


# Outbursts in AM CVn binaries



Gavin Ramsay  
(*Armagh Observatory*)

Tom Barclay (NASA/Ames), Peter Wheatley (Warwick),  
Simon Rosen (Leicester), Danny Steeghs (Warwick),  
Iwona Kotko (Cracow), Pasi Hakala (FINCA)



# First outbursts

Outbursts have been known in CR Boo, V803 Cen & CP Eri for decades. eg CR Boo B~13.6-17.2, mostly in high state. Quasi-Period of 4-5 days (Wood et al 1987).




FIG. 2—Light curve of CR Boo in 1996. The star cycled between magnitude 15 and 14 for the first 10 d, followed by a “high state” lasting > 80 d (assuming no downward excursions in light during gaps in the observational record).

Long period changes in brightness and quasi-periodic on 19 hrs: Patterson et al (1997)






FIG. 3—Upper frame: expanded view of the first 10 d of the 1996 campaign, showing rapid cycling with  $P \leq 1$  d. Lower frame: power spectrum of this light curve, showing a signal at  $1.17 \pm 0.04$  c/d.


# Longer periods in CR Boo




Alternates between high/low states on period of 46.3 days. In the faint state outbursts on 4-8 day timescale, Kato et al (2000).

# AM CVn's as 'supernovae':

SN 1998di = KL Dra



SN 2003aw = V407 Hya



Many more examples coming from all-sky variability surveys


PTF - see talk by David Levitain

CRTTS - see talk by Patrick Woudt

**Very important to ensure 'unusual' supernovae spectra sent to contact in AM CVn community.**

**Maybe the best way to detect AM CVn systems in the ~25-45 min period range?**

# Outbursts as function period:



From Tsugawa & Osaki (1997)

From Nelemans (2005): (Note less than a dozen systems even in 2004 the year of that conference!)

Name	$P_{\text{orb}}^a$ (s)	$P_{\text{sh}}^a$ (s)	Spectrum	Phot. var <sup>b</sup>	dist (pc)	X-ray <sup>c</sup>	UV <sup>d</sup>
ES Cet	621 (p/s)		Em <sup>1,2</sup>	orb		C <sup>3</sup> X	GI
AM CVn	1029 (s/p)	1051	Abs	orb	235 <sup>4</sup>	RX	HI
HP Lib	1103 (p)	1119	Abs	orb		X	HI
CR Boo	1471 (p)	1487	Abs/Em?	OB/orb		ARX	I
KL Dra	1500 (p)	1530	Abs/Em?	OB/orb			
V803 Cen	1612 (p)	1618	Abs/Em?	OB/orb		Rx	FHI
CP Eri	1701 (p)	1716	Abs/Em	OB/orb			H
2003aw	? (s)	2042	Em/Abs?	OB/orb			
SDSSJ1240-01	2242 <sup>5</sup> (s)		Em	n			
GP Com	2794 (s)		Em	n	70 <sup>6</sup>	ARX <sup>7</sup>	H <sup>8</sup> I
CE315	3906 (s)		Em	n	77 <sup>9</sup>	R(?) <sup>e</sup> X	H <sup>10</sup>
Candidates							
RXJ0806+15	321 (X/p)		He/H? <sup>11</sup>	"orb"		<sup>12-15</sup> CRX	
V407 Vul	569 (X/p)		K-star <sup>16</sup>	"orb"		<sup>17-19</sup> ARCRxX	


High State systems: short period; absorption lines;  
 Low State systems: longer period: emission lines;  
 Outburst systems: known to have >1 mag outbursts.

**With the increasing number of AM CVn systems, it was an obvious idea to determine the outburst characteristics and period range of these groups.**

# A survey of AM CVn binaries using the robotic Liverpool Telescope

Ran from Feb 2009 until June 2011 and 18 systems were observed.

