Skeleton Decomposition "Collapse of the skeleton to the spine"

Janique Krasnowska

Branching Markov processes reading group (Chapter 11.3-11.5)

Statistics University of Warwick

March 2024

5 [Relationship to Spines](#page-13-0)

⋍ \sim

◀ ロ ▶ ◀ 伺 ▶

重

Notation

General

Branching

- γ branching rate
- $m[\cdot]$ mean operator for offspring
- **Z** branching offspring point process for BMP
- \mathscr{P} branching point process probabilities

◂**◻▸ ◂⁄** ▸

Þ

Spine Decomposition

How to create a spine? We need to mark particles.

- **1** For an initial configuration of particles μ , the i-th particle is marked "spine" with probability $\frac{\varphi(\mathsf{x}_i)}{\mu[\varphi]}$ (For BBM, this is uniform selection.)
- 2 Unmarked particles evolve as before.
- The motion process for the spine is determined by the semigroup

$$
\mathsf{P}_t^{\varphi}[f](x) := \frac{1}{\varphi(x)} \mathsf{E}_x[e^{-\lambda_* t} e^{\int_0^t \frac{\gamma(\xi s)}{\varphi(\xi s)} (m[\varphi](\xi s) - \varphi(\xi s)) ds} \varphi(\xi_t) f(\xi_t)] \quad (1)
$$

The branching rate for the marked particle

$$
\gamma^{\varphi}(x) := \gamma(x) \frac{m[\varphi(x)]}{\varphi(x)}
$$
 (2)

and it scatters the number of particles that satisfies

$$
\frac{d\mathscr{P}_{x}^{\phi}}{d\mathscr{P}_{x}} = \frac{\mathsf{Z}[\varphi]}{m[\varphi](x)}\tag{3}
$$

つへへ

Spine Example

Figure: Neutrons at time 0 are mar[ke](#page-3-0)d [w](#page-5-0)[it](#page-3-0)[h](#page-4-0) ○[.](#page-1-0)

Janique Krasnowska (UoW) [Skeleton Decomposition](#page-0-0) March 2024 5/19

 \geq Þ A spine provides us with a single genealogical trajectory that survives forever.

Now, we will look at the skeleton of our process to analyse what happens if we start with k trajectories that survive until time T .

Notation:

- \mathscr{E}_{x} expectation operator of the point process
- P the law of the BMP
- S_t filtration of BMP
- $\not\!\!\!\!\psi$ label of the initial ancestral particle
- ζ time of extinction

 $G[f](x) := \gamma(x)\mathscr{E}_x(\prod_{i=1}^N f(x_i) - f(x))$ is the branching mechanism

Let us decompose the process into genealogies that survive until time $T<\infty$, marked \uparrow , and those who die out before T, marked \uparrow . **Definition**: If a particle *i* at time *t* has descendants alive at time T, define its mark $c_i^{\mathcal{T}}(t)=\uparrow$. Otherwise, $c_t^{\mathcal{T}}(t)=\downarrow$. **Goal**: To decompose the BMP into a thin tree of ↑-marked individuals dressed with immigrating trees of ↓-marked individuals. $w_t(x) := \mathbb{P}_{\delta_x}(\zeta < T) \leftarrow$ extinction probability before time T **Assumptions**:

- Extinction by time T is uniformly bounded away from 0.
- Extinction by time T is not a certainty.

Consider a configuration of the BMP at time t, S_t . For a set of particles $\{1, ..., N_t\}$ alive at time t, we have

$$
\frac{d\mathbb{P}_{\mu}^{\updownarrow,\mathcal{T}}}{d\mathbb{P}_{\mu}}\bigg|_{\mathcal{S}_{t}} = \prod_{i=1}^{N_{t}}(\mathbf{1}_{(c_{i}^{\mathcal{T}}(t)=\uparrow)}+\mathbf{1}_{(c_{i}^{\mathcal{T}}(t)=\downarrow)})=1\tag{4}
$$

4 0 8

and

$$
\left. \frac{d\mathsf{P}_{\delta_x}^{\updownarrow,T}}{d\mathsf{P}_{\delta_x}} \right|_{\mathcal{S}_t} = \mathsf{E}_{\delta_x} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N_t} (\mathbf{1}_{(c_i^T(t)=\uparrow)} + \mathbf{1}_{(c_i^T(t)=\downarrow)}) | S_t \right) = \dots = 1 \quad (5)
$$

 200

↓-Trees

Definition:

$$
\mathbb{P}_{\delta_x}^{\downarrow, \mathcal{T}}(A) := \mathbb{P}_{\delta_x}^{\updownarrow, \mathcal{T}}(A|c_0^{\mathcal{T}}(0) = \downarrow) = \frac{\mathbb{P}_{\delta_x}^{\updownarrow, \mathcal{T}}(A; c_i^t(t) = \downarrow \text{ for each } i = 1, ..., N_t)}{\mathbb{P}_{\delta_x}^{\updownarrow, \mathcal{T}}(c_0^{\mathcal{T}}(0) = \downarrow)} \tag{6}
$$

