# Entrance and exit at infinity for stable jump diffusions 

Andreas Kyprianou (based on joint work with Leif Döring)

## FELLER BOUNDARY CLASSIFICATION FOR DIFFUSIONS

- In his seminal work in the 1950s, William Feller classified one-dimensional diffusion processes on $-\infty \leq a<b \leq \infty$
$\rightarrow$ The four types of boundary points are:
regular, if it is both accessible and enterable;
exit, if it is accessible but not enterable;
entrance, if it is enterable but not accessible;
natural if it is neither accessible nor enterable.
- Feller's definitions and nroofs are nurely analytic, using I Hille-Yosida theory to generate Feller semigroup of a process $\left(X_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ from differential operators (diffusion generators)

$$
\mathcal{A}:=\kappa(x) \frac{d}{d x}+\frac{\sigma(x)^{2}}{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}
$$

taking account of the different boundary conditions.
$>$ A change of space via the so-called scale function (sav: which makes $\left(s\left(X_{t}\right), t \geq 0\right)$ a martingale)

$$
d Z_{t}=\tilde{\sigma}\left(Z_{t}\right) d B_{t}, \quad Z_{0}=z \in \mathbb{R}
$$

on a new interval $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})$.
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## THE CASE OF AN INFINITE BOUNDARY

- In the setting of the entire real line, i.e. $a=-\infty$ and $b=+\infty$, the notion of entrance (in applications also called coming down from infinity) and exit (explosion) becomes interesting
$>$ Depending on the growth of $\sigma$ at infinity the infinite boundary points can be of an entrance type. Feller's results for this scenario imply that $+\infty$ is an entrance boundary if and only if

i.e. $\sigma$ growth slightly more than linearly at infinity.
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i.e. $\sigma$ growth slightly more than linearly at infinity.
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- The death chain counting number of blocks (genealogies) in Kingman's Coalescence is monotone and skip free (relatively easy to handle!)
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## COMING DOWN FROM INFINITY: II

- Kingman coalescent dynamics, fragment each block at a constant rate into an infinite number of blocks [cf. K., Pagett, Rogers \& Schweinsberg (2017)] - what happens after the first fragmentation event?
$>$ Nothing more than a Markov chain $(N(t): t \geq 0)$ on $\mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$ specified by the Q-matrix

- If $0<\theta<1$, then $(N(t): t \geq 0)$ is a recurrent Feller process on $\mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$ such that $\{\infty\}$ is instantaneously regular (that is to say 0 is a not a holding point).
$\Rightarrow$ If $\theta \geq 1$, then $\{\infty\}$ is an absorbing state for $(N(t): t \geq 0)$.
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## COMING DOWN FROM INFINITY: III

- Lambert's logistic Continuous-state branching process

$$
\mathrm{d} Z_{t}=b Z_{t} \mathrm{~d} t+\gamma Z_{t} \mathrm{~d} B_{t}-c Z_{t}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t, \quad t \geq 0
$$

Lambert (2005)
> More generally

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{t}= & x-a \int_{0}^{t} Z_{s} \mathrm{~d} s+\sigma \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{Z_{s-}} W(\mathrm{~d} s, \mathrm{~d} u) \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{Z_{s-}} \int_{0}^{\infty} r \tilde{N}(\mathrm{~d} s, \mathrm{~d} v, \mathrm{~d} r)-\int_{0}^{t} G\left(Z_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

[Berestycki, Fitipaldi \& Fontobona (2018)]
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## Stable Jump-Diffusions

- Focus our study on so-called stable jump diffusions:

$$
d Z_{t}=\sigma\left(Z_{t-}\right) d X_{t}, \quad Z_{0}=z \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0
$$

$>$ Intersted in entrance from $\{+\infty\},\{-\infty\}$ and $\pm \infty:=\{+\infty\} \cup\{-\infty\}$


