

## TWENTY-FIFTH GREGYNOG STATISTICAL CONFERENCE

## PROGRAMME

## FRIDAY

21 April	13.00	Lunch
	14.15	Professor Iain Johnstone (Stanford/Bath) <i>Speeds of Estimation in Positron Emission Tomography and Related Inverse Problems</i>
	15.45	Tea
	16.15	Dr Jim Smith (Warwick) <i>A Bayesian Model for Competitive Bidding for Contracts</i>
	19.00	Dinner

## SATURDAY

22 April	08.30	Breakfast
	09.30	Dr Janice Derr (Pennsylvania State/L.S.E.) <i>Statistical Challenges in Drug Development</i>
	11.00	Coffee
	11.30	Dr Eric Smith (Virginia Polytechnic and State University/Kent) <i>Multispecies Microcosm and Mesocosm Experiments: Inference when there are more Variables than Data</i>
	13.00	Lunch

----- AFTERNOON FREE (Walks, etc.) -----

	16.00	Tea
	17.45	Dr David Spiegelhalter (MRC Biostatistics Unit, Cambridge) <i>Statistical Aspects of Medical Expert Systems</i>
	19.00	Dinner

## SUNDAY

23 April	08.30	Breakfast
	09.30	Mr Ian Hewitt (Unilever Research Laboratory, Port Sunlight) <i>Consumer Loyalty</i>
	11.00	Coffee
	11.30	Professor Luis Pericchi (Simon Bolivar University, Venezuela/Nottingham) <i>Robust Bayesian Credible Intervals and Prior Ignorance</i>
	13.00	Lunch
	14.15	Professor Keith Ord (Pennsylvania State/L.S.E.) <i>Time Series Models for Multivariate Count Data</i>
	15.30	Tea

## Participants

## Speakers

Mr Ian Hewitt	(Unilever Research Laboratory, Port Sunlight)
Professor Iain Johnstone	(Stanford/Bath) + 1 guest To Sat teatime
Professor Keith Ord	(Pennsylvania State/LSE) + 2 guest
Professor Luis Pericchi	(Simon Bolivar University, Venezuela/Nottingham)
Dr Eric Smith	(Virginia Polytechnic and State University/Kent) + 2 guests
Dr Jim Smith	(Warwick) To Sat. teatime
Dr David Spiegelhalter	(MRC Biostatistics Unit, Cambridge) + 1 guest
Dr Janice Derr	(Pennsylvania State/LSE)

## Aberystwyth

## Staff

Dr J G Basterfield
Mr P H Jackson
Mr D A Jones
Dr J A Lane
Miss S G Lutkins
Dr R J Owen

## Students

Mr I H A Al-Iathary *	Miss C Minett
Mr B M Assas *	Mr P Murray
Mr Z M Chaudhry *	Miss E-J Raeburn
Mr A M Jamil *	Mr M S Yahya *
Mr R Kasap *	
Mr R Lawrence	4 students

\* non resident  
to no food

## Bangor

## Staff

Dr J Y Kassab
Mr C J Whitaker
Mr G C Morris

## Students

Mr N Shani	2 students
Mr M Al-Shamary	

## Birmingham

## Staff

Professor J B Copas	Dr P Davies
Professor A J Lawrence	<del>Mr R L Holder</del> *
Professor H E Daniels	Miss Karen Hurrell
Dr P V Bertrand	

## Students

Mr J Kaluluma
---------------

6 staff + student  
\* no overnight stay

## Cardiff

## Staff

Dr R Cheng
Dr F Dunstan
Mr T Iles
Dr J Williams

## Students

Miss T Davenport	4 students
Mr M Makloof	
Miss K Thornton	
Miss K Wheeler	

## Swansea

## Staff

Dr A Watkins
--------------

## Students

Mr D Bounds	1 student
-------------	-----------

## ABSTRACTS

### TIME SERIES MODELS FOR MULTIVARIATE COUNT DATA

Keith Ord (Pennsylvania State University, visiting London School of Economics)

(with Andrew Harvey & Christiano Fernandes (LSE))

Time series data sometimes consists of count data, where the number of events occurring in a unit time is recorded. Such data often follow a Poisson or similar distribution and Normal approximations are inappropriate when the mean is small. We develop a Kalman-type recursive scheme to describe the process over time and introduce a new form of bivariate distribution which enables us to extend the method to two or more series. The predictive distributions and the recursive likelihood function are then readily derived. An example, based on England vs. Scotland football games will be presented.

### CONSUMER LOYALTY

Ian Hewitt (Unilever Research Laboratory, Port Sunlight)

Like most major consumer goods companies, Unilever spends large amounts of money in Market Research, to find out what consumers like about our products. For example, we may give a sample of housewives different prototype washing powders to use for two weeks, then get them to answer a questionnaire. From that we may discover that they like brand X best - but will they actually go out and buy it?

