	1987	US	Honolulu heart study	Prospective	615	46-65	24h Recall	1	-	r (uni)	-	-SBP, -DBP
2. Zhang (14a)	1989	China	Yi and Han farmers	XS	55	48.3	24h Recall	2	Race	r (uni)	-	NS
3. Ascherio (15a)	1991	US	Normotensive	XS	805	40-69	SFFQ	1	-	r (uni, co)	age, BMI, alc, kcal	NS
4. He (16a, 17a)	1991	China	Yi people study	XS	419	15-78	3x24h Recall	4	Race, immigrant	b (uni, co)	age, BMI, HR, alc, kcal	-SBP, -DBP
5. Ascherio (18a)	1992	US	White health professionals	Prospective	26187	40-75	SFFQ	2	Entry phase, 4yrs later	b (co)	age, BMI, alc, Ca, K, Fibre	-SBP, -DBP
Females only												
6. McGarvey (19a)	1991	US	Maternal offspring study	XSL	212	Mothers	SFFQ	4	Age	r (uni, co)	K, Ca	-SBP, -DBP
7. Simon (20a)	1994	US	Children	XS	2030	9-10	3rd Record	2	Race	b (uni)	-	in White, -DBP
Both males and females												
8. Johnson (21a)	1987	US	Elderly	XS	62	54-81	FFQ	6	Sex, NT, HT	r (uni)	-	NS
9. Jenner (22a)	1988	Australia	Children	XS	884	9	FFQ	2	Sex	b (co)	age, weight, height, socio, month of examination, kcal	in M, -DBP
10. Kesteloot (23a)	1988	Belgium	Interuniversity research	XS	8058	49	24h Recall	4	Sex, HT medication	b (co)	age, BMI, HR, alc, kcal	in F, -SBP
11. Vandongen (24a)	1989	Australia	Children	XS	884	9	FFQ	2	Sex	p (b)(uni)	-	in M, -DBP
12. Ideno (25a)	1989	US	Elderly	XS	40	65-86	24h Recall	2	Sex	r (uni)	-	-DBP
13. Wu (26a)	1991	China	Students	XS	181	12-16	3x24h Recall	2	FH(+), FH(-)	b (co)	kcal	in FH(+), -DBP, -DBP
14. Hamet (27a)	1992	Canada	Montreal study	XS	182	38	3d Record	2	Sex	r (co)	kcal	NS
15. Tian (28a)	1995	China	Tianjin population	XS	663	20-64	7d Record	2	Sex	b (co)	age, BMI, alc, kcal, Na, K, Ca	NS
16. Van Leer (29a)	1995	Netherlands	Dutch population	XSL	20921	20-59	SFFQ	2	Sex	b (co)	age, town, survey year	-SBP, -DBP
17. Itoh (30a)	1995	Japan	Islander	XS	147	52.5	3d Record	2	HT medication	r (co)	age	NS
18. Ma (31a)	1995	US	Aric study	XS	14882	45-64	SFFQ	4	Sex, race	r (co)	age, BMI, kcal	in F, -SBP, -DBP

Prospective; XS, cross-sectional; XSL, cross-sectional with longitudinal component; SFFQ, semi-quantitative food-frequency questionnaire; FFQ, food-frequency questionnaire; NT, normotensive; HT, hypertensive; FH, family history of hypertension; r, Pearson-r correlation coefficient; b, regression coefficient; p(b), P value based on regression analysis; uni, univariate; co, covariate; BMI, body mass index; alc, alcohol intake; kcal, energy intake; HR, heart rate; Na, sodium intake; Ca, calcium intake; K, potassium intake; fibre, fibre intake; socio, socioeconomic strata; M, males; F, females; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; -, significant negative association; NS, not significant.

