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1. Introduction

Frustrated magnets have been a focal point of the research on magnetism for the past two decades. In this article, the influence of geometrical frustration on the magnetic properties of the family of rare-earth strontium oxides, SrLn$_2$O$_4$, (where Ln = Gd, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm and Yb) is discussed. Given the nature of this special issue of Low Temperature Physics on antiferromagnetism an extensive general introduction to magnetically frustrated systems is omitted and the reader is instead referred to a collection of reviews available on the subject.$^1$

We start with a description of the crystal structure and general properties of SrLn$_2$O$_4$ and other closely related compounds and then present the recently obtained experimental results on their low-temperature magnetic properties by our group and others. Particular attention is paid to the zero-field ground state of SrEr$_2$O$_4$, SrHo$_2$O$_4$ and SrDy$_2$O$_4$ (Sec. 2), as well as the field-induced behavior of these compounds (Sec. 3). The penultimate section briefly reviews the other SrLn$_2$O$_4$ compounds and discusses the importance of crystal field effects. The concluding section compares different members of the family and includes a brief summary.

The members of the SrLn$_2$O$_4$ family crystallize in the form of calcium ferrite,$^2$ with the space group Pnam; the crystal structure of these materials (see Fig. 1) can be viewed as a network of linked hexagons and triangles.$^3$,$^4$ The most important feature of the linked hexagon (or “honeycomb”) lattice is that it has the lowest coordination number, 3, in two dimensions. This feature attracts a lot of theoretical attention to the lattice, but being bipartite, the honeycomb lattice is that it has the lowest coordination number, 3, in two dimensions. This feature attracts a lot of theoretical attention to the lattice, but being bipartite, the honeycomb lattice is different; it arises from the triangular (or “zigzag”) ladders of rare-earth ions described here if these were “stretched” along the $c$ axis.$^4$ The term “zigzag” has also been used to describe the spin-chain structure of another honeycomb lattice compound Na$_3$IrO$_3$,$^7$,$^8$ but in a different context—to describe the arrangement of magnetic moments formed there.

The orthorhombic unit cell of the SrLn$_2$O$_4$ compounds contains 4 Sr atoms on a single site, 8 Ln atoms (split equally between two sites) and 16 oxygen atoms occupying 4 sites; all sites are of the $4c$ type with the coordinates ($x$, $y$, 1/4),$^4$ and about 10 and 12 Å respectively, while the $c$ axis is the shortest, at around 3.4 Å on average. The magnetic Ln atoms are surrounded by the distorted oxygen octahedra, forming the chains running along the $c$-axis. The shortest Ln–Ln separation is along the chains; there is a slightly larger separation between the chains formed by the Ln atoms occupying the same sites (which are shown in Fig. 1 in the same color), while the distance between Ln atoms from different sites (red and green in Fig. 1) is much greater.$^4$ Such a crystal structure predetermines the quasi one-dimensional magnetic properties of the SrLn$_2$O$_4$ compounds, as for rare-earth ions in insulating materials direct exchange is often the most important mechanism for magnetic coupling. It is rather useful to note the equivalence of the well-studied linear chain model with nearest and next-nearest interactions$^9$ and the ladders of rare-earth ions described here if these were “stretched” along the $c$ axis.

An important observation to make prior to the description of their properties is that both polycrystalline and single crystal samples of the SrLn$_2$O$_4$ compounds have been used for investigations. The progress achieved to date in the understanding of their complex behavior is, however, largely due to the availability of high quality single crystals. Crystals of magnetic SrLn$_2$O$_4$ oxides and their nonmagnetic analogues (with Ln = Lu or Y) have been synthesized by the floating zone technique by our group and others.$^{10-12}$ Examples of the single crystals grown$^{16}$ are shown in Fig. 2; the size of the crystals available is certainly sufficient for neutron scattering experiments, including inelastic studies. In comparison, the magnetic properties of structurally similar BaLn$_2$O$_4$ (Ref. 13), EuLn$_2$O$_4$ (Refs. 14 and 15) and BaLn$_2$S$_4$ (Ref. 16) compounds have not yet been probed to a significant degree, as only polycrystalline samples are available.
2. Zero field magnetic properties of SrEr$_2$O$_4$, SrHo$_2$O$_4$ and SrDy$_2$O$_4$

2.1. SrEr$_2$O$_4$

SrEr$_2$O$_4$ is found to order magnetically at $T_N = 0.75$ K with a $k = 0$ antiferromagnetic (AFM) structure (depicted in Fig. 3) consisting of ferromagnetic chains running along the $c$ axis, with adjacent chains arranged antiferromagnetically. The refinement of the powder neutron diffraction (PND) data suggested that the moments point along the $c$ direction and that only one of the two Er$^{3+}$ sites possesses a sizeable magnetic moment. It was not possible to determine which particular site contributed to the ordering, as the magnetic moments may be swapped between the two sites without changing the calculated PND pattern significantly, but the correlations between the chains are rather weak.

