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Three Simultaneous Equations

Consider the following system of three simultaneous equations in three unknowns, which depends upon two “exogenous” constants $a$ and $b$:

\[
\begin{align*}
  x + y - z &= 1 \\
  x - y + 2z &= 2 \\
  x + 2y + az &= b
\end{align*}
\]

It can be expressed, using an augmented $3 \times 4$ matrix, as:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 2 & 2 \\
1 & 2 & a & b
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Perhaps even more useful is the doubly augmented $3 \times 7$ matrix:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 2 & a & b & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

whose last 3 columns are those of the $3 \times 3$ identity matrix $I_3$. 
The First Pivot Step

Start with the doubly augmented $3 \times 7$ matrix:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc|ccc}
1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & a & b & 0 & 0
\end{array}
\]

First, we pivot about the element in row 1 and column 1 to eliminate or “zeroize” the other elements of column 1. This elementary row operation requires us to subtract row 1 from both rows 2 and 3. It is equivalent to multiplying by the lower triangular matrix $E_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}$.

Note: this is the result of applying the same row operations to $I_3$.

The resulting $3 \times 7$ matrix is:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc|ccc}
1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -2 & 3 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & a + 1 & b - 1 & -1 & 0
\end{array}
\]
The Second Pivot Step

After augmenting again by the identity matrix, we have:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -2 & 3 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & a + 1 & b - 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Next, we pivot about the element in row 2 and column 2. Specifically, multiply the second row by \(-\frac{1}{2}\), then subtract the new second row from the third to obtain:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a + \frac{5}{2} & b - \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Again, the pivot operation is equivalent to multiplying by the lower triangular matrix \(E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}\), which is the result of applying the same row operation to \(I_3\).
Case 1: Dependent Equations

In case 1, when \( a + \frac{5}{2} = 0 \), the equation system reduces to:

\[
\begin{align*}
  x + y - z &= 1 \\
  y - \frac{3}{2}z &= -\frac{1}{2} \\
  0 &= b - \frac{1}{2}
\end{align*}
\]

In case 1A, when \( b \neq \frac{1}{2} \), neither the last equation, nor the system as a whole, has any solution.

In case 1B, when \( b = \frac{1}{2} \), the third equation is redundant.

In this case, the first two equations have a general solution with \( y = \frac{3}{2}z - \frac{1}{2} \) and \( x = z + 1 - y = z + 1 - \frac{3}{2}z + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}z \), where \( z \) is an arbitrary scalar.

In particular, there is a one-dimensional set of solutions along the unique straight line in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) that passes through both: (i) \( \left( \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, 0 \right) \), when \( z = 0 \); (ii) \( \left( 1, 1, 1 \right) \), when \( z = 1 \).
Case 2: Three Independent Equations

\[
\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc}
1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\
0 & 0 & a + \frac{5}{2} & b - \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}
\]

Case 2 occurs when \( a + \frac{5}{2} \neq 0 \), and so the reciprocal \( c := \frac{1}{a + \frac{5}{2}} \) is well defined.
Now divide the last row by \( a + \frac{5}{2} \), or multiply by \( c \), to obtain:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc}
1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & (b - \frac{1}{2})c & -\frac{3}{2}c & \frac{3}{2}c & \frac{1}{2}c & 0 & 0
\end{array}
\]

The system has been reduced to row echelon form in which the leading zeroes of each successive row form the steps (in French, échelons, meaning rungs) of a ladder (or échelle in French) which descends steadily as one goes from left to right.
Case 2: Three Independent Equations, Third Pivot

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & -\frac{3}{2} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
-\frac{1}{2} \\
(b - \frac{1}{2})c
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
-\frac{3}{2}c & \frac{3}{2}c & \frac{1}{2}c
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Next, we zeroize the elements in the third column above row 3.

To do so, pivot about the element in row 3 and column 3.

This requires adding 1 times the last row to the first, and \(\frac{3}{2}\) times the last row to the second.

