Survey Summary

PX120 Feedback 2022	
No. of Participants	60
Total no. of students	269
Survey Started	13 Mar 2022 20:46:06 GMT
Survey Ended	21 May 2022 09:53:42 BST

I attended (...?...) of the lectures

Description	Responses	%
<50%	1	1.67
50-80%	10	16.67
>80%	49	81.67
Total	60	

The quantity of course material was			
Description	Responses		%
About right		36	60.00
Too much		22	36.67
Too little		2	3.33
Total		60	

By the end of the module, its purpose and direction was			
Description	Responses		%
Clear		52	86.67
Hazy		7	11.67
Unclear		1	1.67
Total		60	

Explanation of new terms and concepts was			
Description	Responses		%
Good		39	65.00
Adequate		20	33.33
Poor		1	1.67
Total		60	

I have a (?) set of notes			
Description	Responses		%
Good		38	63.33
Adequate		22	36.67
Poor		0	0.00
Total		60	

I attempted (?) of examples sheet questions			
Description	Responses		%
<40%		4	6.67













40-50% >80% Total 21 35 60

35.00

58.33



The examples questions were...

Description	Responses	%
Too easy	0	0.00
About right	53	91.38
Too difficult	5	8.62
Total	58	



Promptness of feedback on coursework was			
Description	Responses		%
Good		39	68.42
Adequate		17	29.82
Poor		1	1.75
Total		57	

Would you like a course taking this subject further?			
Description	Responses		%
Yes		35	58.33
Neutral		18	30.00
No		7	11.67
Total		60	

Did you use any of the recommended/suggested textbooks			
Description	Responses		%
Yes - purchased		4	6.90
Yes - consulted		19	32.76
No		35	60.34
Total		58	

		• •	
I found the	e textbooks	used to	be

Description	Responses		%
Very helpful		2	3.39
Helpful		19	32.20
Unhelpful		2	3.39
I did not use a textbook		36	61.02
Total		59	

I found the in-lecture experiment demonstrations			
Description	Responses		%
Useful		20	33.33
Entertaining		40	66.67
Unhelpful		0	0.00
Total		60	













I understood the following main topics...

1.	Coulomb	force	and	electric	fields

Description	Responses		%
In the lectures		42	70.00
After more work		18	30.00
Poorly		0	0.00
Total		60	

2. Gauss's Law

Description	Responses	%
In the lectures	12	20.00
After more work	47	78.33
Poorly	1	1.67
Total	60)



3. Electrostatic Potential			
Description	Responses		%
In the lectures		25	42.37
After more work		34	57.63
Poorly		0	0.00
Total		59	

4. Capacitors

Description	Responses	%
In the lectures	49	83.05
After more work	10	16.95
Poorly	0	0.00
Total	59	

5. Current, resistors and DC circuits				
Description	Responses		%	
In the lectures		48	81.36	
After more work		11	18.64	
Poorly		0	0.00	
Total		59		

6. Magnetic field, Lorentz force, Biot-Savart Law			
Description	Responses		%
In the lectures		2	3.39
After more work		52	88.14
Poorly		5	8.47
Total		59	

7. Ampere's Law			
Description	Responses		%
In the lectures		12	20.34
After more work		45	76.27
Poorly		2	3.39
Total		59	

8. Electric and magnetic dipoles

Description	Responses	%
In the lectures	14	23.73
After more work	37	62.71
Poorly	8	13.56
Total	59	











9. Faraday's Law

Description	Responses		%
In the lectures		22	37.29
After more work		37	62.71
Poorly		0	0.00
Total		59	



10. Solenoid and Inductors				
Description	Responses		%	
In the lectures		18	30.51	
After more work		36	61.02	
Poorly		5	8.47	
Total		59		

Responses



The best	features	of this	module	were:

Participants:	37

Comments:

11. LRC circuits

In the lectures

After more work

Description

Poorly

Total

the demonstrations

course notes are very clear, I look forward to referring to these during my revision. Erwin's enthusiasm and demonstrations made the course more engaging and linked the physics to practical relevance

%

38.98

52.54

8.47

23

31

5

59

Demonstrations and course notes

The demonstrations

They were engaging.

Learning about a key feature of physics in a fun and intuitive way

Demonstrations, erwin

The lecturer kept things interesting throughout the module.

'-Demosntrations-Very good explanations-Excellent set of online notes

Usually clear and stepwise explanations, lecturer has good fashion sense

The lectures were very clear and covered all necessary content. The lecture notes are also very good.

Seeing how the difficult maths and physics come together.

Erwin's jumpers

The explanations and diagrams were clear.

Professor Verwichte is a phenomenal lecturer. I appreciate his liberal use of examples and him going through every step of a particular problem at the right level of detail. He has a brilliant personality and makes lectures very entertaining

Erwin's anecdotes

in depth lecture notes with clear explanations and detailed diagrams

The teaching was good and informative, with occasional enjoyable tangents and demonstrations.

The lecturer was very efficient at getting through the content and explaining it well

Dr Erwin Verwichte

I don't enjoy E&M as a subject, but I felt that the course content was communicated well and I understood it better than ever before.

erwin The lecturer and the content

Erwin <3

Covering in detail in important area of physics

Erwin

Clear and concise exlanations by the lecturer, content not too difficult

The demonstrations in the lectures

The similarities between the online work and the problem sheets was very helpful.

