

## Alice and Bob

You play a game with Alice and Bob:

1. They pick one number each, with the only constraint that  $A \neq B$ , meaning that they are not allowed to pick the same number. (However, they can use any strategy: communicate with each other, use randomness to pick their numbers, etc.)
2. You toss a coin to choose who reveals their number, Alice or Bob.
3. After seeing the revealed number, you are to guess who has the bigger number.

Find a strategy so that, if playing this game repeatedly, you win more often than you lose.

### Hint

Assume first that they pick numbers from the faces of a die, that is, from the set  $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$  and that your strategy is deterministic. For example, if the revealed number was  $> 3$ , you always declared that it was the bigger of the two numbers. Would your strategy always work? What if Alice and Bob always picked 4 and 5? What if  $S$  is a different set, e.g. the real numbers? Can you think of a more abstract description of the strategy that enables you to introduce a dependency on what you see?

### Answer

For your strategy you first pick a function  $\psi$  on  $S$  that is strictly increasing, that goes to 0 for  $X$  to  $-\infty$  and that goes to 1 for  $X$  to  $\infty$ .

Then you declare the revealed number  $X$  (where  $X$  may be  $A$  or  $B$ ) bigger with probability  $\psi(A)$ , where  $\psi$  is a cumulative distribution function. (It can be any such function.)

Why it works:

Without loss of generality, assume  $A < B$ . After tossing the coin, the chance that you see  $A$  or  $B$  is the same and equals  $1/2$ . However, what is the chance your answer is correct?

$$\begin{aligned} P(\text{win}) &= P(\text{seen } B)P(\text{declared } B \text{ as bigger given } B \text{ was seen}) \\ &\quad + P(\text{seen } A)P(\text{declared } B \text{ as bigger given } A \text{ was seen}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\psi(B) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \psi(A)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(\psi(B) - \psi(A)) \\ &> \frac{1}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

because  $\psi$  is strictly monotone and  $A < B$ .

## Notes

- An interpretation of this is that it is a probabilistic strategy and  $\psi$  is a cumulative distribution function. An examples for a cumulative functions if  $S$  is the real numbers is the normal distribution. In the case where  $S$  is a discrete set, the function  $\psi$  does not have to be strictly increasing, but could be piecewise constant and jump up by a value larger than 0 in each values of  $S$ , e.g.  $\psi(k) = k/6$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ ) for the die. (For more details about such functions see [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cumulative\\_distribution\\_function](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cumulative_distribution_function).)
- The strategy always works no matter whether the set  $S$  is finite or infinite, discrete or continuous.
- What does "without loss of generality" means? It means that the argument works in the same way without that assumption. Here, the assumption was  $A < B$ . The case of equality was excluded. If  $A > B$  then the argument can just be rewritten with the roles of  $A$  and  $B$  exchanged.