Neutrino Interactions with Transverse Kinematic Imbalance

— An *Incomplete* Review of MINERvA Results and TKI-Community Efforts

Xianguo LU / 卢显国
University of Oxford

Fermilab Neutrino Seminar
1 April 2021



Neutrino for CP-violation

- ❑ Need to detect accelerator neutrinos at $O(1)$ GeV

GeV-neutrinos also relevant for

- ❑ Mass hierarchy measurement via atmospheric neutrino oscillations
- ❑ Background to rare event searches

Outline

- ❑ Neutrino interactions with MINERvA
- ❑ Transverse Kinematic Imbalance (TKI)
  - ❖ Ideas and measurements
  - ❖ Further ideas, including
    - ➢ Hydrogen-rich high-pressure TPC

T2K / Hyper-K



NOvA

Near Detectors to measure ν interactions

DUNE



MINERvA@FNAL

A dedicated *ν-interaction* experiment





- 5.4 ton active scintillator fiducial volume
- 10-μs beam spill, ~ 1 event in tracker per spill



- ❑ Scintillator bar (CH)
- ❑ 3.3 cm base, 1.7 cm height
- ❑ 3 ns timing resolution



- ❑ 3 views
- ❑ 2.7 mm position resolution per plane



- ❑ Non-magnetized



- ❑ Muon momentum resolution (range + curvature) 8% @ 6 GeV/c
- ❑ Proton threshold 100 MeV K.E., momentum (by range) resolution 2% @ 1 GeV/c
- ❑ π^0 momentum resolution ~20%
- ❑ High-energy charged π energy resolution by calorimetry 18% + 8% $/\sqrt{E_\pi/\mathrm{GeV}}$



Art work
© Kevin McFarland

2013-2019: Medium Energy (NOvA-era) data-taking

- *Constraint of the MINERνA medium energy neutrino flux using neutrino-electron elastic scattering*, **Phys.Rev. D100, 092001 (2019)**
- *High-Statistics Measurement of Neutrino Quasielasticlike Scattering at 6 GeV on a Hydrocarbon Target*, **Phys.Rev.Lett. 124, 121801 (2020)**

ME: gigantic data sets!

LE: Low Energy, peak at 3 GeV
ME: Medium Energy, peak at 6 GeV

Neutrino flux



ME 12.1 x 10^{20} POT
LE 4.0 x 10^{20} POT

* Data accumulation measured by proton-on-target (**POT**)

Anti-neutrino flux



ME 12.4 x 10^{20} POT
LE 1.7 x 10^{20} POT

Neutrino Interactions













Neutrino-Electron Elastic Scattering

LE: Phys.Rev. D93, 112007 (2016)
ME: Phys. Rev. D 100, 092001 (2019)

Well-understood SM process

$$\nu e \longrightarrow \nu e$$



LE: 135 events
ME: 810 events

- ❑ Beam flux prediction:
  GEANT4+hadron production data
- ❑ *in situ* flux constrained by νe scattering
  - ❖ reduced by ~ 10%
  - ❖ uncertainty near the peak reduced from 8% to 4%

Inverse Muon Decay

(Muon decay $\mu^- \to \nu_\mu + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e$)

Another well-understood SM process

$$\nu_\mu + e^- \to \mu^- + \nu_e$$

Inverse muon decay



New flux constraint method—stay tuned!

Charged-Current Coherent π Production

LE: Phys.Rev.Lett. 113, 261802 (2014)
Phys.Rev. D97, 032014 (2018)



Pion decay



"Inverse pion decay"
✗ forbidden
✓ IF ν_μ is replaced by a heavy neutrino (heavy neutral lepton)





Coherent production
à la QED pair prod.
✓ allowed

❑ Intrinsic background to HNL search
[T2K, Phys.Rev. D100, 052006 (2019)]





LE: 1628 and 770 events
in ν and $\bar{\nu}$ samples

Kaon Production

LE: Phys.Rev. D94, 012002 (2016), Phys.Rev.Lett. 117, 061802 (2016)
Phys.Rev.Lett. 119, 011802 (2017)

"Inverse kaon decay"
— Coherent K^+







- ❑ K^+ decay-at-rest signature
  12.4 ns lifetime, kink, energy deposit
- ❑ LE: 6 events, predicted BG 1.77, 3.0σ

[JUNO, J.Phys.G 43, 030401 (2016)]



- ❑ Proton *decay at rest* → K^+ 105 MeV K.E.
- ✓ Nice kinematic signature with decay chain coincidence

  Or not?