The situation with the low-temperature magnetic structure of SrEr$_2$O$_4$ became much clearer after the publication of single crystal polarized neutron diffraction results, which are summarized in Fig. 4. The presence of a magnetic component with long-range order (LRO) below 0.75 K was confirmed by the observation of sharp resolution-limited Bragg peaks at integer $(hkl)$ positions (see Fig. 4(a)). These peaks are replaced by broad and much weaker diffuse scattering features above $T_N$ (see Fig. 4(b)). Surprisingly, another distinct magnetic component corresponding to a short-range incommensurate structure was also detected. This component manifests itself by the presence of a strong diffuse signal, forming the undulated planes of scattering, which are seen as “rods” in a particular scattering plane. Figure 4(c), for example, clearly shows the two rods are at positions $(0,k,1/2 + \delta)$ and $(0,k,3/2 - \delta)$, where $\delta$ is dependent upon $k$. A Monte Carlo simulation showed that a simple model based on a ladder of triangles in which the nearest-neighbor interactions are approximately five times stronger than the next-nearest-neighbor interactions satisfactorily mimics the observed diffuse scattering patterns.

From the width of the diffuse “rods” at the base temperature, the estimates for correlation length along the $c$ axis vary from 130 to 70 Å depending on which “rod” is considered, but in any event the AFM correlations are rather long and include more than 20 magnetic ions. The interpretation of these data is that apart from the $k = 0$ (LRO component shown in Fig. 3) the magnetic structure of SrEr$_2$O$_4$ consists of highly correlated AFM chains running along the $c$ axis, but the correlations between the chains are rather weak.

On warming from the base temperature of a dilution cryostat to much higher temperatures, the partially ordered component does not undergo a pronounced phase transition unlike the $k = 0$ component. Instead it gradually loses the intensity, but it could be easily seen at 0.8 K (see Fig. 4(d)) and in fact much higher temperatures (not shown).

From the polarization analysis, the magnetic moments in the long-range commensurate and short-range incommensurate structures are found to be predominantly pointing along the $c$ and $a$ axes, respectively.

2.2. SrHo$_2$O$_4$

At a first glance, a refinement of the low-temperature magnetic structure of SrHo$_2$O$_4$ looks very similar to SrEr$_2$O$_4$. The PND data returned a collinear AFM $k = 0$ component, very similar to the one shown in Fig. 3, below the ordering temperature of 0.68 K, with only a half of the Ho$^{3+}$ ions carrying a significant moment. The presence of another magnetic component was also observed as a pronounced scattering around the $(0,0,1/2)$ positions. Further single crystal diffraction data, however, revealed a more complicated picture.

The observed broad diffraction peaks show that the $k = 0$ component (corresponding to a collinear antiferromagnetically coupled structure) is of short-range order type. The planes of...
diffuse scattering corresponding to another kind of magnetic order appear to be nearly perfectly commensurate, i.e., the parameter $\delta$ is almost zero for them, although the variations of the intensity have been seen in both the $(h0l)$ and $(0kl)$ planes in reciprocal space. This observation suggests that the second type of short-range order present in SrHo$_2$O$_4$ is principally one-dimensional in nature, that is the magnetic structure is essentially a collection of AFM coupled chains running along the $c$ axis with the intrachain correlations remaining rather weak down to lowest temperatures. Similarly to what have been observed in SrEr$_2$O$_4$, a magnetic component with the propagation vector $k \equiv 0$ exists below a well-defined transition temperature, while the one-dimensional scattering is observed at much higher temperatures.

Correlation lengths associated with the broad peaks are about 150 Å in the $ab$ plane and about 190 Å along the $c$ axis, while the correlation length associated with the diffuse scattering planes is 230 Å along the $c$ axis at the lowest temperature. From the polarization analysis, the magnetic moments in the $k = 0$ and quasi one-dimensional structures are found to be pointing along the $c$ and $b$ axes, respectively.

