Dispersionless Spin Waves and Underlying Field-Induced Magnetic Order in Gadolinium Gallium Garnet
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We report the results of neutron diffraction and inelastic neutron scattering on a powder sample of Gd$_3$Ga$_5$O$_{12}$ at high magnetic fields. Analysis of the diffraction data shows that in high fields ($B \gtrsim 1.8$ T) the spins are not fully aligned, but are canted slightly as a result of the dipolar interaction. The magnetic phase for fields $\lesssim 1.8$ T is characterized by antiferromagnetic peaks at (210) and an incommensurate wave vector. The dominant contribution to inelastic scattering at large momentum transfers is from a band of almost dispersionless excitations. We show that these correspond to the spin waves localized on ten site rings, expected on the basis of nearest neighbor exchange interaction, and that the spectrum at high fields $B \gtrsim 1.8$ T is well described by a spin wave theory.
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Bands of dispersionless spin waves are known to exist in some models of topologically frustrated magnets [1]. When the chemical potential is in this band, the properties of such models are determined purely by interaction effects and the symmetries of the lattice. While some (mainly numerical) studies have been made on two-dimensional model systems [2], experimental studies are lacking. Experimentally, the ideal material would be one in which it is possible to control the chemical potential directly thereby allowing the phases, which form as the density of the spin waves is varied, to be probed. Here we show that gadolinium gallium garnet, Gd$_3$Ga$_5$O$_{12}$, (GGG) in high magnetic fields is an excellent candidate material. It has almost dispersionless spin wave excitations as its lowest-lying excitations and the energy of these bands of excitations can be controlled and reduced to zero through the applied magnetic field.

GGG is a frustrated antiferromagnet with a ground state which does not show long-range order. The interaction between local ($S = 7/2$) moments on the Gd sites is thought to be well described by a short-range exchange interaction together with the long-range dipolar interaction [3–5]. The nature of the low temperature zero-field [6–8] and low-field [9–13] properties have attracted much interest, though its high field properties have not been so carefully studied [11]. However, this high field phase shows remarkable properties. In particular it has, as lowest lying spin wave excitations, almost dispersionless bands corresponding to excitations localized on ten site rings [1,14]. The magnetic field couples to these excitations via the Zeeman energy and hence contributes to the chemical potential for the (weakly interacting) spin waves.

We study the high field ferromagnetic (FM) phase of GGG and the transition into an ordered phase with antiferromagnetic (AF) modulations that appears as the applied magnetic field is reduced. We report the inelastic neutron spectra and the Bragg scattering intensity for a powder sample as a function of applied magnetic field and show that these are in excellent agreement with a spin wave theory valid for fields above $\sim 1.8$ T. In the FM phase we also observe Bragg peaks, which are forbidden in a fully polarized phase. We show that this is a consequence of a canting of the moments induced by the dipolar interaction. At lower fields other Bragg peaks, including those with commensurate and incommensurate wave vectors, appear. These are key challenges for any theory of this phase to explain.

In GGG, the Gd ions are arranged on a bcc lattice with 24 ions per conventional unit cell, see Fig. 1(a). The spins are well described by a Hamiltonian [3] with exchange and dipolar interactions [see below, Eq. (1)]. In a strong magnetic field, the spins align with the applied field and the excitations are the usual spin waves. However, if only nearest neighbor coupling is included, the lowest excitations show no dispersion at all and the excitations can be localized on ten-site rings, Figs. 1(a) and 1(b). The dipolar interaction makes the spectrum a function of the relative orientation of the magnetic field and the crystal axes, and introduces some dispersion into the flat bands of the spin waves, Fig. 1(c). The physics of the field-induced FM
magnetic field acts to determine the band positions in shifted down in energy. To a first approximation, the 1.6 T have the same shape, with the lower field results described below, see Fig. 3(a). The spectra at 2.5 and consistent with a spin wave model of the excitations dominated by flat dispersionless bands. The results are including for the temperature dependence of the magnetic phase, as the magnetic field is lowered and antiferromagnetic modulation sets in, will be dominated by these almost dispersionless modes and the interactions between the spin waves.

Neutron time-of-flight measurements were performed on a powdered sample using the IN5 direct geometry spectrometer at the Institut Laue-Langevin with incident energies of $E_i = 1.28$ or 1.94 meV. The resolution at zero energy transfer (27 or 48 $\mu$eV, respectively) was determined for each incident energy using a standard incoherent scatterer. The field dependence of the scattering intensity was measured at 0.06 K at fields between 0 and 2.5 T with an identical empty cell at 2 K, 0 T, measured as background. Higher temperature data were collected at several fields with different resolution for comparison. Our sample was the same as in previous investigations [7,16] and contained 99.98% of the nonabsorbing isotope $^{160}$Gd. The sample was covered with isopropanol 99% deuterium, that freezes the crystallites into place without any substantial contribution to the scattering. A cryomagnet used in the experiment has restricted the scattered neutrons to within $\pm 5^\circ$ of the horizontal scattering plane. Zero-field results, including for the temperature dependence of the magnetic excitations, have been previously reported [16].

Results are shown in Fig. 2 for four different magnetic fields. Above about 0.9 Å$^{-1}$, the scattering in high fields is dominated by flat dispersionless bands. The results are consistent with a spin wave model of the excitations described below, see Fig. 3(a). The spectra at 2.5 and 1.6 T have the same shape, with the lower field results shifted down in energy. To a first approximation, the magnetic field acts to determine the band positions in the spin wave model.

In Fig. 3(b), we show the neutron scattering intensity as a function of energy transfer $\hbar \omega$ for a series of values of $Q$ for a field $B = 2.5$ T and, in Fig. 3(c), the corresponding predictions of our spin wave model. We see very good qualitative agreement between the measured spectra and the predictions based on a spin wave picture. The discrepancies are largely associated with a slightly larger bandwidth in theory than in experiment and the absolute positioning of the spectra. These discrepancies can be made to disappear if the parameters in the model are altered from the values suggested in Ref. [3] by reducing $J_1$ by 15%. Other choices of the $J_i$ are also possible. However, the resulting spectra are indistinguishable once the experimental resolution in energy is included. There are also a
number of effects in the model which need theoretical exploration before our calculations could be used to refine the values for the model parameters. These include the development of the Ewald method to describe matrix elements as well as energies and a treatment of spin nonconserving terms.

The calculated field dependence of the intensity of magnetic Bragg peaks is shown as solid lines in Fig. 2. The phase at 1.6 and 1.0 T is characterized by Bragg reflections at an incommensurate wave vector and at (210), which are absent at 2.5 T. However, a (200) reflection, and a weaker one at (110), are both present at 2.5 T. The strength of the (200) reflection is shown as a percentage of the (211) ferromagnetic reflection in Fig. 4(b) together with data taken on the same sample in a different experiment. The (200) reflection is forbidden for a fully polarized state in the GGG structure, yet there is a clear but weak signal well above the transition fields which are indicated as a shaded region in Fig. 4(b). The ill-defined transition region for the powder sample is estimated from previous neutron scattering experiments. The red and black arrows show canting away from the direction and degree of canting as a function of applied field. We have computed the direction of the magnetic moments for each site for the ferromagnetic phase, we show the direction of the magnetic moments align with the local field on each site. The effect of the dipolar interaction is to add components to the local field at that site. We then align the moments on the site so that the local field is canted with respect to the applied field. We have computed the direction and degree of canting as a function of applied field as follows. For a given orientation of \( \mathbf{B} \) with respect to the crystal axes, we take the expression for the total ground state energy, given by the Ewald sum over the dipolar interaction for a periodic array of moments on each site in the cubic lattice [18–21]. For each site, we write the sum of all terms acting at site \( \nu \) as \( \mathbf{S}_\nu \cdot \mathbf{X} \) and identify \( \mathbf{X} \) as the local field at that site. We then align the moments on the site \( \nu \) with this field and iterate to self-consistency. We compute the Bragg scattering strength for the resulting spin order [22].

As an example of the modulations induced in the ferromagnetic phase, we show the direction of the magnetic moments for each site for \( \mathbf{B} || [110] \) in Fig. 4(c). In Fig. 4(a), we show previously unexplained data [17] taken on a single crystal in a field applied parallel to [110]. The theoretical result accounts well for the magnetic field dependence of the (200) Bragg intensity for fields above the transition field in this case of 1.8 T.

For the powder sample we average the Bragg reflection strength over all orientations of \( \mathbf{B} \) using the oblique array algorithm [23]. The result for a powder sample is shown in Fig. 4(b) as a percentage of the ferromagnetic (211) reflection strength. Above the transition into the FM state (indicated to be fields between 1.7 and 1.85 T), the computed strength of the weak (200) reflection is compared to the results of the current experiment together with those taken on the same sample at a different spectrometer. It is clear from Fig. 4 that a modulation induced by the dipolar fields is entirely consistent with the weak (200) reflection observed both in the powder sample and, earlier, in a single crystal. We find similar consistency between theory and observation for the (much weaker) (110) reflection.