Protons inside a nucleus

- ❑ Bound nucleons are moving—Fermi motion
- ❑ Interactions while exiting, very often breaking up the nucleus—final state interactions (FSI)

Bound-proton decay

- ❑ K^+ 20-200 MeV K.E. (not considering FSI) [JUNO, J.Phys.G 43, 030401 (2016)]
- ✗ background from K^+ production by atmospheric neutrinos
- → MINERvA can constrain it!



All these art work
© Cheryl Patrick





e-A scattering
Subedi *et al.*, Science 320, 1476 (2008)



From electron-nucleus scattering
- ❑ Fermi motion
- ❑ FSI breaking up nucleus
- ❑ 2*p*2*h* excitation

All exist in neutrino-nucleus scattering



Charged-current quasielastic (CCQE)



FSI can *also* modify final-state topology

vertex | detector

QE → (FSI produces π) → 1π

Resonance (RES) → (FSI destroys π —pion absorption) → QE-like/0π

Difficulty in cross section measurements already at *definition* level!





How well can we measure neutrino energy?
(reminder: oscillation very sensitive to baseline and energy, L/E)



2-body reaction
—precise



Fermi motion, FSI
—spread





2p2h
—large (not well known) fraction of large bias and spread

Let's think about something simpler…

What do you see in this sculpture?

Transverse Kinematic Imbalance (TKI)

– Precisely identify intranuclear dynamics, or the absence thereof, in interactions between nuclei and GeV-neutrinos from accelerators

Our collider neighbors have been using something similar since a long time ago


Missing energy

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

[…]

neutrinos.[1] In general, missing energy is used to infer the presence of non-detectable particles and is expected to be a signature of many theories of physics beyond the Standard Model.[2][3][4]

[…]

hadron colliders.[5] The initial momentum of the colliding partons along the beam axis is not known —



Stationary free nucleon target



Nuclear target ($A > 1$)

- ❑ Fermi motion
- ❑ Removal energy
- ❑ FSI
- ❑ 2p2h

TKI

Multi-dimensional observation

- ❑ Momentum (magnitude)
- ❑ Angle
- ❑ Asymmetry

Transverse Kinematic Imbalance (TKI)
— Community Effort So Far

Idea papers

XL *et al.*, Phys.Rev.D 92, 051302 (2015)
XL *et al.*, Phys.Rev.C 94, 015503 (2016)
Furmanski & Sobczyk, Phys.Rev.C 95, 065501 (2017)
Duyang *et al.*, 1809.08752
XL & Sobczyk, Phys.Rev.C 99, 055504 (2019)
Duyang *et al.*, Phys.Lett.B 795, 424 (2019)
Cai, XL, Ruterbories, Phys.Rev.D 100, 073010 (2019)
Cai *et al.* [MINERvA] Phys.Rev.D 101, 092001 (2020) [cf. Bodek & Cai, Eur.Phys.J.C 79, 293 (2019)]
Munteanu *et al.*, Phys.Rev.D 101, 092003 (2020)
Hamacher-Baumann, XL, Martín-Albo, Phys.Rev.D 102, 033005 (2020)

Measurements

T2K Phys.Rev.D 98, 032003 (2018)
MINERvA Phys.Rev.Lett. 121, 022504 (2018)
MINERvA Phys.Rev.D 101, 092001 (2020)
MINERvA Phys.Rev.D 102, 072007 (2020)
T2K 2102.03346

Phenomenology

Dolan *et al.*, Phys.Rev.C 98, 045502 (2018)
Dolan, 1810.06043
Harewood & Gran, 1906.10576
Bourguille, Nieves, Sanchez, 2012.12653

Applications can also be found in

T2K ND Upgrade TDR, 1901.03750
DUNE ND CDR, 2103.13910

Transverse Boosting Angle $\delta\alpha_T$ [XL *et al.*, Phys.Rev.C 94, 015503 (2016)]



if Fermi motion only

$$\delta\vec{p}_T = \vec{p}_T^{N}$$

$\delta\alpha_T$ is Fermi motion direction → isotropic



$\delta\vec{p}_T$

—total transverse momentum

—transverse momentum imbalance

—missing pT

—...