In effect, one multiplies

by the upper triangular matrix \(E_3 := \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & \frac{3}{2} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}\)

The first three columns of the result are

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Case 2: Three Independent Equations, Final Pivot

As already remarked, the first three columns of the matrix we are left with are
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

The final pivoting operation involves subtracting the second row from the first, so the first three columns become the identity matrix
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

This is a matrix in **reduced row echelon form** because, given the leading non-zero element of any row (if there is one), all elements above this element are zero.
Final Exercise

Exercise

1. Find the last 4 columns of each $3 \times 7$ matrix produced by these last two pivoting steps.

2. Check that the fourth column solves the original system of 3 simultaneous equations.

3. Check that the last 3 columns form the inverse of the original coefficient matrix.
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Definition of Row Echelon Form

Definition
An $m \times n$ matrix $A$ is in row echelon form just in case:

1. The first $r \leq m$ rows $i \in \mathbb{N}_r$ each have a non-zero leading entry $a_{i,\ell_i}$ in column $\ell_i$ such that $a_{ij} = 0$ for all $j < \ell_i$.

2. Each successive leading entry is in a column to the right of the leading entry in the previous row.

That is, given the leading element $a_{i,\ell_i} \neq 0$ of row $i$, one has $a_{hj} = 0$ for all $h > i$ and all $j \leq \ell_i$.

3. If $r < m$, then any row $i \in \{r + 1, \ldots, m\} = \mathbb{N}_m \setminus \mathbb{N}_r$ has no leading entry, because all its elements are zero.

This row without a leading entry must be below any row with a leading entry.
Examples

Assuming that $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, here are three examples of matrices in row echelon form:

$$
A = \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \beta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma \\
\end{pmatrix}; \quad B = \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \beta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}; \quad C = \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha & 0 \\
0 & \beta \\
\end{pmatrix}
$$

Here are three examples of matrices that are not in row echelon form

$$
D = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}; \quad E = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 2 \\
0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}; \quad F = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}
$$
Pivoting to Reach a Generalized Row Echelon Form

Any \( m \times n \) matrix \( A \) can be transformed into row echelon form by applying a series of determinant preserving row operations involving non-zero pivot elements.

1. Look for the first or leading non-zero column \( \ell_1 \) in the matrix.

2. Find within column \( \ell_1 \) an element \( a_{i_1 \ell_1} \neq 0 \) with a large absolute value \( |a_{i_1 \ell_1}| \); this will be the first pivot.

3. Interchange rows 1 and \( i_1 \), moving the pivot to the top row.

4. To preserve the determinant, change the sign of either row 1 or row \( i_1 \) (not both) by multiplying that entire row by \(-1\).

5. Subtract \( a_{i_\ell_1}/a_{1\ell_1} \) times the new row 1 from each new row \( i > 1 \).

This first pivot operation will eliminate all the elements of the pivot column \( \ell_1 \) that lie below the new row 1.
The Intermediate Matrices and Pivot Steps

After $k - 1$ pivoting operations have been completed, and column $\ell_{k-1}$ (with $\ell_{k-1} \geq k - 1$) was the last to be used:

1. The first or “top” $k - 1$ rows of the $m \times n$ matrix form a $(k - 1) \times n$ submatrix in row echelon form.
2. The last or “bottom” $m - k + 1$ rows of the $m \times n$ matrix form an $(m - k + 1) \times n$ submatrix whose first $\ell_{k-1}$ columns are all zero.
3. Find the first column $\ell_k$ that has at least one non-zero element below row $k - 1$.
4. Choose as the $k$th pivot element the $a_{i_k \ell_k}$ with $i_k \geq k$ which has the large absolute value $|a_{i_k \ell_k}|$.
5. Interchange rows $k$ and $i_k$, moving the pivot up to row $k$, and change the sign of just one of these rows.
6. Subtract $a_{i \ell_k}/a_{k \ell_k}$ times the new row $k$ from each new row $i > k$.

This $k$th pivot operation will eliminate all the elements of the pivot column $\ell_k$ that lie below the new row $k$. 
Ending the Pivoting Process

1. Continue pivoting about successive pivot elements $a_{i_k \ell_k} \neq 0$, moving row $i_k \geq k$ up to row $k$ at each stage $k$, while leaving all rows above $k$ unchanged.

2. Stop after $r$ steps when either $r = m$, or else all elements in the remaining $m - r$ rows are zero, so no further pivoting is possible.
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Definition of Reduced Row Echelon Form

Definition
An $m \times n$ matrix $A$ is in reduced row echelon form just in case it is in row echelon form, and the leading entry $a_{i,\ell_i} \neq 0$ in each row $i$ is the only non-zero entry in its column.

