

# PX277:Computational Physics


Dashboard / Courses / Science / Physics / 2020/21 / PX277 (20/21) / General / Module feedback questionnaire / Analysis









5. Support in workshops was





7. Would you like a course taking this subject further?



### Show chart data

#### 8. The best features of this module were (write your free comments)

- I liked the independent aspect of learning how things work and the hands-on of testing my code and debugging. Although debugging takes forever and sometimes you don't understand what is actually going on, combing through your code helps you understand what each step is actually doing and you gain an appreciation for all of the different data types and methods of manipulation.
- The workshops were a nice way to get help and support.
- The problems we had to code were interesting and it was fun working out the solution and discovering patterns.
- No exams and workshops were excellent
- Good delivery and I enjoy the way in which the homework is an application of the functions we learn about in the example books.
- My programming skills improved significantly!
- Helpful workshops
- No exam

Most of the assignments were very doable , so long as you watched the lectures.

The assignments were creative and geared towards physics which made them a bit more bearable when it came to sitting down and coding for a few hours

- Great lecturer! I love how he puts emphasis on learning rather than in getting assignments done. I am learning a lot precisely because of that, it is a relatively stress free module and I am also happy to work on it because of this. A sincere congratulations to the lecturer (and everyone else involved).
- Yorck was very helpful regarding any issues and answered all questions in the live sessions in a clear way
- No exams

## 9. Any particular aspects/items needing improvement (write your free comments)

- Not enough questions and too easy. Make it fewer CATs too just for fun.
- I felt on the Jupyter notebook assignments there were 3 fairly straight forward questions then an extremely difficult final one. I would've enjoyed a more gradual increase than a sudden leap in difficulty.
- N/A
- Summarising main points from workshops in emails more often might have been helpful.
- There were jumps that were too big, Q1-5 were relatively easy, and then Q6 and Q7 required coding knowledge that was not intuitive based on the content taught.
- I would have preferred it if the difficulty of the assignments was more evenly distributed (the first few were very easy and took very little time at all, whereas the fourth assignment took me the whole of week 9!)
- I found I only read around half of the lectures notes for this module and didn't watch any of the video lectures and I had little trouble on the assignments
- Just add more longer questions
- Try to give feedback for assignment 1 before we have to submit assignment 2 so that we know whether we have to change certain aspects of our work before submitting a new piece of work.

## 10. Any other comments (feel free to add anything, which did not fit elsewhere)

- Recommended to anybody and everybody! Such a transferable skill!
- Style checker was fun.
- Great module! Can't think of anything that needs improving!
- When I said there was too much work, it is because other coding modules I have done required maybe half as much work again but was double the amount credits. So it was a lot of work for the amount of credits it is worth compared to other modules.

→ Announcements	Jump to	Python Code Forum ►

You are logged in as <u>Leah Edwards</u> (<u>Sign out</u>)

Moodle Docs for this page
About Moodle | Moodle Help | Search courses | MyPortfolio | Email | Insite | Categories

Powered by Moodle | © MMXIX | Terms | Privacy | Cookies | Accessibility

