Survey Summary

PX396 Feedback 2022

No. of Participants	16
Total no. of students	119
Survey Started	14 Mar 2022 19:23:31 GMT
Survey Ended	

I attended (...?...) of the lectures

Description	Responses	%
<50%	2	12.50
50-80%	4	25.00
>80%	10	62.50
Total	**16**	

The quantity of course material was...

Description	Responses	%
About right	14	87.50
Too much	2	12.50
Too little	0	0.00
Total	**16**	

By the end of the module, its purpose and direction was...

Description	Responses	%
Clear	11	68.75
Hazy	4	25.00
Unclear	1	6.25
Total	**16**	

Explanation of new terms and concepts was...

Description	Responses	%
Good	4	25.00
Adequate	9	56.25
Poor	3	18.75
Total	**16**	

I have a (...?...) set of notes

Description	Responses	%
Good	7	43.75
Adequate	8	50.00
Poor	1	6.25
Total	**16**	

I attempted (...?...) of examples sheet questions

Description	Responses	%
<40%	8	50.00
40-50%	6	37.50
>80%	2	12.50
Total	**16**	

The examples questions were...

Description	Responses	%
Too easy	0	0.00
About right	11	78.57
Too difficult	3	21.43
Total	**14**	

Promptness of feedback on coursework was...

Description	Responses	%
Good	10	83.33
Adequate	2	16.67
Poor	0	0.00
Total	**12**	

Would you like a course taking this subject further?

Description	Responses	%
Yes	9	56.25
Neutral	4	25.00
No	3	18.75
Total	**16**	

Did you use any of the recommended/suggested textbooks

Description	Responses	%
Yes - purchased	0	0.00
Yes - consulted	4	25.00
No	12	75.00
Total	**16**	

I found the textbooks used to be...

Description	Responses	%
Very helpful	2	12.50
Helpful	2	12.50
Unhelpful	0	0.00
I did not use a textbook	12	75.00
Total	**16**	

I understood the following main topics...

1. Measurement of Nuclear properties

Description	Responses	%
In the lectures	10	62.50
After more work	6	37.50
Poorly	0	0.00
Total	**16**	

2. Nuclear Models

Description	Responses	%
In the lectures	10	62.50
After more work	5	31.25
Poorly	1	6.25
Total	**16**	

The examples questions were...

Promptness of feedback on coursework was...

Would you like a course taking this subject further?

Did you use any of the recommended/suggested textbooks?

I found the textbooks used to be...

I understood the following main topics... 1. Measurement of Nuclear properties

2. Nuclear Models

3. Radioactive decays

Description	Responses	%
In the lectures	10	62.50
After more work	5	31.25
Poorly	1	6.25
Total	**16**	

3. Radioactive decays

- In the lectures
- After more work
- Poorly

4. Applications

Description	Responses	%
In the lectures	8	50.00
After more work	7	43.75
Poorly	1	6.25
Total	**16**	

4. Applications

- In the lectures
- After more work
- Poorly

5. BMN Marin: Nuclear and Particle Physics

Description	Responses	%
In the lectures	5	31.25
After more work	9	56.25
Poorly	2	12.50
Total	**16**	

5. BMN Marin: Nuclear and Particle Physics

- In the lectures
- After more work
- Poorly

The best features of this module were:

Participants: 8

Comments:

Was good course, notes are nice

Interesting content

The lecture format with problems done on Friday was good and the content was interesting.

Doing questions on Fridays

The amount of content was good and it was well paced.

Interactive lectures to answer problem sheets

Going through problems in lectures is a good way to better understand the topics and prepare us for exams.

Some interesting content was covered.

Any particular aspects/items needing improvement (and suggestions how):

Participants: 9

Comments:

Not really just explain all parts of equations in lecture a bit more explicitly

Handwriting can be very difficult to read. Specifically, it's hard to tell what some letters are when written down (eg n).

There were a lot of equations that were not explained or derived in any detail which was quite confusing.

Sometimes the explanations were terse and the handwriting was also difficult to read at times.

Clearer notes with more subtitles/titles I find my notes just follow quite a long list of bullet points

Clarity of written notes poor, sometimes impossible to read certain letters and symbols

fridays as a seminar is very unhelpful, much better to have a proper lecture and explain the concepts more slowly. lectures not taut in engaging way, does not flow as well as other modules

Lib 2 isn't a great location for lectures as it is hard to see the board from the back

I found the lectures were rushed and key points in the explanations of new topics were omitted. In addition, although the written notes were a great resource, they were sometimes hard to understand. I also think the desire to cover so much content meant that little of the content could be really explained in any detail. For example, many equations were simply stated rather than derived in any sense.

Any other comments:

Participants: 2

Comments:

An enjoyable module and the lecturer was very approachable for support etc.

I didn't go to lectures because I could not really read the writing with the projection, but it was exceptionally clear on the lecture capture

MODULE CODE PX 396   **YEAR** 2022

Please ensure that you hand this form back to the lecturer at the end of the lecture or bring the form back to the Student Office, Room P522 (within 2 working days). Thank you.

Thank you for filling in the online survey. If you was not able to complete the survey during today's lecture please visit the module's moodle page where you will find a link to the survey. The survey will stay open for a further week after the module ends.

We would appreciate your further written comments below.

The best features of this module were:

Any particular aspects/items needing improvement (and suggestions how):

Having Friday lecture as a seminar does not work
better to have 3 lectures a week, and if
time problems classes on top
Lecture material not taught in engaging manner,
not much of a 'story' / good interesting flow
to material
Improve hand writing

Any other comments:

MODULE CODE _PX396_   **YEAR** _2022_

Thank you for filling in the online survey. If you was not able to complete the survey during today's lecture please visit the module's moodle page where you will find a link to the survey. The survey will stay open for a further week after the module ends.

We would appreciate your further written comments below.

The best features of this module were:

- Michal is a great lecturer
- Great notes
- Great problem sheets
- ~~Tours~~

Any particular aspects/items needing improvement (and suggestions how):

- Would be good if ~~some~~ parts of equation could be described more explicitly

eg: $pV = nKT$

     p - pressure
     V - volume
     :
     etc

Any other comments:

N/A