Responses: 34

PX382 Module Feedback Questionnaire

Thank you for submitting your feedback on this module - the results will be collated and the information viewed by the module leader and the Education Committee and can help to improve the experience of students taking this module in future.

1 I watched or read through the notes of (...?...) of the online lecture material

Response	Average	Total
>80%	91%	31
50-80%	6 %	2
<50%	3 %	1
Total responses to question	100%	34/34

2 I attended (...?...) of the Live events for this module

Response	Average	Total
All	18%	6
Most	18%	6
Some	50%	17
None	15%	5
Total responses to question	100%	34/34

3 The quantity of material was...

Response	Average	Total
About right	64%	21
Too great	36%	12
Total responses to question	97%	33/34

4 By the end of the module its purpose and direction were...

Response	Average	Total
Clear	68%	23
Наzy	29%	10
Unclear	3 %	1

Response	Average	Total
Total responses to question	100%	34/34

<u>Ak</u>

5 Explanation of new terms and concepts was...

Response	Average	Total
Good	38%	13
Adequate	47%	16
Poor	15%	5
Total responses to question	100%	34/34

6 I have a (...?...) set of notes

Response	Average	Total
Good	42%	13
Adequate	55%	17
Poor	3 %	1
Total responses to question	91%	31/34

7 I attempted (...?...) of examples sheet questions

Response	Average	Total
<40%	36%	12
40-50%	18%	6
>80%	45%	15
Total responses to question	97%	33/34

8 The examples sheet questions were...

Response	Average	Total
About Right	63%	17
Too Hard	37%	10
Total responses to question	79%	27/34

9 Promptness of feedback on submitted coursework was...

Response	Average	Total
Good	14%	4
Adequate	29%	8
Poor	57%	16
Total responses to question	82%	28/34

10 Would you like a course taking this subject further?

Response	Average	Total
Yes	55%	18
Neutral	24%	8
No	21%	7
Total responses to question	97%	33/34

11 Did you use any of the recommended/suggested textbooks?

Response	Average	Total
Yes - purchased	6 %	2
Yes - consulted	26%	9
No	68%	23
Total responses to question	100%	34/34

12 I found the textbook(s) used to be...

Response	Average	Total
Very Helpful	3 %	1
Helpful	23%	7
Unhelpful	1 0%	3
I did not use a textbook	65%	20
Total responses to question	91%	31/34

I understood the following main topics

13 Operators and angular momentum

Response	Average	Total
First time through online lectures or notes	50%	16
After more work	47%	15
Poorly	3 %	1
Total responses to question	94%	32/34

14 Theory of approximate methods

Response	Average	Total
First time through online lectures or notes	50%	16
After more work	47%	15
Poorly	3 %	1
Total responses to question	94%	32/34

15 Calculations using approximate methods

Response	Average	Total
First time through online lectures or notes	38%	12
After more work	56%	18
Poorly	6 %	2
Total responses to question	94%	32/34

16 Spin-orbit coupling and the Zeeman effect

Response	Average	Total
First time through online lectures or notes	29%	9
After more work	68%	21
Poorly	3 %	1
Total responses to question	91%	31/34

17 Identical particles, periodic table and many electron effects

Response	Average	Total
First time through online lectures or notes	38%	12
After more work	53%	17

Response	Average	Tota
Poorly	9%	
Total responses to question	94%	32/3
he best features of this module were:		
Respondent		Respons
TI	he maths in lectures was explained very clearly which	n made it easy to follov
The the thoroughness of the	e lectures. I felt that each concept and all the notatio	on was explained clearl
	Some interesting topics. Enjoyed the cont	tent on lasers especially
The problem sheets we	ere good practice. There were plenty of worked exan	nples which helped wit understanding
	The lecturer wa	as very clear and helpfu
	The content was man	nagable and interesting
calculations using approximate n	nethods. Gives me a glimpse of how real computatic	ons would be performe in theoretical researc
		Tthe last sectio
		Non
	\	Very interesting concep
	The abundance of resources Lees provides for	or questions to practice
	TI	he content is interestin
Total responses to question		12/3
ny particular aspects/items needing improvement (and sugg	gestions how):	
Respondent		Respons
essentially do a mock everywee	to assessed problem sheets we can work on at any ti k under a deadline and then get no feedback on the by actually marking our problem sheets or through so	em. Give us some sort o
	Multiplets wasn't concept	ually explained very we
Possibly the powerpoint slide	es could have been made more concise with less rep	petition of covered area

and I prefer going over questions I've gotten wrong as soon as possible.