Table 3 Summary of quantitative associations between dietary magnesium and blood pressure

	SBP		DBP	
	Simple	Adjusted	Simple	Adjusted
Numbers of subgroups	16	26	17	24
Negative association (<i>n</i>)	15	18	14	16
Proportion (%)	93.8	69.2	82.4	66.7
Significant negative association (<i>n</i>)	4	9	6	8
Proportion (%)	25.0	34.6	35.3	33.3
Positive association (<i>n</i>)	1	8	3	8
Proportion (%)	6.3	30.8	17.6	33.3
Significant positive association (<i>n</i>)	0	0	0	1
Proportion (%)	0	0	0	4.2

Discussion

This review of observational epidemiological studies is suggestive of a negative association between dietary magnesium intake and BP. This negative association appears to be consistent within and across different studies using a range of methodologies. However, as the majority of studies do not appear to have been designed specifically to examine the association of dietary magnesium with BP, inconsistencies of design, analysis and reporting between studies complicate their interpretation.

First, the methods of dietary data collection and assessment varied across the studies. Precision in the assessment of usual or habitual diet is dependent on the number of days of dietary data collection. In many of the studies reviewed methods were inadequate to classify individuals with any precision within the population distribution of true (habitual) intake, due to the large day-to-day variation within person in dietary consumption including magnesium.^{15–19} High intra-individual variation can attenuate the absolute values of regression and correlation coefficients.²⁰ Thus, any potential association between magnesium intake and BP would tend to be underestimated with bias in the estimates of the regression of correlation coefficient toward a zero value (regression dilution).^{20–23}

Second, the high degree of intercorrelation among several nutrients (multicollinearity) makes the interpretation of independent dietary associations with BP difficult, because people eat food, not isolated nutrients.^{24–26} Furthermore, the variable precision with which highly correlated nutrients are measured represents an additional potential problem. The interpretation becomes difficult when more than one such nutrient is entered into the multivariate analyses.^{8,22,23} There was considerable variation across studies in the control for important potential confounders for any dietary magnesium and BP association. Most studies controlled for sex, age and body mass index in the design or analysis. Control for calorie intake, potassium, calcium and alcohol intake varied. Only one study included sodium as a potential confounder estimated by 24-h urinary sodium excretion.^{28a} No study considered protein intake which has been suggested to be negatively related to BP, in both animal experiments^{27,28}

and in epidemiological studies.^{29,30} The analysis of association in different subgroups showed the extent to which adjustment for confounders varied the consistency of the findings.

A related methodological problem is the possible statistical overadjustment of dietary nutrient-BP association for covariates (such as body mass index) that are much more precisely measured, with much less day-to-day variation than dietary nutrients.^{31–34} The estimates of the association with BP appeared to be strongly influenced by the inclusion of body mass index in multiple regression models.^{33,34}

Third, the use of anti-hypertensive medication may have modified the distribution of BP in the population and may have led to biased estimates of the magnesium-BP relationship, especially as hypertensive persons may have changed their dietary intake as a consequence of the diagnosis of high BP.³⁵ Only three reports addressed this issue by considering subgroups according to BP status (normotensive/hypertensive)^{21a} or anti-hypertensive medication (including/excluding those who were on anti-hypertensive medication).^{23a,30a}

Fourth, potential publication bias is inherent to population studies on the association between BP and dietary factors, including magnesium.³⁶ Twelve of 30 reports identified in our comprehensive search of the literature did not present an estimate of the association but only some unquantified differences between various subgroups. Is it possible that more studies reporting a negative, statistically significant, association might have been published in comparison with those reporting either no relation or a positive association.

In conclusion, the present review points to a negative association between dietary magnesium intake and BP. However, an overall estimate of this association is difficult to obtain because of methodological problems including methods of dietary data collection and assessment, regression-dilution, multicollinearity, biases such as publication bias, and inconsistency of design, analysis, and reporting. A systematic quantitative overview (meta-analysis) would help to reconcile the inconsistencies of the results of individual studies, and to quantify the size of such relationship.

Acknowledgements

This study was carried out during the tenure of Dr S Mizushima's visiting research fellowship, from the Otsuka Department of International Preventive Nutritional Medicine at Graduate School of Human and Environmental Studies (Professor Yukio Yamori), Kyoto University, under the supervision of Professor Paul Elliott at the Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Imperial College School of Medicine at St Mary's Campus, and at the Department of Public Health & Policy, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine. It was partially supported by a fellowship grant from Uehara Foundation, Tokyo, Japan and from the British Council in Japan, Tokyo, Japan.