## Stable process

- A stable process lies in the intersection of the class of Lévy process (stationary and independent increments) and the class of self-similar Markov processes: for all $c>0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\left(c X_{c}-\alpha_{t}, t \geq 0\right) \text { under } \mathbb{P}_{x} \text { is equal in law to }\left(X_{t}, t \geq 0\right) \text { under } \mathbb{P}_{c x},
$$

where $\left(\mathbb{P}_{x}, x \in \mathbb{R}\right)$ are the probabilities of $X$ and $\alpha \in(0,2)$.
$\Rightarrow$ Semigroup of $X$ is entirely characterised by $\Psi(z):=-\log \mathbb{E}_{0}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} Z X_{1}}\right]$, satisfying

where $\rho=\mathbb{P}\left(X_{1}>0\right)$.
$\rightarrow$ The Lévv measure associated with $\Psi$ :

where $\hat{\rho}:=1-\rho$. In the case that $\alpha=1$, we take $\rho=1 / 2$, meaning that $X$ corresponds to the Cauchy process.
Convention from now on: Anything with $\mathrm{a}^{\wedge}$ is associated to the law of $-X$. E.g. $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{x}$ is the law of $-X$ with $X_{0}=-x$.
$\rightarrow$ If $X$ has only upwards (resp. downwards) jumps we say $X$ is spectrally positive (resp. negative). If $X$ has jumps in both directions we say $X$ is two-sided. $A$ spectrally positive (resp. negative) stable process with $\alpha<1$ is necessarily
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## SDE

## Proposition (Zanzotto (2002), Döring \& K. (2018))

Suppose that $\sigma$ is strictly positive. Then there is a unique (possibly exploding) weak solution $Z$ to the SDE

$$
d Z_{t}=\sigma\left(Z_{t-}\right) d X_{t}, \quad Z_{0}=z \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0
$$

and $Z$ can be expressed as time-change under $\mathbb{P}_{z}$ via

$$
Z_{t}:=X_{\tau_{t}}, \quad t<T,
$$

where

$$
\tau_{t}=\inf \left\{s>0: \int_{0}^{s} \sigma\left(X_{s}\right)^{-\alpha} \mathrm{d} s>t\right\}
$$

and the finite or infinite explosion time is $T=\int_{0}^{\infty} \sigma\left(X_{s}\right)^{-\alpha} \mathrm{d} s$.
The law of the unique solution $Z$ will be denoted by $\mathrm{P}_{z}, z \in \mathbb{R}$.
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## Entrance at infinity

## Definition

We say that $\pm \infty$ is a (continuous) entrance point for a Feller process $Y$ on $\mathbb{R}$ with transition semigroup $\mathcal{P}$ (with probabilities $\mathrm{P}_{x}, x \in \mathbb{R}$ ) if
(i) the point $\pm \infty$ is not accessible,
(ii) the semigroup $\mathcal{P}$ can be extended to a Feller semigroup $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ on $C_{b}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$,
(iii) there is continuous entrance in the sense that

$$
\mathrm{P}_{ \pm \infty}\left(\lim _{t \downarrow 0}\left|Y_{t}\right|=\infty, \limsup _{t \downarrow 0} Y_{t}=+\infty, \liminf _{t \downarrow 0} Y_{t}=-\infty\right)=1
$$

Analogously, we define entrance from $-\infty$ as extension to $C_{b}(\underline{\mathbb{R}})$ and entrance from $+\infty$ as extension to $C_{b}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})=C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$.

## ENTRANCE AT INFINITY

## Theorem (Döring \& K. (2018))

Suppose that $\sigma$ is uniformly bounded away from the origin and let

$$
I^{\sigma, \alpha}(A)=\int_{A} \sigma(x)^{-\alpha}|x|^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{~d} x \quad \text { and } \quad I^{\sigma, 1}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)^{-1} \log |x| \mathrm{d} x
$$

Then the following table exhaustively summarizes entrance points at infinity of

$$
d Z_{t}=\sigma\left(Z_{t-}\right) d X_{t}, \quad Z_{0}=z \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0
$$