Respondent Response

Not being able to see where we are going wrong in online tests makes them mostly pointless, other than providing a great sense of impending doom as you watch your marks get lower and lower with no idea where you are going wrong. Answers to problem sheets are frequently unhelpful, as they don't fully explain where they are pulling numbers/equations from. Helpful if you already understood the question, useless to the truly confused. Lectures seem good, you will make your notes and think you understand, and then the questions/application of the theory prove you wrong. (I really struggled so my responses may be biased)

Lecture videos simply reproduce the typed notes in PowerPoint format so there is far more content to write down than in a normal lecture. This leads to having to pause lecture videos continuously to write it down. The delivery of the content is also not particularly engaging as almost all of the audio is simply reading out the lecture notes with little discussion around the content. Using analogies and other ways to better understand the content would be helpful.

Releasing guiz feedback with marks

The module feels like it has considerably more content than the other 7.5 CAT modules I have done this year (e.g. compared to PX384 Electrodynamics). I understand that this all needs to be covered (and all the content is very interesting!) but the amount of content to cover each week was quite a lot so maybe if the module was over a longer period or worth more CATs that would improve that. Also, I found the discussion of the addition of angular momentum quite unclear. I think I will have to look at this a lot myself to understand it. Maybe it would also be helpful to give us a set of notes without the gaps in after the module is finished? They would be easier to search through than looking through all the separate PowerPoint presentations.

There was too much content in week 4 and 5. Also the fill in the box style notes weren't very helpful and I had to make my own notes for future revision.

Reading off lecture slides is a poor method of exposition for such a mathematical module, the lectures added very little as opposed to simply reading the slides ourselves.

In my opinion the material needs to be condensed so that it doesn't take so long to go through. I struggled to keep up with the module as it took me so long to get through the power points each week. I also would have found it helpful if we received feedback (i.e. where we went wrong / answers) on each quiz before the next one came up.

The notes were really good, but the lecture format was not effective at all. Reading off of the screen runs too fast and writing down notes as the lecturer is speaking is impossible. I, similarly to many of my friends, found that it was best to copy down the notes first and then watch the lectures to listen. However, this takes at least twice as long as the intended lecture time. It would be best if the lecturer adopted the style of writing down the notes themselves - this makes the process clearer and more engaging for the students. The best example for this from the first 5 weeks are the Electrodynamics lectures.

Nope

If we are to be given an uncompleted set of notes and fill in the blanks. They should be put straight in pigeon holes, rather than us having to keep doing online questionnaires.

A full-type set of lecture notes. Personally, due to other modules, I did not have time to re-write the lecture notes after watching the lecture videos as I wanted to prioritise the problem sheets

The lecture pace was way too fast, and taking notes took ages. It would take me at least an hour to get through a 25min lecture. I don't know why this module wasn't just spread out over 10 weeks so that the content could be taught at a normal pace and not rushed. The workload compared to other modules was too much and I basically had no time to do problem sheets

The lecturer refused to give any feedback on the assessed quizzes, making it incredibly difficult to identify the gaps in our understanding. Speaking to people that have taken this module in previous years, the reason for this is that the lecturer reuses the exact same quizzes every year, so can't give out the feedback and solutions. This is incredibly lazy and outright disrespectful to students trying their hardest to learn the difficult topics. I'm aware that the SSLC has passed on similar feedback before, and yet the lecturer still refuses to do his job properly and deliver the module to a standard appropriate of a top university.

Respondent Response	
- Most questions rely on computations (eg integrals) not very interesting - Degenerate perturbation theory needs better explanation I feel lik	
Feedback for the assignments! If he wishes to reuse the quiz he could at least go through the questions in a live lectu without publishing solutions. This way students have a better chance of understanding where their knowledge lacking instead of getting simply a grad	
Not getting any feedback on the online quizzes is extremely annoying and makes it very hard to assess how well you have grasped the concepts in the lectures. The quality of the videos is not amazing and also the fill in the gap notes a annoying to us	
have grasped the concepts in the lectures. The quality of the videos is not amazing and also the fill in the gap notes a	Total
have grasped the concepts in the lectures. The quality of the videos is not amazing and also the fill in the gap notes a annoying to us	Total responses to
have grasped the concepts in the lectures. The quality of the videos is not amazing and also the fill in the gap notes a annoying to us	

ondent Respon	Respondent
It felt like this module was an applied-maths module to quantum-related topics, since we only learned about uses of mathematical methods, not actually phys	
Thank you I was very satisfied with this module overall and the quality of the lectures was some of the best so	
Thank y	
Lecturer was gre	
Would like to know where I went wrong on the quizz	
The whole purpose of the module seemed a bit lost It just felt like an appendix to second year quant	
It would be very nice if they shifted Relativistic Quantum Mechanics to weeks 6-10 so that maths student can ta it in third yea	
·	Total responses to question