Lemma 11.2

The law of the Markov process describing the movement of the ↓-marked particles satisfies

$$
\frac{d\mathbf{P}_{x}^{\downarrow,T}}{d\mathbf{P}_{x}}\bigg|_{\sigma(\xi_{s},s\leq t)} = \frac{w_{T-t}(\xi_{t\wedge k})}{w_{t}(s)}\exp\left(\int_{0}^{t\wedge k} \frac{G[w_{T-s}](\xi_{s})}{w_{T-s}(\xi_{s})}ds\right) \qquad (7)
$$

For a branching event at time $s < T$, the branching mechanism is given by

$$
G_s^{\downarrow, T}[f](x) = \frac{1}{w_{T-s}(x)} [G[fw_{T-s}] - fG[w_{T-s}]](x)
$$
(8)

Dressed ↑-Trees

 $p_{\mathcal{T}}(x) := \mathbb{P}_{\delta_x}(\zeta > \mathcal{T}) = 1 - w_{\mathcal{T}}(x) \leftarrow$ survival until time T probability **Definition**:

$$
\mathbb{P}_{\delta_x}^{\updownarrow, \mathcal{T}}(A|c_0^{\mathcal{T}}(0)=\uparrow)=\frac{\mathbb{P}_{\delta_x}^{\updownarrow, \mathcal{T}}(A; c_i^t(t)=\uparrow \text{ for at least one } i=1,...,N_t)}{\mathbb{P}_{\delta_x}^{\updownarrow, \mathcal{T}}(c_0^{\mathcal{T}}(0)=\uparrow)} \quad (9)
$$

Lemma 11.3

The law of the Markov process describing the movement of the ↑-marked particles satisfies

$$
\frac{d\mathbf{P}_{x}^{\uparrow,T}}{d\mathbf{P}_{x}}\bigg|_{\sigma(\xi_{s},s\leq t)} = \frac{p_{\mathcal{T}-t}(\xi_{t})}{p_{\mathcal{T}}(x)}\exp\left(-\int_{0}^{t}\frac{G[w_{\mathcal{T}-s}](\xi_{s})}{p_{\mathcal{T}-s}(\xi_{s})}ds\right) \qquad (10)
$$

For a branching event at time $s < T$, the branching mechanism is given by

$$
G_s^{\uparrow, T}[f](x) = \frac{1}{p_{T-s}(x)} (G[p_{T-s}f + w_{T-s}] - (1-f)G[w_{T-s}]) \qquad (11)
$$

↓**-Trees**

$$
\frac{d\mathbf{P}_{x}^{\downarrow,T}}{d\mathbf{P}_{x}}\bigg|_{\sigma(\xi_{s},s\leq t)} = \frac{w_{T-t}(\xi_{t\wedge k})}{w_{t}(s)}\exp\left(\int_{0}^{t\wedge k} \frac{G[w_{T-s}](\xi_{s})}{w_{T-s}(\xi_{s})}ds\right) \tag{12}
$$

$$
G_s^{\downarrow, T}[f](x) = \frac{1}{w_{T-s}(x)} [G[fw_{T-s}] - fG[w_{T-s}]](x)
$$
(13)

↑**-Trees**

$$
\frac{d\mathbf{P}_{x}^{\uparrow,T}}{d\mathbf{P}_{x}}\bigg|_{\sigma(\xi_{s},s\leq t)} = \frac{p_{\mathcal{T}-t}(\xi_{t})}{p_{\mathcal{T}}(x)}\exp\left(-\int_{0}^{t}\frac{G[w_{\mathcal{T}-s}](\xi_{s})}{p_{\mathcal{T}-s}(\xi_{s})}ds\right) \qquad (14)
$$

$$
G_s^{\uparrow,T}[f](x) = \frac{1}{p_{T-s}(x)}(G[p_{T-s}f + w_{T-s}] - (1-f)G[w_{T-s}]) \qquad (15)
$$

メロトメ 倒 トメ きょ メ きょう

重

 2990

The dressing consists of non-locally immigrated particles at the branch points of $X^{\uparrow,\mathcal{T}}$ at time s, continuing to evolve as an independent copy of $X^{\downarrow,T-s}.$

Now the joint branching/immigration mechanism is given by

$$
G_s^{\updownarrow, T}[f, g](x) := \frac{\gamma(x)}{p_{\tau-s}(x)} \mathcal{E}_x \left[\sum_{\substack{I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, N\} \\ |I| \ge 1}} \prod_{i \in I} p_{\tau-s}(x_i) f(x_i) \right] \tag{16}
$$
\n
$$
\prod_{j \in \{1, \ldots, N\} \setminus I} w_{\tau-s}(x_j) g(x_j) \right] - \gamma^{\updownarrow, \tau-s}(x) f(x)
$$

where $\gamma^{\updownarrow, T-s}(x) = \gamma(x) - \frac{G[w_{T-s}](x)}{2\pi\Gamma(x)}$ $\frac{[W_{T-s}](X)}{p_{T-s}(x)}$. **Intuition**: The original process X conditioned on $\zeta > T$ is equal in law to the dressed time-inhomogeneous BMP.