| Necessary and sufficient conditions for entrance from infinite boundary points |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha$ | Jumps | $+\infty$ | $-\infty$ | $\pm \infty$ |
| < 1 | only $\downarrow$ only $\uparrow$ $\uparrow \& \downarrow$ | $\begin{aligned} & x \\ & x \\ & x \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & x \\ & x \\ & x \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & x \\ & x \\ & x \\ & x \end{aligned}$ |
| = 1 | $\uparrow \& \downarrow$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ iff $I^{\sigma, 1}<\infty$ |
| > 1 | only $\downarrow$ <br> only $\uparrow$ <br> $\uparrow \& \downarrow$ | $\begin{aligned} & x \\ & \checkmark_{x} \text { iff } I^{\sigma, \alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)<\infty \\ & x \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline{ }^{\text {iff } I^{\sigma, \alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}_{-}\right)<\infty} \\ & x \\ & x \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline x \\ & x \\ & V_{\text {iff } I^{\sigma, \alpha}}^{(\mathbb{R})<\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $=2$ | none | $\checkmark$ iff $I^{\sigma, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)<\infty$ | $\checkmark$ iff $I^{\sigma, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{-}\right)<\infty$ | $x$ |

## EnTrAnce AT INFINITY

## Theorem (Döring \& K. (2018))

Suppose that $\sigma$ is uniformly bounded away from the origin and let

$$
I^{\sigma, \alpha}(A)=\int_{A} \sigma(x)^{-\alpha}|x|^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{~d} x \quad \text { and } \quad I^{\sigma, 1}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)^{-1} \log |x| \mathrm{d} x .
$$

Then the following table exhaustively summarizes entrance points at infinity of

$$
d Z_{t}=\sigma\left(Z_{t-}\right) d X_{t}, \quad Z_{0}=z \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0
$$

| Necessary and sufficient conditions for entrance from infinite boundary points |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha$ | Jumps | $+\infty$ | $-\infty$ | $\pm \infty$ |
| < 1 | only $\downarrow$ only $\uparrow$ $\uparrow \& \downarrow$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline x \\ & x \\ & x \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline x \\ & x \\ & x \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline x \\ & x \\ & x \end{aligned}$ |
| $=1$ | $\uparrow \& \downarrow$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ iff $I^{\sigma, 1}<\infty$ |
| > 1 | only $\downarrow$ only $\uparrow$ <br> $\uparrow \& \downarrow$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline x \\ & \sqrt{\text { iff } I^{\sigma, \alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)<\infty} \\ & x \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \overline{V_{i f f} I^{\sigma, \alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}_{-}\right)<\infty} \\ & x \\ & x \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline x \\ & x \\ & \jmath_{\text {iff } I^{\sigma, \alpha}}(\mathbb{R})<\infty \end{aligned}$ |
| $=2$ | none | $\checkmark$ iff $I^{\sigma, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)<\infty$ | $\checkmark$ iff $I^{\sigma, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{-}\right)<\infty$ | $x$ |

Henceforth concentrate on the case of two-sided jumps.

## RIESZ-BOGDAN-ŻAK TRANSFORM

Convention from now on: Anything with $\mathrm{a}^{\wedge}$ is associated to the law of - X. E.g. $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{x}$ is the law of $-X$ with $X_{0}=-x$.

Theorem (Bogdan \& Żak (2010), K. (2016))
Suppose that $X$ is a stable process with two-sided jumps. Define

$$
\eta(t)=\inf \left\{s>0: \int_{0}^{s}\left|X_{u}\right|^{-2 a} d u>t\right\}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

Then, for all $x \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$,

$$
\frac{1}{X_{\eta(t)}},
$$

under $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{x}$ a self-similar Markov process equal in law to $\left(X, \mathbb{P}_{1 / x}^{\circ}\right)$, where

$$
\left.\frac{d m_{00}}{d P_{X}}\right|_{J_{1}}=\frac{h\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)}{h_{1}(x)} 1_{(1<t(0)}
$$

$$
h(z)=(\sin (\pi \alpha \rho)+\sin (\pi \alpha \hat{\rho})-(\sin (\pi \alpha \rho)-\sin (\pi \alpha \hat{\rho})) \operatorname{sgn}(z))|z|^{\alpha-1}
$$

and $\mathcal{F}_{t}:=\sigma\left(X_{s}: s \leq t\right), t \geq 0$.
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Suppose that $X$ is a stable process with two-sided jumps. Define

$$
\eta(t)=\inf \left\{s>0: \int_{0}^{s}\left|X_{u}\right|^{-2 \alpha} \mathrm{~d} u>t\right\}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