In full

$$\delta\vec{p}_T = \vec{p}_T^{N} - \Delta\vec{p}_T$$

FSI and missing particles

Transverse Boosting Angle $\delta\alpha_T$ [XL *et al.*, Phys.Rev.C 94, 015503 (2016)]

$\delta\vec{p}_T = \vec{p}_T^{N} - \Delta\vec{p}_T$

Δp_T boosting outgoing hadron



$\delta\vec{p}_T = \vec{p}_T^{N} - \Delta\vec{p}_T$

Δp_T dragging outgoing hadron



FSI and momentum sharing with extra particles

- ❑ Nucleus break-up
- ❑ pion absorption
- ❑ 2p2h

Emulated Nucleon Momentum p_N [Furmanski & Sobczyk, Phys.Rev.C 95, 065501 (2017)]

A more general analysis of kinematic imbalance

Transverse: $0 = \vec{p}_T^{\,\ell'} + \vec{p}_T^{\,N'} - \delta\vec{p}_T$

Longitudinal: $E_\nu = p_L^{\ell'} + p_L^{N'} - \delta p_L$

New variable: $p_n \equiv \sqrt{\delta p_T^2 + \delta p_L^2}$

Neutrino energy is unknown (in the first place), equations are not closed.

Assuming exclusive μ-p-A' final states
Use energy conservation to close the equations

$$E_\nu + m_A = E_{\ell'} + E_{N'} + E_{A'}$$

$$E_{A'} = \sqrt{m_{A'}^2 + p_n^2}$$

p_n : recoil momentum of the nuclear remnant

final-state

Dual Interpretation

For CCQE, A' = $^{11}C^*$
No more unknowns
p_n : neutron Fermi motion

initial-state



TKI CCQE-like

LE: Phys.Rev.Lett. 121, 022504 (2018)



$\nu\, n \rightarrow \mu^- p$



Assuming target remnant $^{11}C^*$

$$p_n \equiv \sqrt{\delta p_T^2 + \delta p_L^2}$$

$\sim [1 + O(10\%)] \times \delta p_T$











Abnormal acceleration

- ❑ GENIE FSI (v2.8 hA)

  —not dark energy

- ❑ Removed in later versions

TKI CCπ^0

LE: Phys. Rev. D 102, 072007 (2020)



$\nu\, n \rightarrow \mu^- p$



$\nu\, n \rightarrow \mu^- p\, \pi^0$



via QE-like measurement



via inclusive π^0 production

[XL & Sobczyk, Phys.Rev.C 99, 055504 (2019)]

Partly expected, partly very surprising consistency!

Now compare data to models separately





TKI—Initial-state effects

LE: Phys.Rev.Lett. 121, 022504 (2018)
Phys. Rev. D 102, 072007 (2020)





Initial-state models:

- ❑ **Relativistic Fermi gas (RFG)**—simple Fermi gas model
- ❑ **Local Fermi gas (LFG)**—Fermi motion sampling depends on nucleon location (local density)
- ❑ **Spectral function (SF)** and **effective spectral function (ESF)**—Fermi motion and removal energy sampling, short range correlation (SRC) leading to momentum exceeding Fermi surface
  - ❖ Decent agreement for $\nu n \to \mu p$, but *not* for $\nu n \to \mu p \pi$

TKI—Initial-state effects

LE: Phys.Rev.Lett. 121, 022504 (2018)
Phys. Rev. D 102, 072007 (2020)



GiBUU offers very different predictions

- ❑ Local Fermi gas embedded in nuclear potential
  - ❖ "More same" implementation of models in different channels
  - ❖ Fermi motion peak location better agree with π^0 data
    - ➢ But height *below* data

NB: 2p2h only in QE-like production, not overt pion production
FSI and 2p2h start to decouple