Source	Period (mins)	LT Target?	LT Range g (mag)	LT mean g (mag)	LT rms g (mag)	Outbursting System?
HM Cnc	5.4	X				N
V407 Vul	9.5	X				N
ES Cet	10.4	✓	16.5–16.8	16.66	0.09	N
SDSS J190817.07+394036.4	15.6	X				N
AM CVn	17.1	X				N
HP Lib	18.4	✓	13.6–13.7	13.51	0.02	N
CR Boo	24.5	✓	13.8–17.0	15.00	1.00	Y
KL Dra	25.0	✓	16.0–19.6	17.68	1.19	Y
PTF1 J0719+4858	26.8	X				Y
V803 Cen	26.9	X				Y
SDSS J092638.71+362402.4	28.3	✓	16.6–19.6	19.31	0.52	Y
CP Eri	28.4	✓	16.2–20.2	19.30	1.33	Y
V406 Hya (2003aw)	33.8	✓	14.5–19.7	18.89	1.34	Y
2QZ J142701.6-012310	36.6	✓	20.0–20.5	20.35	0.18	Y
SDSS J012940.05+384210.4	:37	✓	14.5–20.0	19.31	1.31	Y
SDSS J124058.03-015919.2	37.4	✓	19.0–19.8	19.62	0.17	Y
SDSS J080449.49+161624.8	44.5	✓	17.8–19.0	18.54	0.30	Y
SDSS J141118.31+481257.6	:46	✓	19.4–19.7	19.58	0.09	N
GP Com	46.6	✓	15.9–16.3	16.22	0.05	N
SDSS J090221.35+381941.9	48.3	X				N
SDSS J155252.48+320150.9	56.3	✓	20.2–20.6	20.44	0.10	N
V396 Hya	65.1	X				N
SDSS J120841.96+355025.2		✓	18.9–19.4	19.09	0.07	N
SDSS J152509.57+360054.5		✓	19.8–20.2	19.91	0.11	N
SDSS J164228.06+193410.0		X				N
SDSS J172102.48+273301.2		✓	20.4–20.7	20.53	0.17	N
SDSS J204739.40+000840.1		✓	17.0–17.4	17.13	0.03	Y




2m Liverpool Telescope on La Palma



RATCAM. Images taken in g band.

# Overview:

Out of 18 systems, 7 showed outbursts  $> 1$  mag. 1/3 of AM CVn binaries show outbursts. cf 45% in 2004.



# Systems which showed outbursts

$P_{\text{orb}}$

24.5 mins

25.0 mins


28.3 mins

28.4 mins


33.8 mins

:37 mins

44.5 mins



# Outburst profile as a function of orbital period





Ramsay et al (2012)

Systems with  $25 < P_{orb} < 30$  min, short duration outbursts (a week or two), recurrence time several to many months.

$30 < P_{orb} < 40$  mins high amplitude, rapid decline and then long duration decline. Outburst lasts several months.

Systems with shorter and longer periods more erratic.

# How many bursts did we miss?




Observations planned every 5 days. Weather conditions meant some weeks went by with no data on individual sources.

Created synthetic light curves with different outburst duration and recurrence interval.

The results for two representative systems are shown.


Less than 10% chance of missing a burst for recurrence time < 150 days.

Also did simulations for SDSS J0926:




These suggest we missed one burst.

# What are the dips seen shortly after outburst?




Seen in the optical and UV.  
Reheating of accretion disc or related to dips seen in outbursts in dwarf novae?

KIC 4378554: dwarf nova 1.8 hrs




PTF J0719+4858 Levitan et al (2011)



Kepler observations of CVs show this dip before every super-outburst.

Further evidence that these outbursts are similar to super-outbursts seen in SU UMa dwarf novae.

# Comparison with predictions



Outbursts  $24\text{min} < P_{orb} < 44$  min.

Consistent with predictions.

$P_{orb} < 24$  mins, disc always hotter than ionisation state of helium;

$P_{orb} > 44$  mins, disc always cooler than ionisation state of helium.


KL Dra and CR Boo very similar  $P_{orb}$ , but very different outburst properties.

Not just related to  $P_{orb}$ . State of secondary?


Adapted from Tsugawa & Osaki (1997)

# KL Dra - a helium analogue SU UMa


The Kepler light curve of the hydrogen accreting dwarf nova V1504 Cyg



V1504 Cyg, Cannizzo et al (2012)




KL Dra, Ramsay et al (2010, 2012)




PTF J0719 Levitan (2011)

At least two AM CVn binaries which show super-outbursts/outbursts like that seen in SU UMa dwarf novae. In contrast, CR Boo spends more of the time in a high state.

# KL Dra: Swift Nov-Dec 2009 observations



Ramsay et al (2010).




'Spare' time to observe non-GRB targets.