Then, for all $x \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$,

$$
\frac{1}{X_{\eta(t)}}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

under $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{x}$ a self-similar Markov process equal in law to $\left(X, \mathbb{P}_{1 / x}^{\circ}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} \mathbb{P}_{x}^{o}}{\mathrm{~d} \mathbb{P}_{x}}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{t}}=\frac{h\left(X_{t}\right)}{h(x)} \mathbf{1}_{(t<\tau\{0\})} \\
h(z)=(\sin (\pi \alpha \rho)+\sin (\pi \alpha \hat{\rho})-(\sin (\pi \alpha \rho)-\sin (\pi \alpha \hat{\rho})) \operatorname{sgn}(z))|z|^{\alpha-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

and $\mathcal{F}_{t}:=\sigma\left(X_{s}: s \leq t\right), t \geq 0$.

## Stable conditioned to avoid the origin

$\Rightarrow$ Recalling that $\alpha \in(1,2),|x|^{\alpha-1}$ as a Doob $h$-function, rewards paths that are far from the origin $(|x| \gg 1)$ and punishes paths that stray too close to the origin $(|x| \ll 1)$.

```
> In fact it has been shown [Chaumont, Panti & Rivero (2013), Kuznetsov, K., Pardo, Watson (2014)]
that (X, 単),y\not=0, can be identified by the limit
```

$$
\mathbb{P}_{y}^{0}(A)=\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_{y}\left(A \mid T_{0}>t+s\right),
$$

## for $A \in \mathcal{F}_{t}$ and $T_{0}=\inf \left\{t>0: X_{t}=0\right\}$.

$\rightarrow$ (WARNING! Ultra specialist information): As $X$ is a point recurrent process, there exists an excursion measure $n(\cdot)$ for the Poisson random field of excursions from the origin, from which one can construct (up to a constant)

$$
\mathbb{P}_{0}^{\circ}\left(X_{t}^{\circ} \in \mathrm{d} z\right):=h(z) n\left(X_{t} \in \mathrm{~d} z, t<\zeta\right)
$$

consistently with $\mathbb{P}_{y}^{0}, y \neq 0$, where $\zeta$ is the excursion lifetime and

$$
h_{h}(z)=(\sin (\pi \alpha \rho)+\sin (\pi \alpha \hat{\rho})-(\sin (\pi \alpha \rho)-\sin (\pi \alpha \hat{\rho})) \operatorname{sgn}(z))|z|^{\alpha-1}
$$

$\rightarrow$ (Executive summary of last point): The limit

$$
m_{0} 0:=\lim _{|y| \rightarrow 0}^{m o y}
$$
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consistently with $\mathbb{P}_{y}^{\circ}, y \neq 0$, where $\zeta$ is the excursion lifetime and
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h(z)=(\sin (\operatorname{tap})+\sin (-\alpha \hat{p})-(\sin (\operatorname{tap})-\sin (-\alpha \hat{p})) \operatorname{sgn}(z))|z|^{a-1}
$$

- (Executive summary of last point): The limit
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- (Executive summary of last point): The limit

$$
\mathbb{P}_{0}^{\circ}:=\lim _{|y| \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}_{y}^{\circ}
$$

is well defined in the sense of Skorohod convergence.

## Time change and Riesz-Bogdan-Żak

Remember there is a unique weak solution Z to the SDE

$$
d Z_{t}=\sigma\left(Z_{t-}\right) d X_{t}, \quad Z_{0}=z \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0
$$

and $Z$ can be expressed as time-change under $\mathbb{P}_{z}$ via $Z_{t}:=X_{\tau_{t}}, t<T$, where

$$
\tau_{t}=\inf \left\{s>0: \int_{0}^{s} \sigma\left(X_{s}\right)^{-\alpha} \mathrm{d} s>t\right\}
$$

Proposition (Döring \& K. (2018))
Set

$$
\beta(x)=\sigma(1 / x)^{-\alpha}|x|^{-2 \alpha}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\} .
$$