TKI—Initial-state effects

T2K: Phys.Rev.D 98, 032003 (2018)
2102.03346





T2K measurements:

- ❑ CC 0π
  - ❖ Same-ish signal definition as MINERvA
  - ❖ Same observation: prefer SF over LFG
- ❑ CC single π⁺:
  - ❖ Complementary channel: have additional hydrogen contribution
  - ❖ ESF seems OK





TKI—Final-state effects and 2p2h





Consistent pure QE cross section (no boosting FSI in Nature)



- Smaller pion production and absorption at T2K energy
- Sensitive to 2p2h



TKI—Final-state effects and 2p2h

DUNE: 2103.13910



DUNE Ar gas TPC near detector, based on GiBUU QE-like predictions

- ❑ Small $\delta\alpha_T$: very similar between C (fake target) and Ar
  - ✓ Predictable baseline constrained by C data from other experiments
  - ✓ Powerful calibration for new target material
- ❑ Large $\delta\alpha_T$: target-dependent FSI (including pion absorption) and 2p2h

Further Ideas



$\nu N \to \mu N'\pi$



Pion prefers one side: L-R asymmetry
- Resonant and nonresonant interference
- Predicted by Adler and more recent models
- Difficult measurement* by ANL, MINERvA, T2K, consistent with 0 within error

[Adler, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 50, 189 (1968), Sobczyk *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 98, 073001 (2018), Kabirnezhad, Phys. Rev. D 97, 013002 (2018), Niewczas *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 103, 053003 (2021)]

*Caveats!



FIG. 14. Definition of the azimuthal ϕ and polar θ angles in the Adler system. $\vec{k}_1$ and $\vec{k}_2$ are vectors along the ν and μ^- directions, respectively, in the $N\pi$ rest system.



FIG. 16. Distribution of events in the pion azimuthal angle ϕ for the final state $\mu^- p \pi^+$, with $M(p\pi^+) < 1.4$ GeV. The curve is the area-normalized prediction of the Adler model.

ANL data and Adler model [Radecky *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 25, 1161 (1982)]

Bird's-eye view

*Muon pointing up



$$\nu A \rightarrow \mu p \pi A'$$

Bird's-eye view

*Muon pointing up



$$\nu A \rightarrow \mu p A'$$

LR asymmetry of (leading) proton in QE-like/0π

[Cai, XL, Ruterbories, Phys.Rev.D 100, 073010 (2019)]



LE: Phys.Rev.D 101, 092001 (2020)



$$A_{\mathrm{Tx}}(|\delta p_{\mathrm{Tx}}|) = \frac{\sigma_+ - \sigma_-}{\sigma_+ + \sigma_-}$$

Bird's-eye view

*Muon pointing up



Have searched in MINERvA:

- ❖ Asymmetry is dynamic
- ❖ Asymmetry-flip expected as pion kicking out energetic proton

Assuming LR-asymmetry comes from RES

- ❑ Overall will be smeared or diluted, but it will tell us
- ✓ pion is indeed absorbed
  - —Or, how do we actually know pions are absorbed in *ν interactions*?
- ✓ how much 2p2h there is
- ❑ Can be measured more precisely in new detectors?

[Cai, XL, Ruterbories, Phys.Rev.D 100, 073010 (2019)]

$$\nu A \to \mu p A'$$

LR asymmetry of (leading) proton in QE-like/0π ?!

Further Ideas



Consider only charged particle productions from ν-and $\bar{\nu}$-H interactions

➢ Leading channel has 3 final-state particles: μ, π, p





Double-transverse momentum imbalance δp_{TT}

- H: 0
- A: *irreducible* broadening *O*(200 MeV) by Fermi motion etc.
- AH compound:

  ν-and $\bar{\nu}$-H can be extracted
- Given good enough tracking, can work for any targets

  Examples: plastic scintillator (CH or CH_2)

[XL *et al.*, Phys.Rev.D 92, 051302 (2015)]

Hydrogen-rich high-pressure TPC

- ❑ Why gas TPC? Why high pressure?
  - ❖ Acceptance, tracking threshold
  - ❖ Target mass