2.3. SrDy$_2$O$_4$

In contrast to the other members of the SrLn$_2$O$_4$ family investigated so far, SrDy$_2$O$_4$ does not show any sign of magnetic phase transition down to the lowest available temperatures. In zero field, heat capacity $C(T)$ measurements indicate that this compound appears to be magnetically disordered down to at least 0.39 K (see Fig. 5). The $C(T)/T$ curve shows a very broad maximum at 0.77 K and a nearly linear temperature dependence below this peak. There are no sharp features in the heat capacity curve which can be attributed to a phase transition to a magnetically ordered state. PND data for SrDy$_2$O$_4$ show no signs of any long-range magnetic order down to 20 mK, as the scattering pattern in zero field is dominated by broad diffuse scattering peaks.

The magnetic entropy recovered in SrDy$_2$O$_4$ between zero temperature and $T = 5$ K (see inset in Fig. 5) amounts to $2R \ln 2$, which suggests that at the lowest temperature the system is essentially a doublet with the magnetic moments restricted to point only along the easy axis (Ising) direction.

![FIG. 4. Reciprocal space intensity maps of the magnetic scattering from SrEr$_2$O$_4$ in the $(hk0)$ plane (top panels) and in the $(0kl)$ plane (bottom panels) at 0.06 K (left panels) and 0.8 K (right panels). The highlighted area $-1.3 < h < 1.3, 0.7 < k < 5.3$ in panel (a) has 10 times lower intensity scale to emphasize the presence at the lowest temperature of a weak diffuse scattering otherwise obscured by the much more intense Bragg peaks. The magnetic scattering is isolated from the nuclear and spin-incoherent contribution by full XYZ polarization analysis using D7 diffractometer for the $(hk0)$ plane. In the case of maps of the $(0kl)$ plane, the intensity shown is obtained by removing the nuclear contribution from the non-spin-flip measurement with neutrons polarized orthogonal to the scattering plane, following Ref. 21. Figure is from Ref. 18.](image1)

![FIG. 5. Temperature dependence of the specific heat divided by temperature of SrDy$_2$O$_4$ in zero field. The inset shows the temperature dependence of the entropy, $S$, (solid line), calculated as the area under the $C(T)/T$ curve which has been extended linearly down to $T = 0$ K. The dashed line indicates the position of $2R \ln 2$, which corresponds to the magnetic contribution for a system with an effective $s = 1/2$. Figure is from Ref. 22.](image2)
3. Field-induced behavior of SrEr$_2$O$_4$, SrHo$_2$O$_4$ and SrDy$_2$O$_4$

The higher-temperature magnetization curves for the polycrystalline samples of these compounds have been reported by Karunadasa et al. and revealed non-linear behavior of magnetization in field with pronounced maxima in the derivatives, $dM/dH$ as a function of applied field. Further single crystal magnetization and heat capacity measurements, however, revealed highly anisotropic behavior, which is partially masked in the polycrystalline samples. We therefore summarize in this section the results obtained on single crystal samples.

Magnetization versus field curves $M(H)$ and their field derivatives $dM/dH$ obtained for SrHo$_2$O$_4$ for a field applied along the principal symmetry axes are shown in Fig. 6. For $H \parallel a$ (which is a hard magnetization direction), $M(H)$ remains rather small in any field. For other two directions of an applied field, a significant portion of the total magnetic moment is recovered, although no complete saturation of magnetization is observed, as the $dM/dH$ values remain non-zero even in a field of 70 kOe. This implies that the spins of the Ho$^{3+}$ ions are not fully aligned at this field. For $H \parallel b$ the magnetization process is characterized by a double phase transition (seeing most clearly as two maxima in the $dM/dH$ curves in the bottom-left panel of Fig. 6) indicative of the appearance of magnetization plateau. Although the plateau is not well-pronounced, i.e., the derivative $dM/dH$ remains positive and relatively large between the maxima, one has to remember that the temperature for these measurements was relatively high, 0.5 K. For $T = 2.0$ K (see right-hand panels in Fig. 6), the plateau in the magnetization disappears, the maximum in $dM/dH$ for $H \parallel c$ broadens and shifts to slightly higher fields, while the $M(H)$ curve for $H \parallel a$ remains unchanged.