## Define the time-space transformation

$$
Z_{i}^{*}=\frac{1}{\hat{X}_{\theta_{1}}}, \quad t<\int_{0}^{\infty} \beta\left(\hat{X}_{I I}^{0}\right) d u
$$

where

$$
\theta_{t}=\inf \left\{s>0: \int_{0}^{s} \beta\left(\hat{X}_{u}^{\circ}\right) d u>t\right\}
$$

If $\hat{X}^{\circ}$ has law $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{1 / x^{\prime}}^{\circ} x \neq 0$, then $Z^{\dagger}$ is equal in law to the unique solution to the SDE

## Time change and Riesz-Bogdan-Żak

Remember there is a unique weak solution $Z$ to the SDE

$$
d Z_{t}=\sigma\left(Z_{t-}\right) d X_{t}, \quad Z_{0}=z \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0
$$

and $Z$ can be expressed as time-change under $\mathbb{P}_{z}$ via $Z_{t}:=X_{\tau_{t}}, t<T$, where

$$
\tau_{t}=\inf \left\{s>0: \int_{0}^{s} \sigma\left(X_{s}\right)^{-\alpha} \mathrm{d} s>t\right\}
$$

## Proposition (Döring \& K. (2018))

Set

$$
\beta(x)=\sigma(1 / x)^{-\alpha}|x|^{-2 \alpha}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\} .
$$

Define the time-space transformation

$$
Z_{t}^{\dagger}=\frac{1}{\hat{X}_{\theta_{t}}^{\circ}}, \quad t<\int_{0}^{\infty} \beta\left(\hat{X}_{u}^{\circ}\right) d u
$$

where

$$
\theta_{t}=\inf \left\{s>0: \int_{0}^{s} \beta\left(\hat{X}_{u}^{\circ}\right) d u>t\right\}
$$

If $\hat{X}^{\circ}$ has law $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{1 / x^{\prime}}^{\circ} x \neq 0$, then $Z^{\dagger}$ is equal in law to the unique solution to the SDE under $\mathbb{P}_{x}$ up to killing at the origin.

## Sufficiency (Heuristic)

$\Rightarrow$ We want to show that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)^{-\alpha}|x|^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{~d} x<\infty$ implies that $\pm \infty$ is an entrance point for

$$
d Z_{t}=\sigma\left(Z_{t-}\right) d X_{t}, \quad Z_{0}=z \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0
$$

> Heuristically we want to have $Z={ }^{d} X_{\tau}$. enter at $\pm \infty$

- Which is to have $1 / Z$. (or indeed $1 / Z!$ ) enter at 0 , crossing the origin infinitely often for arbitrarily small times
- Which is to have $\hat{X}_{\theta}^{\circ}$, enter at 0 , crossing the origin infinitely often for arbitrarily small times
- Which will hap pen, since $X^{\circ}$ can enter at 0 , providing we can control $\theta$.
- Needs weak convergence of $\int_{0}^{t} \beta\left(\hat{X}_{u}^{\circ}\right) d u$ as $\left|\hat{X}_{0}^{\circ}\right| \rightarrow 0$.
- Suffices to check
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## Sufficiency (Heuristic)

- We want to show that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)^{-\alpha}|x|^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{~d} x<\infty$ implies that $\pm \infty$ is an entrance point for

$$
d Z_{t}=\sigma\left(Z_{t-}\right) d X_{t}, \quad Z_{0}=z \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0
$$

- Heuristically we want to have $Z={ }^{d} X_{\tau}$. enter at $\pm \infty$
- Which is to have $1 / Z$. (or indeed $1 / Z_{!}^{\dagger}$ ) enter at 0 , crossing the origin infinitely often for arbitrarily small times
- Which is to have $\hat{X}_{\theta}^{\circ}$ enter at 0 , crossing the origin infinitely often for arbitrarily small times
- Which will happen, since $\hat{X}^{\circ}$ can enter at 0 , providing we can control $\theta$.
- Needs weak convergence of $\int_{0}^{t} \beta\left(\hat{X}_{u}^{\circ}\right) d u$ as $\left|\hat{X}_{0}^{\circ}\right| \rightarrow 0$.
- Suffices to check

$$
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow 0} \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{x}^{\circ}\left[\int_{0}^{t} \beta\left(\hat{X}_{u}^{\circ}\right) d u\right]<\lim _{|x| \rightarrow 0} \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{x}^{\circ}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \beta\left(\hat{X}_{u}^{\circ}\right) d u\right]<\infty
$$