Raaf, TPC Mini Workshop
https://indico.cern.ch/event/827540/contributions/3487180/

Hydrogen-rich high-pressure TPC

- ❑ Why gas TPC? Why high pressure?
  - ❖ Acceptance, tracking threshold
  - ❖ Target mass
- ❑ Why not pure hydrogen TPC
  - ❖ Bubble chamber: worse tracking
  - ❖ H_2 gas: not hydrogen-rich enough
- ❑ How rich is rich enough?
  - ❖ Element carrying as much hydrogen as possible: Carbon base C_xH_y



[Hamacher-Baumann, XL, Martín-Albo, Phys.Rev.D 102, 033005 (2020)]

Jmol: an open-source Java viewer for chemical structures in 3D. http://www.jmol.org/

Hydrogen-rich high-pressure TPC

- ❑ Why gas TPC? Why high pressure?
  - ❖ Acceptance, tracking threshold
  - ❖ Target mass
- ❑ Why not pure hydrogen TPC
  - ❖ Bubble chamber: worse tracking
  - ❖ H_2 gas: not hydrogen-rich enough
- ❑ How rich is rich enough?
  - ❖ Element carrying as much hydrogen as possible: Carbon base C_xH_y
    - ➢ Saturated, acyclic: Alkane C_nH_{2n+2}
      - ✓ CH_4 most efficient H-carrier, but not the largest one



[Hamacher-Baumann, XL, Martín-Albo, Phys.Rev.D 102, 033005 (2020)]

Jmol: an open-source Java viewer for chemical structures in 3D.
http://www.jmol.org/

Hydrogen-rich high-pressure TPC

- ❑ Why gas TPC? Why high pressure?
  - ❖ Acceptance, tracking threshold
  - ❖ Target mass
- ❑ Why not pure hydrogen TPC
  - ❖ Bubble chamber: worse tracking
  - ❖ H_2 gas: not hydrogen-rich enough
- ❑ How rich is rich enough?
  - ❖ Element carrying as much hydrogen as possible: Carbon base C_xH_y
    - ➢ Saturated, acyclic: Alkane C_nH_{2n+2}
      - ✓ CH_4 most efficient H-carrier, but not the largest one
  - ❖ Maximal partial pressure limited by vapor pressure
    - ➢ Theoretically hydrogen-richest mix at 10 bar: $C_{3.93}H_{9.86}$

= 17% $C(CH_3)_4$ (neopentane) + 35% iC_4H_{10} (isobutane) + 24% C_4H_{10} (butane) + 24% C_3H_8 (propane)

[Hamacher-Baumann, XL, Martín-Albo, Phys.Rev.D 102, 033005 (2020)]



Jmol: an open-source Java viewer for chemical structures in 3D. http://www.jmol.org/

Hydrogen-rich high-pressure TPC + TKI





Simulation: "event rates" as a function of "reconstructed" δp_{TT}

- ❑ Hydrogen signal sharpens with better tracking resolution Γ
- ❑ Background stays wide due to intrinsic nuclear effects
- ❑ More hydrogen purer selection
  - ❖ CH_4 4 times better than CH in signal/background



[Hamacher-Baumann, XL, Martín-Albo, Phys.Rev.D 102, 033005 (2020)] helium + alkane

- ❑ Hydrogen is free proton
- ❑ Bound protons in nuclei are background



Typical pion production cross section

DUNE proposed flux

Hydrogen-rich high-pressure TPC

Pure and more hydrogen events

- ✓ Can be purer than CH
- ❑ With large volume and powerful beam flux
  - ✓ Can go up to 4t CH-equivalent
    - ❖ H_2: 1t
  - ✓ 20k events/year
- ✓ Not covered in this talk:
  - ❖ Demonstrated by simulation mixture is workable
- ✓ Further design work on-going—stay tuned!

[Hamacher-Baumann, XL, Martín-Albo, Phys.Rev.D 102, 033005 (2020)]

Summary

Yesterday's signal (SM) is today's background (for BSM);
Yesterday's background (nuclear physics) is still today's background.

I never said any of those things.