The modelling of consumer purchase behaviour has a fairly long history. The most notable work has been that of Ehrenberg and his co-workers, culminating in their 1984 paper to the RSS on the Dirichlet model. This describes the act of buying and the choice of brands in a simple model which fits the data fairly well.

However, one of the deficiencies of the model is that it predicts that successive purchases will be independent, which is quite clearly not the case. A modification of the model to incorporate some dependence leads to a natural measure of consumer loyalty, which is essentially a measure of the tendency for the consumer to carry on buying the same product.

We can estimate consumers' loyalty from the vast quantities of data at our disposal, and study some of its properties. Most important of these is that loyalty appears to be a property of the consumer, rather than a property of the brand. Consumers who always purchase the same washing powder are likely to purchase the same washing-up liquid at the same time. They are also likely to shop at the same store (store loyalty being an analagous concept measured in a similar way, and of interest to many Geographers).

### ROBUST BAYESIAN CREDIBLE INTERVALS AND PRIOR IGNORANCE

Luis Pericchi (Simon Bolivar University, Venezuela, visiting Nottingham) (with Peter Walley)

In this paper we survey and compare various classes of probability densities that may be used to model weak prior information. We focus on the simple problem of constructing credible intervals for an unknown Normal mean. We distinguish two main types of models: *neighbourhood* models, which can be used to "robustify" a strict Bayesian analysis in problems where there is substantial prior information about location, and *translation-invariant* models, which are appropriate in problems where there is little prior information. The neighbourhood models examined here include examples of  $\epsilon$ -contamination neighbourhoods (previously studied by Huber and Berger) and intervals of measures (DeRobertis and Hartigan). Of the translation-invariant models, we examine classes of conjugate priors, classes of double exponential densities, and constant odds-ratio neighbourhoods of these. We argue that a reasonable class of prior densities is not the same as a class of reasonable prior densities and we discuss various desiderata for a "reasonable" class, including coherence, near-ignorance, translation-invariance, and the dependence of inferences on sample size. The "noninformative" uniform prior is rejected because it does not satisfy the desiderata of near-ignorance and coherence. Of the seven models studied in detail, a type of interval of measures seems most suitable for robust Bayesian analysis, and a translation-invariant class of double exponential priors (or a neighbourhood of this class) is favoured for modelling little prior information.

### STATISTICAL ASPECTS OF MEDICAL EXPERT SYSTEMS

David Spiegelhalter (MRC Biostatistics Unit, Cambridge)

Statistical models to be used in decision-support have been carefully developed and validated, but have only exploited clinical knowledge to a limited extent. Artificial intelligence emphasises the use of 'deep models' that contain an understanding of the disease process, and causal networks are frequently recommended as an appropriate knowledge-representation. However, handling the inevitable uncertainty on the network presents a problem and, in particular, the issue of how to combine expert opinion with information from databases has not been seriously faced.

We describe a methodology intended to handle both the propagation of evidence through the network as data on a new case is obtained, and to allow monitoring, criticism and adaptation of both quantitative and qualitative aspects of the model as the database accumulates. We take advantage of a number of alternative representations of a joint probability distribution related to graphical structure, and in particular show how certain topological changes to the assumed causal network correspond to an embedding of the initial probability assessments into a decomposable log-linear model. Straightforward algorithms then exist for absorption and propagation of evidence, suitable for programming in an object-oriented environment.

Initial imprecise probabilities in the network can be updated in the light of data using standard Bayesian reasoning, thus providing a smooth transition between expert opinion and 'hard' data. Furthermore, since a statistical system can always make probabilistic predictions about the information it will receive, predictive ability may be monitored and areas of poor performance detected. Substantial changes to either quantitative or qualitative aspects may then be indicated, although it is probably neither feasible nor desirable that this process should be completely automatic.

Clinical applications will be described.

### STATISTICAL CHALLENGES IN DRUG DEVELOPMENT

Janice Derr (Pennsylvania State University, visiting London School of Economics)

In 1988/89 I spent a portion of my sabbatical activities as a consultant to the Biological Sciences unit of a major pharmaceutical company. My role was to evaluate and improve protocols for data analysis used in the early (pre-clinical) stages of drug development. I found this experience to be very stimulating. Statistical issues included robustness in linear and nonlinear models, the analysis of ordinal responses, and quality control in bioassay. My particular research focus concerned the specification of standard errors of calibration (or inverse regression) estimates for linear and nonlinear models. I discovered that this issue was important in a wide range of circumstances. A special challenge for all statistical issues was to incorporate concepts of quality control, robustness, and correctly specified standard errors into on-line data analysis systems which could be readily used by the non-statistician in the routine screening of potential drugs.