## Sufficiency (Heuristic)

Writing $G_{\hat{X}^{\circ}}(x, \mathrm{~d} y)$ for the resolvent of $\hat{X}^{\circ}$ and $G_{\hat{X}^{\dagger}}(x, \mathrm{~d} y)$ for the resolvent of $X$ killed on first hitting the origin,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{x}^{\circ}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \beta\left(\hat{X}_{u}^{\circ}\right) d u\right] \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{\hat{X}^{\circ}}(x, \mathrm{~d} y) \sigma(1 / y)^{-\alpha}|y|^{-2 \alpha} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{\hat{X}^{\dagger}}(x, \mathrm{~d} y) \frac{\hat{h}(y)}{\hat{h}(x)} \sigma(1 / y)^{-\alpha}|y|^{-2 \alpha} \\
& \approx \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(|y|^{\alpha-1} s(y)-|y-x|^{\alpha-1} s(y-x)+|x|^{\alpha-1} s(-x)\right) \frac{|y|^{\alpha-1}}{|x|^{\alpha-1}} \sigma(1 / y)^{-\alpha}|y|^{-2 \alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is finite if

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)^{-\alpha}|x|^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{~d} x<\infty
$$

Note, for a Markov process $Y$, with probabilities $\mathrm{P}_{x}, x \in E$,

$$
G_{Y}(x, \mathrm{~d} y)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{P}_{x}\left(Y_{t} \in \mathrm{~d} y\right) \mathrm{d} t, \quad x, y \in E .
$$

## Hunt-Nagasawa Duality

## Proposition (Döring \& K. (2018))

Suppose that $\hat{X}^{\circ}$ has probabilities $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{x}^{\circ}, x \in \mathbb{R}$. Define $\hat{Z}_{t}^{\circ}=\hat{X}_{\iota t}^{\circ}, t \geq 0$, where the time-change $\iota$ is given by

$$
\iota_{t}=\inf \left\{s>0: \int_{0}^{s} \sigma\left(\hat{X}_{s}^{\circ}\right)^{-\alpha} d s>t\right\}, \quad t<\int_{0}^{\infty} \sigma\left(\hat{X}_{s}^{\circ}\right)^{-\alpha} d s
$$

Recall that $Z$ has the law of the unique weak solution to the $\operatorname{SDE}$ and $Z^{\dagger}$ is the same process killed on first hitting 0 .
If $\pm \infty$ is an entrance point for $Z$, then the time reversed process $Z_{(k-t)-}^{\dagger}, t \leq k$, under $P_{ \pm \infty}$ is a time-homogenous Markov process with transition semigroup which agrees with that of $\hat{Z}^{\circ}$, where $k$ is any almost surely finite last passage time for $Z^{\dagger}$ (e.g. $\mathrm{k}=\inf \left\{t>0: Z_{t}^{\dagger}=0\right\}$ ).

[^3]where
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Remark on proof: Important step is to prove weak duality:
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p_{Z^{\dagger}}(t, y, \mathrm{~d} z) \mu(\mathrm{d} y)=p_{\hat{Z}^{\circ}}(t, z, \mathrm{~d} y) \mu(\mathrm{d} z)
$$

where

$$
\mu(d y)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \nu(\mathrm{d} x) G_{\hat{Z}^{\circ}}(x, \mathrm{~d} y)
$$
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Remark on proof: Important step is to prove weak duality:

$$
p_{Z^{\dagger}}(t, y, \mathrm{~d} z) \mu(\mathrm{d} y)=p_{\hat{Z}^{\circ}}(t, z, \mathrm{~d} y) \mu(\mathrm{d} z)
$$

where

$$
\mu(d y)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \nu(\mathrm{d} x) G_{\hat{Z}^{\circ}}(x, \mathrm{~d} y)=\sigma(x)^{-\alpha} h(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

and $G_{\hat{Z}}$ o is the resolvent of $\hat{Z}^{\circ}$

## Hunt-Nagasawa Duality



The time reversed process $Z_{(k-t)-}^{\dagger}, t \leq k$, under $\mathrm{P}_{ \pm \infty}$ is a time-homogenous Markov process with transition semigroup which agrees with that of $\hat{Z}^{\circ}$, where $k$ is any almost surely finite last passage time for $Z^{\dagger}$ (e.g. $k=\inf \left\{t>0: Z_{t}^{\dagger}=0\right\}$ )