References

- Kobayashi J. On geographical relationships between chemical nature of drinking water and death rate from apoplexy. *Berichte des Ohara Institute fur landwirtschftliche Biologies* 1957; **11**: 12–21.
- Schroeder HA. Relation between mortality from cardiovascular disease and treated water supplies: variations in states and 163 largest municipalities of the United States. *JAMA* 1960; **172**: 1902–1908.
- Stitt FW *et al*. Clinical and biochemical indicators of cardiovascular disease among men living in hard and soft water areas. *Lancet* 1973; **1**: 122–126.
- Shaper AG, Clayton MA, Stanley F. Water hardness and hypertension. In: Paul O (ed). *Epidemiology and control of hypertension*. George Thieme Verlag: Stuttgart, Germany, 1975, pp 163–167.
- Folsom AR, Prineas RJ. Drinking water composition and blood pressure: a review of the epidemiology. *Am J Epidemiol* 1982; **115**: 8181–8132.
- McCarron DA, Morris CD, Cole C. Dietary calcium in human hypertension. *Science* 1982; **217**: 267–269.
- Cappuccio FP, Siani A, Strazzullo P. Oral calcium supplementation and blood pressure: an overview of randomized controlled trials. *J Hypertens* 1989; **7**: 941–947.
- Cappuccio FP. The epidemiology of diet and blood pressure. *Circulation* 1992; **86**: 1651–1653.
- Cappuccio FP. Electrolyte intake and human hypertension. B. Calcium and magnesium. Chap. 26. In: Swales JD (ed). *Textbook of hypertension*. Blackwell Scientific Publication: Oxford, England, 1994, pp 551–566.
- Pryer J, Cappuccio FP, Elliott P. Dietary calcium and blood pressure: a review of the observational studies. *J Hum Hypertens* 1995; **9**: 597–604.
- Cappuccio FP *et al*. Epidemiologic association between dietary calcium intake and blood pressure: a meta-analysis of published data. *Am J Epidemiol* 1995; **142**: 935–945.
- McCarron DA. Calcium and magnesium nutrition in human hypertension. *Ann Inter Med* 1983; **98**: 800–805.
- Whelton PK, Klag MJ. Magnesium and blood pressure: review of the epidemiological and clinical trial experience. *Am J Cardiol* 1989; **63**: 26G–30G.
- Moher D *et al*. Completeness of reporting of trials published in languages of other than English: implications for conduct and reporting of systematic reviews. *Lancet* 1996; **347**: 363–366.
- Freudenheim JL. Dietary assessment in nutritional epidemiology. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 1991; **1**: 207–212.
- Beaton GH *et al*. Sources of variance in 24 hour dietary recall data: implications for nutrition study design and interpretation. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1979; **32**: 2546–2559.
- Beaton GH *et al*. Sources of variance in 24 hour dietary recall data: implications for nutrition study design and interpretation. Carbohydrate, sources, vitamins and minerals. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1983; **37**: 986–995.
- Liu K *et al*. Assessment of the association between habitual salt intake and high blood pressure: methodological problems. *Am J Epidemiol* 1979; **110**: 219–224.