That is, $a_{ij} = 0$ for all $j \neq \ell_i$.

When $m = n$, it is obvious that any diagonal matrix in which all diagonal elements are non-zero is in reduced row echelon form.

Assuming that $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, here are three more examples of matrices in reduced row echelon form:

$$
\begin{align*}
A &= \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \beta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma
\end{pmatrix}; \\
B &= \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \beta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma
\end{pmatrix}; \\
C &= \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha & 0 \\
0 & \beta
\end{pmatrix}
\end{align*}
$$
Consider an $m \times n$ matrix $C$ that is already in row echelon form. Suppose it has $r$ leading non-zero elements $c_{k,\ell_k}$ in rows $k = 1, 2, \ldots, r$, where $\ell_k$ is increasing in $k$.

Starting at the pivot element $c_{r,\ell_r} \neq 0$ in the last pivot row $r$, zeroize all the elements in column $\ell_r$ above this element by subtracting from each row $k$ above $r$ the multiple $c_{k,\ell_r}/c_{r,\ell_r}$ of row $r$ of the matrix $C$, while leaving row $r$ itself unchanged.

Repeat this pivoting operation for each of the pivot elements $c_{k,\ell_k}$, working from $c_{r-1,\ell_{r-1}}$ all the way back and up to $c_{1,\ell_1}$. 
Permuting the Columns

We have shown how to transform a general $m \times n$ matrix $A$ into a matrix $C = RA$ in reduced row echelon form by applying the row operation $R$ that equals the product of several determinant preserving row operations.

Denote the leading non-zero elements in the first $r$ rows of $C$ by $c_{k\ell_k}$, where $\ell_k$ is increasing in $k$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, r$.

Finally, post multiply $C$ by an $n \times n$ permutation matrix $P$ that moves column $\ell_k$ to column $k$, for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, r$.

It also partitions the matrix columns into two sets:

1. first, a complete set of $r$ columns containing all the $r$ pivots, with one pivot in each row and one in each column;

2. then second, the remaining $n - r$ columns without any pivots.

So the resulting matrix $CP = RAP$ has a diagonal sub-matrix $D_{r \times r}$ in its top left-hand corner; the diagonal elements of $D_{r \times r}$ are the pivots, all of which must be non-zero, by construction.
A Partially Diagonalized Matrix

Our constructions have led to the equality

$$\text{RAP} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D}_{r \times r} & \mathbf{B}_{r \times (n-r)} \\ \mathbf{0}_{(m-r) \times r} & \mathbf{0}_{(m-r) \times (n-r)} \end{pmatrix}$$

The right-hand side is a partitioned $m \times n$ matrix, whose four sub-matrices have the indicated dimensions. We may call it a partially diagonalized matrix.

Because the diagonal of $\mathbf{D}_{r \times r} = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_r)$ consists of all the non-zero pivots, the inverse $\mathbf{D}_{r \times r}^{-1} = \text{diag}(1/d_1, 1/d_2, \ldots, 1/d_r)$ exists.

Provided that the non-negative integer $r \leq m$ is unique, independent of what pivots are chosen, we may want to call $r$ the pivot rank of the matrix $\mathbf{A}$.
Decomposing an $m \times n$ Matrix

Premultiplying the equality

$$RAP = \begin{pmatrix} D_{r \times r} & B_{r \times (n-r)} \\ 0_{(m-r) \times r} & 0_{(m-r) \times (n-r)} \end{pmatrix}$$

by the inverse matrix $R^{-1}$, which certainly exists, gives

$$AP = R^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} D_{r \times r} & B_{r \times (n-r)} \\ 0_{(m-r) \times r} & 0_{(m-r) \times (n-r)} \end{pmatrix}$$

Postmultiplying the result by $P^{-1}$ leads to

$$A = R^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} D_{r \times r} & B_{r \times (n-r)} \\ 0_{(m-r) \times r} & 0_{(m-r) \times (n-r)} \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}$$

This is a decomposition of $A$ into the product of three matrices that are much easier to manipulate.
Three Special Cases

So far we have been writing out full partitioned matrices, as is required when the number of pivots satisfies \( r < \min\{m, n\} \).

There are three other special cases when \( r = \min\{m, n\} \).