## Necessity (Heuristic)

- We want to show that if $\pm \infty$ is an entrance point for

$$
d Z_{t}=\sigma\left(Z_{t-}\right) d X_{t}, \quad Z_{0}=z \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0
$$

then necessarily $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)^{-\alpha}|x|^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{~d} x<\infty$.

- If $\pm \infty$ is an entrance point, then $Z$ can be seen as a Feller process on the compact space $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$.
- Getoor's equivalent definitions of transience:
> On the one hand, last exit from any compact set is a.s. finite
> On the other hand the resolvent of any compact set is finite
- As $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is compact itself,

$\rightarrow$ Hunt-Nagasawa duality implies that

$$
G_{z t}( \pm \infty, \overline{\mathbb{Z}})=G_{20}(0, \mathbb{R})<\infty
$$

- A bit of work ....
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$\infty>G_{\hat{Z}^{\circ}}(0, \overline{\mathbb{R}}) \approx G_{\hat{Z}^{\circ}}(x, \mathbb{R})=\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{\hat{X}^{\dagger}}(x, \mathrm{~d} y) \frac{\hat{h}(y)}{\hat{h}(x)} \sigma(1 / y)^{-\alpha}|y|^{-2 \alpha} \approx \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)^{-\alpha}|x|^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{~d} x$,
for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$.


## DIFFICULTIES IN OTHER REGIMES

- Two sided jumps
$\quad \alpha \leq 1$ Cannot hit the origin, so cannot time reverse from the origin or condition to avoid the origin
- $\alpha=1$ Can time reverse from first entry into strip $(-1,1)$
$\quad \alpha<1$ Can do the same as $\alpha=1$ but cannot control the time change to explosion
- One sided jumps
$\Rightarrow$ In the (negative) subordinator cases, don't need to look at conditioned processes on time reversal
* For the unbounded variation spectratly one-sided case, end up looking at conditioning to stay positive or negative instead of conditioning to avoid the origin
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## EXPLOSION (EXIT AT INFINITY)

## Theorem (Döring \& K. (2018))

Suppose that $\sigma>0$ and let

$$
I^{\sigma, \alpha}(A)=\int_{A} \sigma(x)^{-\alpha}|x|^{\alpha-1} d x
$$

Then the following table exhaustively summarises finite time explosion for

$$
d Z_{t}=\sigma\left(Z_{t-}\right) d X_{t}, \quad Z_{0}=z \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0
$$

| Necessary and sufficient conditions for exit at infinite boundary points |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha$ | Jumps | $+\infty$ | $-\infty$ | $\pm \infty$ |
| < 1 | only $\downarrow$ <br> only $\uparrow$ <br> $\uparrow \& \downarrow$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \bar{x} \\ & \sqrt{\text { iff } I^{\sigma, \alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)<\infty \\ & x \end{aligned}$ | $\bar{V}_{\text {iff } I^{\sigma, \alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{-}\right)<\infty$ $x$ $x$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline x \\ & x \\ & \boldsymbol{J}_{\text {iff } I^{\sigma, \alpha}}(\mathbb{R})<\infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $=1$ | $\uparrow \& \downarrow$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| > 1 | only $\downarrow$ only $\uparrow$ $\uparrow \& \downarrow$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline x \\ & x \\ & x \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline x \\ & x \\ & x \\ & x \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline x \\ & x \\ & x \end{aligned}$ |
| $=2$ | none | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |

Thank you!


[^0]:    A change of space via the so-called scale function (say s which makes $\left(s\left(X_{t}\right), t \geq 0\right)$ a martingale)

[^1]:    Technical point: when $\alpha \in(1,2)$, the origin is a recurrent point, hence as $\sigma>0$, $T=\infty$.

[^2]:    - Suffices to check

[^3]:    Remark on proof: Important step is to prove weak duality:

