Full Event Interpretation at Belle II









Full Event Interpretation at Belle II

Overview

Motivation



- 3 B Tagging
- 4 The Full Event Interpretation
- 5 Performance
- 6 First Belle II tagged analyses
 - 7 Future of the FEI
- 8 Conclusion
 - 9 Backup

Overview

Motivation



3 B Tagging

- 4 The Full Event Interpretation
- 5 Performance
- 6 First Belle II tagged analyses
- Future of the FEI
- 8 Conclusion
- 9 Backup

The flavour sector

- Nature chose three copies of matter: $\psi \rightarrow \psi_i$
- Identical except for..

 $\mathcal{L} = \psi_i \lambda_{ij} \psi_j h + h.c.$

- This leads to a wide range of flavour phenomenology and puzzles:
 - CP violation
 - quark mixing
 - quark and lepton masses



Why semileptonic / missing energy decays?

- Precision measurements of the SM:
 - Semileptonic decays are used to determine CKM matrix elements which are essential in global fits for the CKM parameters.
- Excellent probe of new physics:
 - Potential NP in $B \to D^* \tau \nu_{\tau}$.
 - ▶ NP hints in $b \rightarrow sll$ should be seen in $b \rightarrow s\nu\bar{\nu}$





Why semileptonic / missing energy decays?

- Precision measurements of the SM:
 - Semileptonic decays are used to determine CKM matrix elements which are essential in global fits for the CKM parameters.
- Excellent probe of new physics:
 - Potential NP in $B \to D^* \tau \nu_{\tau}$.
 - ▶ NP hints in $b \rightarrow sll$ should be seen in $b \rightarrow s\nu\bar{\nu}$





Why semileptonic / missing energy decays?

- Precision measurements of the SM:
 - Semileptonic decays are used to determine CKM matrix elements which are essential in global fits for the CKM parameters.
- Excellent probe of new physics:
 - Potential NP in $B \to D^* \tau \nu_{\tau}$.
 - ▶ NP hints in $b \rightarrow sll$ should be seen in $b \rightarrow s\nu\bar{\nu}$





William Sutcliffe

Full Event Interpretation at Belle II

5 November 2020 5 / 48

Overview

Motivation



3 B Tagging

- 4 The Full Event Interpretation
- 5 Performance
- 6 First Belle II tagged analyses
- Future of the FEI
- 8 Conclusion
- 9 Backup

The B experiments of today



William Sutcliffe

Full Event Interpretation at Belle II

5 November 2020

7 / 48

Belle 2

Belle II experiment



- Aim to collect 50 ab⁻¹ of e⁺e[−] collisions at √s = m_{Y(4S)}.
- Wide range of physics: precision CKM measurements, CP violation to new physics searches.



The Belle II Physics Book [arxiv1808.10567]

| Observables | Expected the. accu- | Expected | Facility (2025) |
|---|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | racy | exp. uncertainty | |
| UT angles & sides | | | |
| φ ₁ [°] | *** | 0.4 | Belle II |
| \$\$2 [°] | ** | 1.0 | Belle II |
| \$ [°] | *** | 1.0 | LHCb/Belle II |
| V _{cb} incl. | *** | 1% | Belle II |
| V _{cb} excl. | *** | 1.5% | Belle II |
| V _{ub} incl. | ** | 3% | Belle II |
| V _{ub} excl. | ** | 2% | Belle II/LHCb |
| CP Violation | | | |
| $S(B \rightarrow \phi K^0)$ | *** | 0.02 | Belle II |
| $S(B \rightarrow \eta' K^0)$ | *** | 0.01 | Belle II |
| $A(B \rightarrow K^0 \pi^0)[10^{-2}]$ | *** | 4 | Belle II |
| $A(B \to K^+\pi^-)$ [10 ⁻²] | *** | 0.20 | LHCb/Belle II |
| (Semi-)leptonic | | | |
| $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \tau \nu)$ [10 ⁻⁶] | ** | 3% | Belle II |
| $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \mu\nu) [10^{-6}]$ | ** | 7% | Belle II |
| $R(B \rightarrow D\tau\nu)$ | *** | 3% | Belle II |
| $R(B \rightarrow D^* \tau \nu)$ | *** | 2% | Belle II/LHCb |
| Radiative & EW Penguins | | | |
| $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow X_s \gamma)$ | ** | 4% | Belle II |
| $A_{CP}(B \rightarrow X, \alpha)$ [10 ⁻²] | *** | 0.005 | Belle II |
| $S(B \rightarrow K_c^0 \pi^0 \gamma)$ | *** | 0.03 | Belle II |
| $S(B \rightarrow \rho \gamma)$ | ** | 0.07 | Belle II |
| $\mathcal{B}(B_s \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) [10^{-6}]$ | ** | 0.3 | Belle II |
| $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow K^* \nu \overline{\nu}) [10^{-6}]$ | *** | 15% | Belle II |
| $R(B \rightarrow K^*\ell\ell)$ | *** | 0.03 | Belle II/LHCb |
| Charm | | | |
| $\mathcal{B}(D_s \rightarrow \mu\nu)$ | *** | 0.9% | Belle II |
| $\mathcal{B}(D_s \rightarrow \tau \nu)$ | *** | 2% | Belle II |
| $A_{CP}(D^0 \to K_C^0 \pi^0) [10^{-2}]$ | ** | 0.03 | Belle II |
| $ a/n (D^0 \rightarrow K_0^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)$ | *** | 0.03 | Belle II |
| $A_{CP}(D^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^0) [10^{-2}]$ | ** | 0.17 | Belle II |
| Tau | | | |
| $\tau \rightarrow u \gamma [10^{-10}]$ | *** | < 50 | Belle II |
| $\tau \to e^{-10}$ | *** | < 100 | Belle II |
| $\tau \rightarrow u u u [10^{-10}]$ | *** | < 3 | Belle II/LHCb |
| $\tau \rightarrow \mu\mu\mu$ [10 | | <u></u> | Dene n/mico |

Belle II Collaboration: 1050 members, 120 institutes, 26 countries

Belle 2

Belle II experiment



- Aim to collect 50 ab⁻¹ of e⁺e⁻ collisions at √s = m_{Υ(45)}.
- Wide range of physics: precision CKM measurements, CP violation to new physics searches.



The Belle II Physics Book [arxiv1808.10567]

| Observables | Expected the. accu- | Expected | Facility (2025) |
|--|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | racy | exp. uncertainty | |
| UT angles & sides | | | |
| φ1 [°] | *** | 0.4 | Belle II |
| φ ₂ [°] | ** | 1.0 | Belle II |
| \$3 [°] | *** | 1.0 | LHCb/Belle II |
| V _{cb} incl. | *** | 1% | Belle II |
| V _{cb} excl. | *** | 1.5% | Belle II |
| V _{ub} incl. | ** | 3% | Belle II |
| V _{ub} excl. | ** | 2% | Belle II/LHCb |
| CP Violation | | | |
| $S(B \rightarrow \phi K^0)$ | *** | 0.02 | Belle II |
| $S(B \rightarrow \eta' K^0)$ | *** | 0.01 | Belle II |
| $A(B \rightarrow K^0 \pi^0)[10^{-2}]$ | *** | 4 | Belle II |
| $\mathcal{A}(B \rightarrow K^+\pi^-)$ [10 ⁻²] | *** | 0.20 | LHCb/Belle II |
| (Semi-)leptonic | | | |
| $B(B \rightarrow \tau \nu) [10^{-6}]$ | ** | 3% | Belle II |
| $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \mu \nu) [10^{-6}]$ | ** | 7% | Belle II |
| $R(B \rightarrow D\tau\nu)$ | *** | 3% | Belle II |
| $R(B \rightarrow D^* \tau \nu)$ | *** | 2% | Belle II/LHCb |
| Radiative & EW Penguins | | | |
| $B(B \rightarrow X_s \gamma)$ | ** | 4% | Belle II |
| $A_{CP}(B \rightarrow X_{\star} d\gamma) [10^{-2}]$ | *** | 0.005 | Belle II |
| $S(B \rightarrow K_c^0 \pi^0 \gamma)$ | *** | 0.03 | Belle II |
| $S(B \rightarrow \rho \gamma)$ | ** | 0.07 | Belle II |
| $\mathcal{B}(B_s \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) [10^{-6}]$ | ** | 0.3 | Belle II |
| $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow K^* \nu \overline{\nu}) [10^{-6}]$ | *** | 15% | Belle II |
| $R(B \rightarrow K^*\ell\ell)$ | *** | 0.03 | Belle II/LHCb |
| Charm | | | |
| $B(D_s \rightarrow \mu\nu)$ | *** | 0.9% | Belle II |
| $\mathcal{B}(D_s \rightarrow \tau \nu)$ | *** | 2% | Belle II |
| $A_{CP}(D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^0) [10^{-2}]$ | ** | 0.03 | Belle II |
| $ q/p (D^0 \rightarrow K_{S}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)$ | *** | 0.03 | Belle II |
| $A_{CP}(D^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0) [10^{-2}]$ | ** | 0.17 | Belle II |
| Tau | | | |
| 1 | | < 50 | Delle II |
| $\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma [10^{-10}]$ | | S (8) | |
| $\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma [10^{-10}]$ $\tau \rightarrow e \gamma [10^{-10}]$ | *** | < 100 | Belle II |