In these three cases, the partially diagonalized \( m \times n \) matrix

\[
\text{RAP} = \begin{pmatrix}
D_{r \times r} & B_{r \times (n-r)} \\
0_{(m-r) \times r} & 0_{(m-r) \times (n-r)}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

reduces to:

1. \( D_{n \times n} \) in case \( r = m = n \), so \( m - r = n - r = 0 \);
2. \( (D_{m \times m} \ B_{m \times (n-m)}) \) in case \( r = m < n \), so \( m - r = 0 \);
3. \( (D_{n \times n} \ \ 0_{(m-n) \times n}) \) in case \( r = n < m \), so \( n - r = 0 \).
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Finding the Determinant of a Square Matrix

In the case of an $n \times n$ matrix $A$, our earlier equality becomes

$$\text{RAP} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{r \times r} & B_{r \times (n-r)} \\ 0_{(n-r) \times r} & 0_{(n-r) \times (n-r)} \end{pmatrix}$$

The determinant of this upper triangular matrix is clearly 0 except in the special case when $r = n$.

When $r = n$, there is a complete set of $n$ pivots.

There are no missing columns, so no need to permute the columns by applying the permutation matrix $P$.

Instead, we have the complete diagonalization $RA = D$.

Because $R$ is determinant preserving, one has $|RA| = |A| = |D| = \prod_{i=1}^{n} d_i$.

So, to calculate the determinant when $r = n$, it is enough:

1. to pivot to reduce $A$ to row echelon form or diagonal form;
2. then multiply the diagonal elements.
Suppose that $A$ is $n \times n$, and consider the equation system $AX = I_n$.

The corresponding system with a partially diagonalized matrix $A$ is

$$RAX = RAPP^{-1}X = \begin{pmatrix} D_{r \times r} & B_{r \times (n-r)} \\ 0_{(n-r) \times r} & 0_{(n-r) \times (n-r)} \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}X = R$$

This has a solution only if the last $n - r$ rows of $R$ are all zero.

But $|R| = 1$, so this implies that $r = n$.

That is, a necessary condition for $A$ to be invertible is that $r = n$, implying that $A$ has a full set of $n$ pivots.
Conversely, if \( r = n \), then there is a complete set of pivots, so one can take \( P = I \).

Then the partially diagonalized system becomes fully diagonalized, so \( AX = I \) is equivalent to \( RAX = DX = R \).

The unique solution is \( X = A^{-1} = D^{-1}R \).

In this case pivoting does virtually all the work of matrix inversion; because all that is left to do is:

1. invert the resulting diagonal matrix \( D \);
2. postmultiply \( D^{-1} \) by the matrix \( R \), which represents the product of all the pivoting operations.
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Eight Basic Rules (Rules A–H of EMEA, Section 16.4)

Let $|A|$ denote the determinant of any $n \times n$ matrix $A$.

1. $|A| = 0$ if all the elements in a row (or column) of $A$ are 0.
2. $|A^\top| = |A|$, where $A^\top$ is the transpose of $A$.
3. If all the elements in a single row (or column) of $A$ are multiplied by a scalar $\alpha$, so is its determinant.
4. If two rows (or two columns) of $A$ are interchanged, the determinant changes sign, but not its absolute value.
5. If two of the rows (or columns) of $A$ are proportional, then $|A| = 0$.
6. The value of the determinant of $A$ is unchanged if any multiple of one row (or one column) is added to a different row (or column) of $A$.
7. The determinant of the product $|AB|$ of two $n \times n$ matrices equals the product $|A| \cdot |B|$ of their determinants.
8. If $\alpha$ is any scalar, then $|\alpha A| = \alpha^n |A|$.
Verifying the Transpose Rule 2

The transpose rule 2 is very useful: it implies that for any statement \( S \) about how \( |A| \) depends on the rows of \( A \), there is an equivalent “transpose” statement \( S^\top \) about how \( |A| \) depends on the columns of \( A \).

Exercise

Verify Rule 2 directly for \( 2 \times 2 \) and then for \( 3 \times 3 \) matrices.

Proof of Rule 2

The expansion formula implies that

\[
|A| = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi} \text{sgn}(\pi) \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i\pi(i)} = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi} \text{sgn}(\pi) \prod_{j=1}^{n} a_{\pi^{-1}(j)j}
\]

But we proved earlier that \( \text{sgn}(\pi^{-1}) = \text{sgn}(\pi) \).