- The typed notes are excellent, clearly a lot of effort has gone into making them and it shows. Granted this is my 1st year but these are the best resource I have seen so far. - I liked the demonstrations in the lecture, it was nice to see the physics we were learning in action.

- Even though it wasn't a maths course, this module helped to develop my understanding and ability at vectors and line/surface integrals.

Erwin was very entertaining and the Picasso reference for LRC circuits was amazing. He also did well as explaining concepts clearly

Very good explanations, can explain in different ways, connections to real life, engaging

- Erwin Verwichte is a fantastic lecturer and is good at explaining concepts and keeping the lectures interesting. - Excellent set of typed notes

I liked the demonstrations done in the lectures, it helped my understanding of the theory. Some of it was interesting at time and you could see the use of it in real life I don't know the module was done rather well so nothing stands out in particular Lectures were engaging, easy to pay attention to.

Any particular aspects/items needing improvement (and suggestions how):		
Participants:	29	
Comments:		
Too much content in this lecture that was covered to	po quickly	
a bit too much content times (eg. Do we need to kno	w all of the derivations etc)	
Go though intro math a little more		
The speed of lectures was very high. More time on the	ne harder concepts would have been helpful.	
I think maybe there could've been a bit more focus of	on some of the more challenging concepts	
Sometimes the pace felt a bit fast, like we were mov	ing on from a topic even tho I didn't fully understand it	
More examples of solving problems, either in the lec	tures or via prerecorded videos on Moodle would be helpful.	
I would prefer if the electronic notes for each week w	vere released as separate files instead of a cumulative file.	
Some derivations e.g. electric dipole moved quite fas	st; in lecture writing was sometimes quite lean as compared to context given by speaking	

Sometimes the lecturer's responses to answers in lectures were slightly curt

Diagrams can become difficult to draw

There was a lot of content for the module and a lot of knowledge was assumed and had time to be recapped in the lectures.

Less focus on derivations during lectures and more focus on explaining the fundamental concepts. I don't gain anything from watching the lecturer write out a ten-minute derivation and blindly copying it out. The derivations can easily be looked over in free time so the lectures can be dedicated to actual explanation and teaching.

The many examples were helpful overall, but somewhat dry in their frequency and presentations.

Better mathematical treatment, particularly with integrals and coordinate systems.

Sometimes writing on the screen goes too fast when also trying to write what is being said and draw diagrams. Also sometimes the problem sheet content didn't line up with what was being taught in lectures- so, would be before we learned it or after

Perhaps including more examples

don't know

The content is covered well but at a very fast pace where it is impossible to make notes on all of the content while having time to understand what the lecturer is saying. There needs to be time left for students to finish writing down notes before the lecturer moves on.

Some of the content seemed like it was not very useful

Lecturer should slow down at points to allow people to take notes and listen

Some of the content went a little quickly and quite a lot of knowledge was assumed (eg line integrals, surface integrals and volume integrals) without that being taught when there was clearly enough lecture time to give an in person explanation.

- I am aware this has been raised at SSLC and hasn't changed but lecture capture being deleted after 2 weeks is unfair on students. It hasn't even been consistent (as of writing on 17th Mar lectures from 7th Feb are available, only first 12 are gone). So consider not deleting them. The amount/importance of maths is unclear, there is maths which is technically examinable which surpasses PX149 but past exam papers seem

devoid of this sort of maths, purpose of maths is therefore unclear. '- Explanation of energy density of electric fields was unclear, I don't see how electric fields which at the start of module we were told don't actually exist can store energy.

- Some of the mastering physics questions were poor and, particularly at the start of the module, were on content we hadn't covered. I think this was a result of the 1st lecture being cancelled, so the teaching was offset by 1 lecture. - Again aware this has been raised at SSLC,

but the mastering physics + problem sheets not counting for this module is silly. I understand that department says they don't have resources for maths students to do problem classes, but my problem classes had attendance of like 40%, I know some have like 4 people attend. So surely there is the capacity to take on maths students? Having coursework count for this module may motivate more people to try harder on coursework, as no one is scared of failing PX146.

May be a bit too fast at times

- Minor Nit-pick: please can you include a contents page in the typed notes throughout the module and not just in the final document, I found it quite hard to navigate to the content I wanted, especially during later weeks. -The module has too much content, there is a lot to remember. Felt that the pace of some of the content wasn't manageable and for the harder bits this made it nearly impossible to keep up. Felt like erwin assumed we'd know much more than it would be realistic to expect at times and subsequently breezed over really hard topics N/A

Too much content and the maths is extremely difficult.

Any other comments: 15 **Participants: Comments:** Thank you Erwin - favourite lecturer to date none Overall a very nice module. I enjoyed it :) N/a **Cheers Erwin** N/A Best module of the year The module was overall very informative and functional. I hope the lectures are put back up for revision purposes when we reach exams- written notes are all good but personally I understand better using auditory means, such as the lectures, and I would prefer to hear the content from Prof. Verwichte than look it up on YouTube and potentially get it wrong. No N/A I liked the websites and articles that were linked each week on the Moodle page. '- Thank you for teaching the module **Thanks Erwin** N/A