In SrEr$_2$O$_4$ and SrDy$_2$O$_4$ the field dependence of the magnetization looks rather similar to what have been observed in SrHo$_2$O$_4$, but the directions of applied field along which the plateau and sharp single phase transitions appear (as well as the actual values of critical fields) are different. In all three compounds a single and relatively sharp increase in magnetization is seen for $H \parallel c$, a direction along which the magnetic moments are pointing in the $k = 0$ structures of SrEr$_2$O$_4$ and SrHo$_2$O$_4$. In SrEr$_2$O$_4$ the application of field along the $a$ axis results in a magnetization plateau, while the $b$ axis seems to be a hard magnetization direction. In SrDy$_2$O$_4$ a magnetization plateau appears for $H \parallel b$, while the $a$ axis seems to be a hard magnetization direction.

The fact that in all three compounds the observed plateaux in magnetization appear at approximately a third of the magnetization saturation values suggests that for the field applied along either the $a$ or $b$ axes the contribution from the $k = 0$ structures remains rather weak, that is, the magnetic
moments in these structures remain pointing along the $c$ axis.

Further insight into the field-induced properties of SrDy$_2$O$_4$ can be gained from the heat capacity measurements. Figure 7 (where the value of the heat capacity divided by temperature is represented by the color scale shown on the right of the figure) shows a magnetic phase diagram of SrDy$_2$O$_4$ obtained by combining the heat capacity field-scans for $H \parallel b$. At the lowest experimentally available temperature of 0.39 K, a sharp double peak at about 20 kOe is the main feature in the $C(H)$ curve. The peaks indicate multiple magnetic field-induced transitions in SrDy$_2$O$_4$ for this direction of an applied field, but from the bulk-property measurements alone it is impossible to determine whether any of the field-induced phases are long-range in nature. Therefore further neutron diffraction experiments are required to answer this question. Remarkably for $H \parallel c$ the application of a magnetic field does not result in any features in the $C(H)$ curves sharp enough to be indicative of a phase transition, which emphasizes once again the highly anisotropic nature of the magnetization process in the SrLn$_2$O$_4$ compounds.

4. Further considerations

4.1. Other SrLn$_2$O$_4$ compounds

Apart from SrEr$_2$O$_4$, SrHo$_2$O$_4$ and SrDy$_2$O$_4$ reviewed above, the only other family-member for which the low-temperature properties have been reported is SrYb$_2$O$_4$. Heat capacity measurements revealed a magnetic phase transition to LRO at $T_N = 0.92$ K. Neutron diffraction measurements (see Fig. 8(a)) showed that the structure is a non-collinear $k = 0$ antiferromagnet in which the magnetic moments of two inequivalent Yb$^{3+}$ ions lie in the $ab$ plane, but have different moment sizes and directions. Both moments are reduced from the fully ordered moment of Yb$^{3+}$ (see Figs. 8(b) and 8(c)). Similarly to what has been observed for other SrLn$_2$O$_4$ compounds, the application of a relatively strong field, 140 kOe, along any direction does not result in a recovery of a full moment expected for the Yb$^{3+}$ ions.

Very interesting and highly anisotropic magnetic phase diagrams (see Fig. 9) have been reconstructed for SrYb$_2$O$_4$ from the magnetocaloric and the magnetization measurements. A large number of transitions and crossovers were found which has been taken as an indication of the presence of various phases due to spin-flip and spin-flop processes as

FIG. 7. Magnetic H–T phase diagram of SrDy$_2$O$_4$ for $H \parallel [010]$ obtained from the heat capacity measurements. Color represents the heat capacity divided by the temperature in the units of J/(mol K$^2$). Figure is from Ref. 22.

FIG. 8. (a) Magnetic powder pattern of SrYb$_2$O$_4$ collected on D7 at 30 mK. The magnetic structure where the arrows represent the Yb$^{3+}$ ions spins (Yb1 blue, Yb2 red) (b) along the zigzag chains and (c) projected onto the ab plane. The Sr$^{2+}$ and O$^{2-}$ ions are represented by yellow and red circles, respectively. Figure is from Ref. 12.