Simultaneous X-ray/UV observations in Nov-Dec 2009. UV flux mirrors optical flux. No clear change in X-ray flux over the course of the outburst.


Comparing X-ray flux with systems with parallax measurements suggests distance 550-850pc.

# Swift observations of KL Dra


*Swift-UVOT images*




V band




B band




U Band



2600A



2250A




1930A


# KL Dra - the Nov 2009 outburst

Optical

NOT 2009-11-25




INT 2009-12-10




UV

Swift UV 2009-11-26




Swift UV 2009-12-10



# KL Dra -test of the outburst model

AM CVn systems showing dwarf nova outbursts are excellent systems to determine how composition affects the outburst characteristics and test outburst models.




Simulations by Iwona Kotko - see her talk for details.

# Comparison with dwarf novae

*X-rays tend to be suppressed during optical outburst*

VW Hyi Wheatley et al (1996)




U Gem appears to be the one exception (Mattei, Mauche & Wheatley 2000)


# Comparison with dwarf novae

*X-rays tend to be suppressed during optical outburst*

VW Hyi Wheatley et al (1996)



U Gem appears to be the one exception (Mattei, Mauche & Wheatley 2000)




SS Cyg (Wheatley, Mauche & Mattei 2003)


# XMM-Newton observations of KL Dra

## Sept 2011

*Most sensitive X-ray observations of an AM CVn Binary in outburst*



Instead of an intensive monitoring campaign we used the trend in recurrence time to predict the time of the next outburst to trigger an XMM-Newton ToO. Set for Sept 19th 2011. Outburst in fact started 5-6 days earlier than predicted.




Eight epochs of data, each typically 3-5 hrs in duration.

Launched in 1999; three X-rays telescopes and a Optical/UV telescope.


# XMM-Newton observations of KL Dra Sept 2011

X-rays suppressed in optical outburst. Increase after end of outburst. X-ray softness ratio changes over course of outburst, especially at the softest energies.


UV images




Outburst State



Low State




# XMM-Newton OM/UV observations




Modulation seen at three epochs - cannot distinguish between signature of orbital period and super-hump period.

Long thoughts super-humps were seen only in super-outbursts ...

However, seen in quiescence, normal outbursts and superoutbursts in Kepler observations of CVs



V344 Lyr, Still et al (2010)





Ramsay et al submitted MNRAS

Differences in light curve folded on super-hump period can give insight to accretion process

# XMM-Newton observations of KL Dra



## Sept 2011



X-ray spectra well modelled using an absorbed thermal plasma model. Metallicity not well constrained. Weak evidence for temperature cooler during optical outburst compared to quiescence. Ramsay et al submitted MNRAS

# Similarities and differences in outburst of KL Dra and dwarf novae



X-rays suppressed during optical outburst in KL Dra and also the vast majority of dwarf novae;  
XMM-Newton data not able to determine if there is a delay in the return of X-rays compared to dwarf novae.



# Similarities and differences in outburst of KL Dra and dwarf novae

The shock temperature,  $T_s$ , is proportional to  $\mu$ , the mean molecular mass of the gas. For solar composition,  $\mu=0.615$ , and a helium flow,  $\mu=4/3$ . The temperature for a helium flow should therefore be  $\sim$  twice that seen in dwarf novae. Not what is observed.

Temperature in dwarf novae and magnetic CVs can reach many  $10$ 's of keV.



*Significant proportion of X-rays generated in wind from white dwarf or accretion disc?*

# Evidence for winds in AM CVn's & CVs

P Cyg profiles in AM CVn

Strong broad O VII line attributed to wind in quiescence

Kusterer, Nagel & Werner (2008)





Figure 1. STIS spectrum of AM CVn overlaid with a theoretical disc spectrum calculated with AcDc (Nagel et al. 2004) and a Monte Carlo accretion disk wind spectrum calculated with our new code WOMPAT.

Perna et al (2003)



In KL Dra, X-rays from wind from white dwarf suppressed during outburst? As the environment becomes optically thin, X-rays from wind can be detected?

# Final thoughts

AM CVn systems showing dwarf nova outbursts excellent tests of disc instability models;

How do normal outbursts differ from superoutbursts in X-rays?

What role does winds play?

With new systems coming from PTF/CRTTS (etc) can begin to search for correlations between superoutburst period and parameters such as orbital period;

Additional targets to determine how X-rays vary over the outburst cycle.