– Confucius

GeV neutrinos are fun!
CP violation! Mass ordering! Proton decay! Heavy neutral leptons!
Yet we still need to understand the underlying nuclear physics.

Summary

- MINERvA
  - 5.4 t scintillator tracker + nuclear targets + calorimeter + magnetized muon spectrometer
  - LE program was completed, ME analyses in pipeline with more than 10 times statistics, reaching neutrino energy beyond 50 GeV
  - A full scientific program
    - ✓ Filling in the "periodic table" of ν interactions
- TKI
  - New emerging activities in analysis and detector design
  - Measurements from MINERvA and T2K, and actually more on-going

Sunday, April 18, 2021
3:57PM - 4:09PM
Live

UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD

L14.00002: Using Transverse Kinematic Imbalance to Probe Intranuclear Dynamics in Pion Scattering on Argon in ProtoDUNE
Kang Yang

DUNE

  - ν interactions
    - ✓ Initial state probed by p_N is a challenge
      - ➢ Strong constraint for model consistency in different channels
    - ✓ Pure CCQE baseline at small $\delta\alpha_T$ powerful calibration tool
      - ➢ Safe extrapolation between different targets
    - ✓ FSI and 2p2h start to decouple when combining QE-like + pion production
    - ○ Finally seeing pion absorption (produced and absorbed, without existing outside the nucleus) after having believed in it for many years? (dynamic LR asymmetry)
    - ○ ν-and $\bar{\nu}$-H scattering can be revived since 1980s? (Hydrogen-rich high-pressure TPC)

Thank you!

Cueva de las Manos, Perito Moreno, Argentina. The art in the cave is dated between 13,000–9,000 BP, stenciled, mostly left hands are shown.

BACKUP

TKI measurements @ MINERvA

– QE-like measurement on C probing ν n→μ p



2p2h-like enhancement needs to be even stronger to fill the dip





Xiganguo Lu, Oxford



×10^-39 GiBUU 2p2h weight ∝ (T+1)
MINERvA
GiBUU T=0 χ²/N_bin: 74.2/24
GiBUU T=1 χ²/N_bin: 80.9/24
T2K
GiBUU T=0 χ²/N_bin: 34.6/8
GiBUU T=1 χ²/N_bin: 69.7/8
dσ/dδp_T (cm²/GeV/c/nucleon)
δp_T (GeV/c)
0
2
4
6
0
0.2
0.4
0.6
0.8
1

TKI measurements @ MINERvA

– Inclusive π^0 production on C probing $\nu\, n \rightarrow \mu\, p\, \pi^0$







- ✗ Fermi motion peak in pion production worse modeled than in QE
- ✓ Large missing pT region reasonably modeled

TKI measurements @ MINERvA

– Inclusive π^0 production on C probing $\nu\, n \rightarrow \mu\, p\, \pi^0$



Shape comparison between QE-like and pion production

- Probing same neutron Fermi motion in carbon
- Suggests similar dynamics at large missing pT





Large missing pT (δp_T)
Dissipative dynamics



Large missing pT: pion absorption
Open π (pion production)

absorbed π (QE-like)

- Nominal: version 2.8.4
  - global Fermi Gas (RFG) model with Bodek-Ritchie (BR) tail [Bodek & Ritchie, Phys. Rev. D 23, 1070 (1981)]
  - hA FSI [Dytman & Meyer, AIP Conf.Proc. 1405, 213 (2011)]
- MnvGENIE-v1: GENIE MINERvA Tune (v1)
  - Added Random Phase Approximation (RPA) [Nieves *et al*., Phys.Rev. C70, 055503 (2004)]
  - Non-resonance pion production scaled down by 75% [Wilkinson *et al*., Phys.Rev. D90, 112017 (2014)]
  - Valencia 2p2h [Nieves *et al*., Phys.Lett. B707, 72 (2012); Sobczyk, Phys. Rev. C 86, 015504 (2012); Gran *et al*., Phys.Rev. D88, 113007 (2013); Schwehr *et al*., arXiv:1601.02038]
    - Tuned to MINERvA inclusive data → significant enhancement in small 4-momentum transfer region [MINERvA, Phys.Rev.Lett. 116, 071802 (2016)]

END