FIG. 9. Magnetic phase diagram of SrYb$_2$O$_4$ with magnetic field along a (a), b (b), and c (c) axes. The colors indicate the heat capacity in units of J/K. The circles indicate the critical fields extracted from magnetocaloric effect measurements and the triangles the critical fields extracted from magnetization measurements. Black solid lines show second-order phase transitions. Dash-dot black lines indicate the transition from the AFM phase to a less ordered one. Dashed black lines show metamagnetic crossovers. For the phase diagram along the $c$ axis, above 4.5 T there are just three heat capacity scans at 6, 9, and 12 T, the colors between them result from the interpolation of the data. Below 4.5 T, the data were collected every 0.2 T. The temperature axis is in logarithmic scale. Figure is from Ref. 12.
well as possible competition between exchange interactions and magnetic anisotropy, however, the exact nature of the field-induced phases in SrYb$_2$O$_4$ remains presently unknown.

We have recently started investigations of the magnetic properties of SrGd$_2$O$_4$ and from the heat capacity measurements have found$^{25}$ that the compound undergoes two magnetic transitions at 2.72 and 0.47 K. The initial characterization of SrGd$_2$O$_4$ by Karunananda et al.$^4$ missed the higher temperature transition. Since Gd$^{3+}$ is expected to be nearly isotropic, it is quite surprising that the transition temperature of SrGd$_2$O$_4$ is much higher than that of the other members of the family. The higher ordering temperature of SrGd$_2$O$_4$ is, however, consistent with the properties of structurally similar BaLn$_2$O$_4$ family, in which BaGd$_2$O$_4$ orders at 2.6 K, while the rest of the compounds do not order down to at least 1.7 K.$^{13}$ In the absence of neutron diffraction data the magnetic structure of SrGd$_2$O$_4$ remains unknown at present. The only other established fact about low-temperature properties of SrGd$_2$O$_4$ is that it undergoes a field-induced transformation at 20.5 kOe (for $T = 0.48$ K) in a field applied along the $c$ axis.$^{25}$

It would certainly be interesting to expand the SrLn$_2$O$_4$ family and to test the magnetic properties of Tm, Tb, Sm, Nd containing compounds provided that single crystal samples can be prepared.

### 4.2. Crystalline electric field effects

From the findings presented above for the SrLn$_2$O$_4$ compounds, which vary greatly from one Ln ion to another, it is rather obvious that the low-lying crystalline electric field (CEF) levels must play an important role in the formation of the highly anisotropic magnetic properties. At present the CEF schemes remain unknown and the task of establishing them may not be trivial: there are 8 Ln ions on two district crystallographic sites in the unit cell. The symmetry is rather low, therefore the number of CEF levels is expected to be large. Also, the positions of the levels at lower temperature can potentially be influenced by the development of short-range magnetic order. Inelastic neutron scattering (INS) data for SrYb$_2$O$_4$ and SrHo$_2$O$_4$ have been collected back in 2005 by Kenzelmann and Hansen.$^{26}$ More recent INS results reported for SrHo$_2$O$_4$ by Ghosh et al.$^{11}$ are largely in agreement with the previous data. We have also collected further INS data for SrEr$_2$O$_4$,$^{27}$ but to date neither group have reported any CEF schemes. Moreover, there are further indications$^{28}$ that the problem could prove to be difficult to solve. An additional motivation for preparing this review was to alert the frustrated magnetism community to the presence of such a challenging, but potentially very important problem.

### 5. Summary

We conclude this review by listing in Table 1 the most important magnetic parameters of the SrLn$_2$O$_4$ compounds, such as Weiss temperature $\Theta_W$, effective moment $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ in Bohr magnetons $\mu_B$, magnetic ordering temperature $T_N$ as well as indicating the nature of the zero field ground state and the presence of critical fields $H_c$ for different directions of an applied field.

Important pieces of information missing from Table 1 include the values of the various exchange interactions and details on the magnetic anisotropy in the SrLn$_2$O$_4$ compounds. This information which is typically obtained from inelastic neutron scattering experiments is so far unavailable. Only after establishing the absolute values (including signs) and relative strengths of the relevant exchange interactions, as well as details of the magnetic anisotropy, could one classify the SrLn$_2$O$_4$ compounds as a collection of weakly interacting chains of magnetic moments, or as a network of ladders consisting of triangles. Apart from neutron scattering, further Monte Carlo simulations, both direct and reverse, as well as density-functional theory band-structure calculations may play an important role in determining the magnetic interactions.