- Nelson M *et al*. Between- and within-subject variation in nutrient intake from infancy to old age: estimating the number of dates required to rank dietary intakes with desired precision. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1989; **50**: 155–167.
- Sempos CT *et al*. Effects of intraindividual variation in repeated dietary records. *Am J Epidemiol* 1985; **121**: 120–130.
- MacMahon S *et al*. Blood pressure, stroke, and coronary heart disease. Part 1: prolonged differences in blood pressure, prospective observational studies corrected for the regression dilution bias. *Lancet* 1990; **335**: 765–774.
- Dyer AR, Shipley M, Elliott P. Urinary electrolyte excretion in 24 hours and blood pressure in the INTERSALT Study. I. Estimates of reliability. *Am J Epidemiol* 1994; **139**: 927–939.
- Dyer AR, Elliott P, Shipley M. Urinary electrolyte excretion in 24 hours and blood pressure in the INTERSALT Study. II. Estimate of electrolyte-blood pressure associations corrected for regression dilution bias. *Am J Epidemiol* 1994; **139**: 94–51.
- Kritchevsky D. Dietary interactions. In: Levy R, Rifkind B, Dennis B, Ernst N (eds). *Nutrition, lipids and coronary heart disease*. Raven Press: New York, 1979, pp 229–246.
- Reed D *et al*. Diet, blood pressure and multicollinearity. *Hypertension* 1985; **7**: 405–410.
- Smith KR, Slattery ML, French TK. Collinear nutrients and the risk of colon cancer. *Clin Epidemiol* 1991; **44**: 715–723.
- Nara Y, Yamori Y, Lovenberg W. Effect of dietary taurine on blood pressure in spontaneously hypertensive rats. *J Biochem Pharmacol* 1978; **27**: 2689–2692.
- Yamori Y *et al*. Recent advances in experimental studies on dietary prevention of cardiovascular diseases. In: Yamori Y, Strasser T (eds). *New horizons in preventing cardiovascular diseases*. Excerpta Medica: Amsterdam, Netherlands, 1989, pp 1–11.
- Stamler J *et al*. Inverse relation of dietary protein markers with blood pressure. Findings for 10,020 men and women in the INTERSALT Study. INTERSALT Cooperative Research Group. International study of SALT and blood pressure. *Circulation* 1996; **94**: 1629–1634.
- Yamori Y *et al*. Inverse relationship of urinary magnesium and urea nitrogen excretion to blood pressure. *J Hypertens* 1994; **12** (Suppl 3): S61 (abstract).
- Liu K. Measurement error and its impact on partial correlation and multiple linear regression analysis. *Am J Epidemiol* 1988; **127**: 864–874.
- Elliott P. Observational studies of salt and blood pressure. *Hypertension* 1991; **17** (Suppl I): I-3–I-8.
- Dyer AR *et al*. Body mass index and associations of sodium and potassium with blood pressure in INTERSALT. *Hypertension* 1994; **23** (Part 1): 729–736.
- Elliott P *et al*. Intersalt revisited: further analysis of 24 hour sodium excretion and blood pressure within and across populations. Intersalt Cooperative Research Group. *Br Med J* 1996; **312**: 1249–1253.
- Elliott P *et al*. Diet, alcohol, bodymass and social factors in relation to blood pressure: the Caerphilly Heart Study. *J Epidemiol Comm Health* 1987; **41**: 37–43.