Theorem 11.2

 $(X,\mathbb{P}^{\hat{\downarrow},\,T}_{\mu})$ is equal in law to

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\Theta_i^{\mathsf{T}} X_t^{\updownarrow, \mathsf{T}}(i) + (1 - \Theta_i^{\mathsf{T}}) X_t^{\downarrow, \mathsf{T}}(i) \right)
$$
(17)

where $\Theta_i^{\mathcal{T}}$ is an independent Bernoulli random variable with probability of success given by $p_{\mathcal{T}}(x_i)$ and $X^{\downarrow,\mathcal{T}}$ and $X^{\updownarrow,\mathcal{T}}$ are independent copies of $(X,\mathbb{P}^{\downarrow,\, \mathcal{T}}_{\delta}$ $_{\delta_{\mathsf{x}_i}}^{\downarrow,\mathsf{\mathcal{T}}})$ and $(X,\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{\hat{\downarrow}},\mathsf{\mathcal{T}}}_{\delta_{\mathsf{x}_i}})$ $\zeta^{j,\; \prime}_{\delta_{x_i}}(\cdot, c^{\mathcal T}_\emptyset(0)=\uparrow)),$ respectively.

Let us consider the critical setting.

We are interested in what happens as $T \to \infty$.

Assume

- \bullet G2: There exists an eigenvalue λ_* , a right eigenfunction φ and a left eigenmeasure $\tilde{\varphi}$ such that $\langle \psi_t[\varphi], \mu \rangle = e^{\lambda_* t} \langle \varphi, \mu \rangle$ and $\langle \psi_t[f], \tilde{\varphi} \rangle = e^{\lambda_* t} \langle f, \tilde{\varphi} \rangle$
- G6: Offspring numbers are bounded
- G7: Irreducible branching condition
- G11: $\lim_{T\to\infty} \inf_{x \in F} w_t(x) = 1$ (guaranteed death)

The law of the Markov process describing the movement of ↓-marked particles $\mathsf{P}^{\downarrow,\mathcal{T}}$ converges weakly to $\mathsf{P}.$ $\mathbf{P}_x^{\downarrow,T}$ also converges weakly to the law of the process with associated

semigroup P φ (semigroup of the spine).

$$
\lim_{T \to \infty} G_s^{\downarrow, T}[f](x) = G[f](x) \tag{18}
$$

Intuition: The law of \downarrow -marked particles settles down to (X, \mathbb{P}) .

 Ω

 N^{\uparrow} is the number of individuals at time t=0 marked by \uparrow .

$$
\lim_{T\to\infty}\mathbb{P}_{\mu}^{\updownarrow,T}(N^{\uparrow}=1|N^{\uparrow}\geq 1)=\lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n}p_{T}(x_{j})\prod_{l\neq j}w_{t}(x_{l})}{1-\prod_{i=1}^{n}w_{T}(x_{i})}=1\quad(19)
$$

Intuition: The skeleton concentrates on a single individual dressed tree rooted at t=0, which carries all the \uparrow -marked individuals in its tree of descendants.

$$
\lim_{T \to \infty} \gamma^{\updownarrow, T}(x) = \gamma(x) \frac{m[\varphi](x)}{\varphi(x)} \tag{20}
$$

Remark: This is the same as the branching rate for spine.

$$
\lim_{T \to \infty} G^{\updownarrow, T}[f, g](x) = \dots =
$$
\n
$$
\gamma^{\varphi}(x) \mathcal{E}_x \left[\frac{\mathbf{Z}[\varphi]}{m[\varphi](x)} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\varphi(x_i)}{\mathbf{Z}[\varphi]} f(x_i) \prod_{j \neq i} g(x_j) \right] - \gamma^{\varphi}(x) f(x) \quad (22)
$$

Intuition: The rate at which more than one ↑-marked individual appears at any branching event drops to 0. The law of the motion of the single genealogy of the ↑-marked particles and the branching mechanism for its dressing settle down to that of the spine.

Branching Brownian Motion

Figure: 150 initial individuals with either 0 or 2 local offspring..

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

Thank you! Dziękuję! Merci! Grazie!

Þ \mathbf{p}

K ロ ▶ K 母 ▶ K

É