In SrEr$_2$O$_4$ the LRO $k = 0$ AFM phase (see Fig. 3) in which the magnetic moments point along the $c$ axis appears below 0.75 K while in SrHo$_2$O$_4$ a very similar phase appearing below 0.68 K remains short-range ordered down at least 50 mK. Apart from this phase, a SRO quasi one-dimensional AFM component is found in both compounds, but the direction along which the spins are pointing is different—it is parallel to the $a$ axis in SrEr$_2$O$_4$ and parallel to the $b$ axis in SrHo$_2$O$_4$. In SrEr$_2$O$_4$ the diffuse scattering signal corresponding to the quasi 1D component appears to be much more structured compared to the SrHo$_2$O$_4$, which could be indicative of the importance of further neighbor exchange interactions. In SrYb$_2$O$_4$ the magnetic moments are confined to the $ab$ plane (see Figs. 8(b) and 8(c)), with the two different Yb$^{3+}$ sites having very different moment sizes and directions.$^{12}$ No long-range magnetic order has been found in

### Table 1. Summary of the magnetic properties of the SrLn$_2$O$_4$ compounds. $\Theta_W$ is Weiss temperature and $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ is an effective magnetic moment. Both parameters were determined from the higher-temperature susceptibility measurements. For the transitions fields $H_c$ the corresponding measurement temperatures are indicated in the brackets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ln</th>
<th>$\Theta_W$, K</th>
<th>$\mu_{\text{eff}}$, $\mu_B$</th>
<th>$T_N$, K</th>
<th>Magnetic structure (in zero field)</th>
<th>$H_c$, kOe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>$-13.5$ [Ref. 4]</td>
<td>9.176 [Ref. 4]</td>
<td>0.75 [Ref. 17]</td>
<td>$k = 0$ LRO AFM (moments $\parallel c$ axis)$^7$</td>
<td>$H \parallel c$: 5.4 (0.5 K)$^{23}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and quasi 1D SRO AFM (moments $\parallel a$ axis)$^8$</td>
<td>$H \parallel a$: 2.0 and 12.5 (0.5 K)$^{23}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>$-16.9$ [Ref. 4]</td>
<td>10.50 [Ref. 4]</td>
<td>0.68 [Ref. 19]</td>
<td>$k = 0$ SRO AFM (moments $\parallel c$ axis)$^8$</td>
<td>$H \parallel c$: 4.0 (0.5 K)$^{23}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and quasi 1D SRO AFM (moments $\parallel b$ axis)$^8$</td>
<td>$H \parallel b$: 5.9 and 12.0 (0.5 K)$^{23}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>$-22.9$ [Ref. 4]</td>
<td>10.35 [Ref. 4]</td>
<td>$&lt;0.02$ [Ref. 22]</td>
<td>only SRO above 0.02 K [Ref. 22]</td>
<td>$H \parallel b$: 1.6 and 20.3 (0.5 K),$^{20}$ 0.39 (0.5 K)$^{22}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$H \parallel c$: 12.0 (0.5 K)$^{23}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>$-9.0$ [Ref. 4]</td>
<td>8.02 [Ref. 4]</td>
<td>0.47 and 2.72 [Ref. 25]</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>$H \parallel c$: 20.5 (0.48 K)$^{25}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>$-99.4$ [Ref. 4]</td>
<td>4.348 [Ref. 4]</td>
<td>0.92 [Ref. 12]</td>
<td>noncollinear $k = 0$ AFM with different moment sizes and directions$^{12}$</td>
<td>$H \parallel c$: 45 (1.0 K)$^{12}$, $H \parallel b$: 15 and 60 (0.6 K)$^{22}$, $H \parallel a$: 11 and 45 (0.6 K)$^{12}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SrDy₂O₄ down to 20 mK. Despite having the weakest magnetic interactions (as demonstrated by the lowest Weiss temperature) SrGd₂O₄ orders at the highest temperature of 2.72 K and undergoes another transition at 0.47 K. This observation suggests an immense importance of the magnetic anisotropy in establishing the ground state of the SrLn₂O₄ compounds and the potential competition between the exchange interactions and the single-ion effects.

For all the SrLn₂O₄ compounds the application of an external magnetic field results in the appearance of complex and highly anisotropic phase diagrams revealing multiple phase transitions, magnetization plateau and crossover regions. The magnetic structure of the field-induced phases remains presently unknown.

We hope that this review will stimulate further research on the magnetic properties of the SrLn₂O₄ and related honeycomb lattice compounds.
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