• Belle II Collaboration: 1050 members, 120 institutes, 26 countries

SuperKEKB

• Upgrade of KEKB with original aim x40L



- beam current, $I_{e^{+/-}} \times 1.5$
- Reduction in beam size, β_{v} , by factor 20





• New aim $x30\mathcal{L}$

William Sutcliffe





β*_y [mm] 1.0 0.6

CERNCOURIER



we can space in works in channing results for their pioneering work in achieving results that push forward both the accelerator frontier and the related physics frontier Pratice Research





0.5

Full Event Interpretation at Belle II

0.3

Belle 2

The Belle II Detector







- Inner vertex detector:
 - PXD: 2 layers of DEPFET pixels
 - SVD: 4 layers of DSSD
- Central Drift Chamber for tracking.
- 1.5 T Superconducting solenoid
- Excellent tracking and vertexing down to $p_{\rm T}{\sim}100~{\rm MeV}$
- Impact parameter resolution in z \sim 20 μ m
- PID provided by Time of propagation (TOP) counter and a aerogel RICH
- Outer muon and $K_{\rm L}$ detector

Belle 2

Unique *B* factory topology

- Collide e⁺ and e[−] at the energy to make \u03c8(4S) particles
- $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays to B^+B^- and $B^0\bar{B}^0$ 96% of the time.
- Background from $e^+ e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$, q = u, d, c, s







Overview

Motivation



3 B Tagging

- 4 The Full Event Interpretation
- 5 Performance
- 6 First Belle II tagged analyses
- Future of the FEI
- 8 Conclusion
- 9 Backup

 Collide e⁺ and e⁻ at the energy to make ↑(4S) particles.



- Collide e⁺ and e⁻ at the energy to make ↑(4S) particles.
- $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays to B^+B^- and $B^0\overline{B}^0$ over 96% of the time.



- Collide e⁺ and e⁻ at the energy to make ↑(4S) particles.
- $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays to B^+B^- and $B^0\bar{B}^0$ over 96% of the time.
- Reconstruct one B meson as tag-side (B_{tag}) hadronic or SL.



- Collide e⁺ and e⁻ at the energy to make ↑(4S) particles.
- $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays to B^+B^- and $B^0\bar{B}^0$ over 96% of the time.
- Reconstruct one B meson as tag-side (B_{tag}) hadronic or SL.
- Study remaining *B* meson as signal (*B*_{sig}).



- Collide e⁺ and e⁻ at the energy to make ↑(4S) particles.
- $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays to B^+B^- and $B^0\bar{B}^0$ over 96% of the time.
- Reconstruct one B meson as tag-side (B_{tag}) hadronic or SL.
- Study remaining *B* meson as signal (*B*_{sig}).
- Flavour constraints:

 $B_{\text{tag}}^+ \implies B_{\text{sig}}^-$ Kinematic constraints:

 $p_{\nu} = p_{e^+e^-} - p_{\ell^-} - p_{B^+}$



Which tag-side reconstruction?



Previous tagging alogrithms

• Full Reconstruction

- The Belle tagging algorithm and predecessor of the FEI.
- Hierarchal approach.
- Neurobayes Neural Network used for classifiers.

Semi-exclusive-reconstruction

- The BaBar tagging algorithm
- Uses D and D^* mesons as a seed.
- Combines these with up to 5 charmless mesons.





Integrated luminosity of B factories

Overview

Motivation



3 B Tagging

- 4 The Full Event Interpretation
- 5 Performance
- 6 First Belle II tagged analyses
- Future of the FE
- 8 Conclusion
- 9 Backup

The Task



The Task



Combinatorics



- $\bullet~{\sim}10$ tracks in this event
- Let's assume 5 positively charged and 5 negatively charged.
- Now lets reconstruct $D^0 \to K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
- $\binom{5}{2}^2 = 100$ possible combinations
- Reconstructing $B^+ \rightarrow (D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)\pi^+$ introduces $\binom{3}{1} \times 100 = 300$ combinations.