36 Easterbrook PJ *et al*. Publication bias in clinical research. *Lancet* 1991; **337**: 867–872.

Papers reviewed

- 1a Zemel P, Gualdoni S, Sowers JR. Racial differences in mineral intake in ambulatory normotensives and hypertensives. *Am J Hypertens* 1988; **1**: S146–S148.
- 2a Thulin T *et al*. Comparison of energy and nutritional intakes in women with high and low blood pressure levels. *Acta Med Scand* 1980; **208**: 367–373.
- 3a Witteman JCM *et al*. A prospective study of nutritional factors and hypertension among US women. *Circulation* 1989; **80**: 1320–1327.
- 4a Overloop BV *et al*. Enquete alimentaire en alsace chez des femmes enceintes normotendues et developpant une hypertension gravidique. *Cah Nutr Diet* (in French) 1994; **29**: 109–115.
- 5a Rouse IL, Armstrong BK, Beilin LJ. The relationship of blood pressure to diet and lifestyle in two religious populations. *J Hypertens* 1983; **1**: 65–71.
- 6a Lehtonen A, Koskinen EH, Kleimola T. Electrolyte intake and excretion and blood pressure in young students with and without family history of hypertension. *Ann Clin Research* 1985; **17**: 131–134.
- 7a Margaretts BM *et al*. Vegetarian diet in mild hypertension: a randomised controlled trial. *Br Med J* 1986; **293**: 1468–1471.
- 8a Fodor JG, Rusted E. Electrolyte profiles in a hypertensive population: the Newfoundland study. *Clin Invest Med* 1987; **10**: 586–591.
- 9a Karanja N *et al*. Plasma lipids and hypertension: response to calcium supplementation. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1987; **45**: 60–65.
- 10a Martell-Claros N *et al*. Calcium intake, calcium excretion and blood pressure in adolescents in the upper decile of the distribution: the Torrejon study. *J Hypertens* 1989; **7** (Suppl 6): S256–S257.
- 11a Kesteloot H, Joossens JV. The relationship between dietary intake and urinary excretion of sodium, potassium, calcium and magnesium: Belgian Interuniversity research on nutrition and health. *J Hum Hypertens* 1990; **4**: 527–533.
- 12a Kafatos A *et al*. Nutrition status of the elderly in Anogia, Crete, Greece. *J Am Coll Nutr* 1993; **12**: 685–692.
- 13a Joffres MR, Reed DM, Yano K. Relationship of magnesium intake and other dietary factors to blood pressure: the Honolulu heart study. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1987; **45**: 469–475.
- 14a Zhang M *et al*. Dietary survey and biochemical study of blood and urine among Yi and Han nationality farmers in low prevalent district of hypertension. *Chung Hua Liu Hsing Ping Hsueh Tsa Chih* (in Chinese) 1989; **19**: 265–269.
- 15a Ascherio A *et al*. Nutrient intakes and blood pressure in normotensive males. *Int J Epidemiol* 1991; **20**: 886–891.
- 16a He J *et al*. Relation of electrolytes to blood pressure in men: the Yi people study. *Hypertension* 1991; **17**: 378–385.
- 17a He J *et al*. Effect of dietary electrolytes upon calcium excretion: the Yi people study. *J Hypertens* 1992; **10**: 671–676.
- 18a Ascherio A *et al*. A prospective study of nutritional factors and hypertensive among US men. *Circulation* 1992; **86**: 1475–1484.
- 19a McGarvey ST *et al*. Maternal prenatal dietary potassium, calcium, magnesium, and infant blood pressure. *Hypertension* 1991; **17**: 218–224.
- 20a Simon JA *et al*. Dietary cation intake and blood pressure in black girls and white girls. *Am J Epidemiol* 1994; **139**: 130–40.
- 21a Johnson MA *et al*. The relationship between dietary calcium and blood pressure in the elderly. *J Clin Exper Gerontol* 1987; **9**: 89–102.
- 22a Jenner DA *et al*. Diet and blood pressure in 9-year-old Australian children. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1988; **47**: 1052–1059.
- 23a Kesteloot H, Joossens JV. Relationship of dietary sodium, potassium, calcium, and magnesium with blood pressure: Belgian Interuniversity research on nutrition and health. *Hypertension* 1988; **12**: 694–699.
- 24a Vandongen R, Jenner DA, English DR. Determinants of blood pressure in childhood and adolescence. *J Hypertens* 1989; **7** (Suppl 1): S3–S5.
- 25a Ideno KT, Kubena KS. Nutrition, physical activity, and blood pressure in the elderly. *J Nutr Elderly* 1989; **9**: 3–15.
- 26a Wu Y, Rusheng C, Beifan Z. Effects of genetic factors and dietary electrolytes on blood pressure of rural secondary school students in Hanzhong. *Chinese MedScience J* 1991; **6**: 148–152.
- 27a Hamet P *et al*. Epidemiological evidence of an interaction between calcium and sodium intake impacting on blood pressure. *Am J Hypertens* 1992; **5**: 378–385.
- 28a Tian HG *et al*. Associations between blood pressure and dietary intake and urinary excretion of electrolytes in a Chinese population. *J Hypertens* 1995; **13**: 49–56.
- 29a Van Leer EM, Seidell JC, Kromhout D. Dietary calcium, potassium, magnesium and blood pressure in Netherlands. *Int J Epidemiol* 1995; **24**: 1117–1123.
- 30a Itoh K, Kawasaki T, Uezono K. Relationship of dietary intake of sodium, potassium, calcium and magnesium to blood pressure. *Jpn J Public Health* (in Japanese) 1995; **42**: 95–103.
- 31a Ma J *et al*. Associations of serum and dietary magnesium with cardiovascular disease, hypertension, diabetes, insulin, and carotid arterial wall thickness: the Aric study. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1995; **48**: 927–940.