The magnon dispersion (Fig. 1) and spectra (Fig. 3) are computed using the Holstein-Primakoff transformation.
Although the Ewald method leads to converged ground state energies, we have not generalized this to the full Hamiltonian and, in particular, to the computation of the matrix elements of the interaction between the neutron and local moment required to compute the inelastic scattering spectra. Instead, we have included up to seventh nearest neighbors, although, once the experimental resolution is included, there is little observable difference to results obtained by including only nearest neighbor terms. After introducing Bloch sums, the resulting 12 × 12 Hamiltonian for a single spin wave introduced into the ferromagnetic states is diagonalized to give 12 separate bands for each crystal momentum, $Q_j$, which are labeled by $\lambda$ [15].

When computing the inelastic spectra, we assume that the spins align parallel with the applied field and take account only of the terms which conserve total spin:

$$
\langle S_{j\alpha} \cdot \hat{r}_{j\lambda\mu} \rangle \langle S_{j\beta} \cdot \hat{r}_{j\lambda\mu} \rangle \rightarrow S_{j\alpha} \langle \hat{r}_{j\lambda\mu} \rangle ^2 S_{j\beta} + \frac{1}{4} \langle \hat{r}_{j\lambda\mu} \hat{r}_{j\lambda\mu} \rangle (S_{j\alpha} S_{j\beta} + \text{H.c.}).
$$

We average over all orientations of the magnetic field with respect to the crystal axes [23]. We also average over the possible directions for $Q_j$ with respect to the magnetic field. We do not include the effect of the linear terms associated with the canting of the local field away from the applied field at each site described above, although these could be accounted for by reducing the hopping between sites by the overlap between spin directions [24]. However, at 2.5 T, this overlap is always closer to one than 0.96 and the effects of it deviating from one are smaller than the experimental resolution. It would also be possible to take account of the spin nonconserving terms of the type $a_i^\dagger a_j^\dagger$ via a Bogoliubov transformation.

We show the scattering intensity as a function of energy transfer for a series of $|Q_j|$ together with theoretical predictions based on the spin wave picture in Fig. 3(b). The correspondence between the theory and experiment is good. We have worked with the Hamiltonian, Eq. (1), and not changed the values of the parameters from those estimated from previous zero-field studies of magnetization and heat capacity [3]. In the future, a systematic study of the Bragg scattering and a complete theory of the spin wave Hamiltonian (generalizing the Ewald method to matrix elements and treating the linear and spin nonconserving terms in the Hamiltonian) should allow a more direct approach to the estimation of the parameters in the model.

The most striking feature of the spectra are the near dispersionless bands apparent in the inelastic spectra for $|Q_{j}| \gtrsim 0.9 \text{ Å}^{-1}$. Their origin can be seen in the spin wave bands in the FM phase computed without taking account of the dipolar interaction shown in Fig. 1(a), which shows that these bands are flat across the whole Brillouin zone. The dipolar interaction introduces some dispersion and, for some alignments of the magnetic field, lifts the degeneracy, which must exist at one point in the Brillouin zone for completely localized excitations [15,25]. The transition out of the ferromagnetic state into the state with well-developed commensurate (210) and incommensurate peaks in the Bragg scattering, as the field is reduced, is not likely to be of the soft mode type given that there is no clear minimum in the almost flat bands.

The nature of the state below the transition will be determined by the competing effects of interactions between the (bosonic) spin waves and the small dispersion introduced by the dipolar interaction into the almost flat bands. Two other Gd garnets, Gd$_3$Te$_2$Li$_3$O$_{12}$ (GTLG) and Gd$_3$Al$_4$O$_{12}$ (GAG), have the same structure and are described by the same model. However, the ratio $J_{1}/D$ is estimated to be around 18% larger in GTLG than in GGG and 25% larger in GAG [26]. With three different values of $J_{1}/D$ and, in single crystals, with the ability to vary the dispersion relation by varying the relative orientation of the applied field and crystal axes, studies of the three Gd-based garnets should help to establish the nature of the transition and allow exploration of the physics of interacting (nearly-) dispersionless bosons.
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