The Full Event Interpretation

- Utilises O(200) decay channels with a classifiers trained for each.
- Reconstructs O(10000) unique decays chains in six stages.
- Baryonic decays recently added.



Keck, T. et al. Comput Softw Big Sci (2019) 3: 6.

William Sutcliffe

 Particle candidates assigned from tracks and clusters after a precuts + Best Candidate Selection (BCS).

 $\mathsf{precuts} + \mathsf{BCS}$



- Particle candidates assigned from tracks and clusters after a precuts + Best Candidate Selection (BCS).
- For each particle a pre-trained BDT is applied and post cuts + BCS are made.



- Particle candidates assigned from tracks and clusters after a precuts + Best Candidate Selection (BCS).
- For each particle a pre-trained BDT is applied and post cuts + BCS are made.
- Stable particles are combined to reconstruct decays of intermediate particles. After precuts + BCS a vertex fit is performed.



- Particle candidates assigned from tracks and clusters after a precuts + Best Candidate Selection (BCS).
- For each particle a pre-trained BDT is applied and post cuts + BCS are made.
- Stable particles are combined to reconstruct decays of intermediate particles. After precuts + BCS a vertex fit is performed.
- Intermediate classifiers use daughter kinematics and classifiers.



- Particle candidates assigned from tracks and clusters after a precuts + Best Candidate Selection (BCS).
- For each particle a pre-trained BDT is applied and post cuts + BCS are made.
- Stable particles are combined to reconstruct decays of intermediate particles. After precuts + BCS a vertex fit is performed.
- Intermediate classifiers use daughter kinematics and classifiers.
- Intermediates and stable particles are combined into a *B* candidate.



- Particle candidates assigned from tracks and clusters after a precuts + Best Candidate Selection (BCS).
- For each particle a pre-trained BDT is applied and post cuts + BCS are made.
- Stable particles are combined to reconstruct decays of intermediate particles. After precuts + BCS a vertex fit is performed.
- Intermediate classifiers use daughter kinematics and classifiers.
- Intermediates and stable particles are combined into a *B* candidate.
- *B* classifier takes daughter classifiers and kinematics as inputs.



Role of the tag-side B classifier.

• B classifier value, \mathcal{P}_{tag} , discriminates correctly reconstructed tag-sides from background.



• Determine the correctly reconstructed tag-side yield by fitting M_{bc} .







Belle II preliminary

Belle II preliminary

C dt = 34.6 fb

Hadronic tag-sides by decay mode

- 29 and 26 hadronic B^+ and B^0 tag-side decay modes are reconstructed.
- Contribution of different categories of modes are shown for data below.



Training the FEI

- Both training and application phases can be distributed via a map reduce approach.
- For training:
 - O(100M) simulated $\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B\bar{B}$ events
 - Monte carlo / data is partitioned and processed at different nodes.
 - A prereconstruction stage aggregates statistics on MC particles present.
 - At each of the reconstruction phases training data is generated.
 - Training data of each stage is subsquently merged and classifiers trained.



Overview

Motivation



3 B Tagging

- 4 The Full Event Interpretation
- 5 Performance
 - 6 First Belle II tagged analyses
- Future of the FE
- 8 Conclusion

9 Backup

How does one quantify tagging performance?



• tagging efficiency = $N_{tag}/N_{\Upsilon(4S)}$

How does one quantify tagging performance?



• tagging efficiency = $N_{tag}/N_{\Upsilon(4S)}$

How does one quantify tagging performance?



- tagging efficiency = $N_{tag}/N_{\Upsilon(4S)}$
- tag-side efficiency = $N_{\text{correct}}/N_{\Upsilon(4S)}$

How does one quantify tagging performance?



- tagging efficiency = $N_{tag}/N_{\Upsilon(4S)}$
- tag-side efficiency = $N_{\text{correct}}/N_{\Upsilon(4S)}$
- purity = $N_{\text{correct}}/N_{\text{tag}}$

Tagging performance in Monte Carlo

- The table below summarises maximum tag-side efficiency.
- The FEI has over a factor two higher hadronic maximum tag-side efficiency then previous methods (FR and SER).

| Tag | FR | SER | FEI Belle MC | FEI Belle II MC |
|-------------------------|-------|------|--------------|-----------------|
| Hadronic B^+ | 0.28% | 0.4% | 0.76% | 0.66% |
| SL B ⁺ | 0.31% | 0.3% | 1.80% | 1.45% |
| Hadronic B ⁰ | 0.18% | 0.2% | 0.46% | 0.38% |
| SL B ⁰ | 0.34% | 0.6% | 2.04% | 1.94% |

Tagging performance in Belle data

$$m_{bc} = \sqrt{E_{\rm B}^2 - p_B^2}$$

$$E_B = \sqrt{s}/2$$

Different event topologies









Tagging performance in Belle data

 Compare the tag-side efficiency vs purity for charged and neutral tags between the FEI and FR.



Calibration of FEI with Belle data

- A calibration is required due to signifcant differences in the efficiency in MC and Data.
- Use the FEI on Belle data to reconstruct several well known $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} \ell \nu$ semileptonic decays.

•
$$\epsilon = N_{DATA}/N_{MC}$$



Calibrating the FEI at Belle II data

- Can calibrate the FEI by measuring a signal-side (arxiv2008.06096)
- Use B → Xlν given the large branching fraction (~20%).



• $M_{bc} > 5.27 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, $\mathcal{P}_{tag} > 0.001$, 0.01, 0.1, Lepton ID, $p_{\ell}^* > 1 \text{ GeV}/c$

 $* \implies B$ Rest Frame

• Calibration factor, $\epsilon_{cal} = N_{Data}^{\chi\ell\nu}/N_{MC}^{\chi\ell\nu}$



Calibrating the FEI at Belle II data

- Can calibrate the FEI by measuring a signal-side (arxiv2008.06096)
- Use B → Xlν given the large branching fraction (~20%).



• $M_{bc} > 5.27 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, $\mathcal{P}_{tag} > 0.001$, 0.01, 0.1, Lepton ID, $p_{\ell}^* > 1 \text{ GeV}/c$

 $* \implies B$ Rest Frame

• Calibration factor, $\epsilon_{cal} = N_{Data}^{\chi\ell\nu}/N_{MC}^{\chi\ell\nu}$



Calibration results



Calibration results

| $\mathcal{P}_{B^+} >$ | ϵ | % uncertainty | $\mathcal{P}_{B^0} >$ | ϵ | % uncertainty |
|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| 0.001 | 0.653 ± 0.020 | 3.02 | 0.001 | 0.830 ± 0.029 | 3.44 |
| 0.01 | 0.605 ± 0.019 | 3.13 | 0.01 | 0.777 ± 0.027 | 3.51 |
| 0.1 | 0.644 ± 0.021 | 3.30 | 0.1 | $\textbf{0.719} \pm \textbf{0.028}$ | 3.87 |

| Sources of uncertainty in % | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|------------------|---------------|
| Channel | Fit Model | $\mathcal{B}(B^{0/+} 	o X \ell u)$ | Lepton ID | Fit Stat. | Tracking | MC Stat. | $D^*\ell \nu$ FF | $D\ell\nu$ FF |
| B^+e^- | 2.67 | 2.09 | 0.76 | 0.93 | 0.91 | 0.39 | 0.41 | 0.06 |
| $B^+\mu^-$ | 2.93 | 2.1 | 2.13 | 0.86 | 0.91 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.06 |
| $B^0 e^-$ | 3.72 | 2.1 | 0.73 | 1.22 | 0.91 | 0.62 | 0.43 | 0.07 |
| $B^0 \mu^-$ | 3.17 | 2.09 | 2.13 | 1.19 | 0.91 | 0.6 | 0.41 | 0.06 |

- Tag-side efficiency in simulation against purity corrected by $\epsilon_{\rm cal}.$
- Tag-side efficiency = No. of events with a correctly reconstructed tag-side $(N_{corr}) / No.$ of $\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B\bar{B}$
- Purity = N_{corr} / No. of events with a tag-side



Overview

Motivation



3 B Tagging

- 4 The Full Event Interpretation
- 5 Performance
- 6 First Belle II tagged analyses
 - 7 Future of the FEI
 - 8 Conclusion

9 Backup

First Belle II tagged analyses

Rediscovering $B \to \pi \ell \nu$ and $B \to D^* \ell \nu$ with tagging

Full Event Interpretation at Belle II



William Sutcliffe

Data-simulation comparisons with the calibration applied.



5 November 2020

First Belle II tagged analyses

Rediscovering $B \to \pi \ell \nu$ and $B \to D^* \ell \nu$ with tagging



First physics: M_X moments of $B \rightarrow X \ell \nu$ decays.

• Measurement of the *M_X* moments [arxiv2009.04493]



• Plan to perform the first measurement of the *q*² moments in the near future.

- Fit M_X functional form after a background subtraction.
- Determine M_X moments after correcting for detector and resolution effects.



Overview

Motivation



3 B Tagging

- 4 The Full Event Interpretation
- 5 Performance
- 6 First Belle II tagged analyses
 - 7 Future of the FEI
- 8 Conclusion
- 9 Backup

FEI in Belle 2

• By 2031 2-3×10⁸ B^+ and 1-2×10⁸ B^0 tags.



Eventually systematically limited by tagging calibration

| | $5 {\rm ~ab^{-1}}$ | $50 { m ~ab^{-1}}$ |
|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| R_D | $(\pm 6.0 \pm 3.9)\%$ | $(\pm 2.0 \pm 2.5)\%$ |
| R_{D^*} | $(\pm 3.0 \pm 2.5)\%$ | $(\pm 1.0 \pm 2.0)\%$ |

stat. sys.

• LHCb and Belle II will resolve $R(D^*)$ anomaly.



FEI Developments and the Future

 Algorithm has been successfully applied to the Υ(5S) resonance.



Graph networks naturally suit particle decays.



• Exploring deep extensions of the FEI.



• We can look forward to exciting physics results from the growing number of *B* tags at Belle II!



Overview

Motivation



3 B Tagging

- 4 The Full Event Interpretation
- 5 Performance
- 6 First Belle II tagged analyses
- 7 Future of the FEI
- 8 Conclusion

Backup

Conclusion

- The Full Event Interpretation (FEI) is an algorithm for tag-side *B* reconstruction at Belle 2.
- It trains O(200) decay channel classifiers which are used in the reconstruction of O(10000) decay chains.
- The FEI outperforms its predecessors with a higher tag-side efficiency.
- The FEI has been used to measure $B \to X \ell \nu$, $B \to D^* \ell \nu$ and $B \to \pi \ell \nu$ decays in early Belle II data.
- The FEI is an essential to the Belle II physics program and resolving the *B* physics anomalies.

Overview

Motivation



- 3 B Tagging
- 4 The Full Event Interpretation
- 5 Performance
- 6 First Belle II tagged analyses
- 7 Future of the FEI
- 8 Conclusion
- 9 Backup

s

Flavour anomalies

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{4 G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \frac{e^2}{16\pi^2} \sum_i (C_i O_i + C_i' O_i') + \text{h.c}$$







Flavour anomalies





Need for speed

- Utilise FastBDT:
 - Computes cumulative probability histograms (CPH) of nodes in the same level simulataneously.
 - Stores data as an array of structs.
 - BDT cut decisions optimised based on equal frequency bins.
- Utilise FastFit:
 - Uses eigen libraries to gain from vectorisation.
 - Overall factor of 2.7 speed up in the FEI





• In application 38% of the time is spent on vertex fitting, 27% on particle combination and 15% on classifier inference.

| Task | Training | Application |
|--|----------|-------------|
| $\mathrm{read}/\mathrm{write}$ DataStore | 30 | 0 |
| vertex fitting | 26 | 38 |
| particle combination | 19 | 27 |
| classifier inference | 11 | 15 |
| training data & monitoring | 6 | 0 |
| best candidate selection | 3 | 6 |
| other | 5 | 14 |

Specific vs Generic FEI

- **Generic FEI** Reconstruct signal after reconstructing a tag-side *B* candidate.
- **Specific FEI** Reconstruct a tag-side *B* candidate after reconstructing signal





- Same $B^+ \to D^0 \pi^+$ classifier.
- Different decay chain as $D^0 \to K^0_s \pi^0.$
- $D^0 \to K_s^0 \pi^0$ has its own classifier.



- Different $B^+ \to D^0 \pi^+ \pi^0$ decay with its own classifier.
- Original *D* decay chain as $D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$.

The Full Event Interpretation – An exclusive tagging algorithm for the Belle II experiment - Thomas Keck et al. https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.08680

Machine learning algorithms for the Belle II experiment and their validation on Belle data - Thomas Keck https://publikationen.bibliothek.kit.edu/1000078149

Analysis Software and Full Event Interpretation for the Belle II Experiment - Christian Pulvermacher http://ekp-invenio.physik.uni-karlsruhe.de/record/48741

FastBDT: A speed-optimized and cache-friendly implementation of stochastic gradient-boosted decision trees for multivariate classification - Thomas Keck https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.06119