Also \( a_{\pi^{-1}(j)j} = a_{\pi^{-1}(j)}^\top \) by definition of transpose.

Hence, because \( \pi \leftrightarrow \pi^{-1} \) is a bijection on the set \( \Pi \), the expansion formula with \( \pi \) replaced by \( \pi^{-1} \) implies that

\[
|A| = \sum_{\pi^{-1} \in \Pi} \text{sgn}(\pi^{-1}) \prod_{j=1}^{n} a_{j\pi^{-1}(j)} = |A^\top|.
\]
Verifying the Alternation Rule 4

Recall the notation $\tau_{r,s}$ for the transposition of $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_n$.

Let $A_{r \leftrightarrow s}$ denote the matrix that results from applying $\tau_{r,s}$ to the rows of the matrix $A$ — i.e., interchanging rows $r$ and $s$.

**Theorem**

*Given any $n \times n$ matrix $A$ and any transposition $\tau_{r,s}$, one has $\det A_{r \leftrightarrow s} = - \det A$.***

**Proof.**

Write $\tau$ for $\tau_{r,s}$. Then, because $\pi \leftrightarrow \tau^{-1} \circ \pi$ is a bijection on $\Pi_n$ and $\text{sgn}(\tau^{-1} \circ \pi) = - \text{sgn}(\pi)$ for all $\pi \in \Pi_n$, we have

\[
\det A_{r \leftrightarrow s} = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_n} \text{sgn}(\pi) \prod_{i=1}^n a_{\tau(i),\pi(i)} \\
= \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_n} \text{sgn}(\pi) \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i,(\tau^{-1} \circ \pi)(i)} \\
= - \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_n} \text{sgn}(\tau^{-1} \circ \pi) \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i,(\tau^{-1} \circ \pi)(i)} \\
= - \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_n} \text{sgn}(\pi) \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i,\pi(i)} = - \det A \quad \square
\]
The Duplication Rule, and Rule 8

The following duplication rule is a special case of Rule 5.

**Proposition**

If two different rows \( r \) and \( s \) of \( A \) are equal, then \( |A| = 0 \).

**Proof.**

Suppose that rows \( r \) and \( s \) of \( A \) are equal.

Then \( A_{r\leftrightarrow s} = A \), and so \( |A_{r\leftrightarrow s}| = |A| \).

Yet the alternation Rule 4 implies that \( |A_{r\leftrightarrow s}| = -|A| \).

Hence \( |A| = -|A| \), implying that \( |A| = 0 \).

**Rule 8:** \( |\alpha A| = \alpha^n |A| \) for any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \).

**Proof.**

The expansion formula implies that

\[
|\alpha A| = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi} \text{sgn}(\pi) \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha a_{i\pi(i)}) = \alpha^n \sum_{\pi \in \Pi} \text{sgn}(\pi) \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i\pi(i)} = \alpha^n |A|
\]
First Implications of Multilinearity: Rules 1 and 3

Recall the notation $A/b_r^\top$ for the matrix that results after the $r$th row $a_r^\top$ of $A$ has been replaced by $b_r^\top$. With this notation, the matrix $A/\alpha a_r^\top$ is the result of replacing the $r$th row $a_r^\top$ of $A$ by $\alpha a_r^\top$. That is, it is the result of multiplying the $r$th row $a_r^\top$ of $A$ by the scalar $\alpha$.

**Rule 3:** If all the elements in a single row of $A$ are multiplied by a scalar $\alpha$, so is its determinant.

**Proof.**
By multilinearity one has $|A/\alpha a_r^\top| = \alpha |A/a_r^\top| = \alpha |A|$. \(\square\)

**Rule 1:** $|A| = 0$ if all the elements in a row of $A$ are 0.

**Proof.**
This follows from putting $\alpha = 0$ in Rule 3. \(\square\)
More Implications of multilinearity: Rules 5 and 6

Rule 5: If two rows of $A$ are proportional, then $|A| = 0$.

Proof.
Suppose that $a_r^T = \alpha a_s^T$ where $r \neq s$.
Then $|A| = |A/(\alpha a_s^T)_r| = \alpha |A/(a_s^T)_r| = 0$ by duplication.

Rule 6: $|A|$ is unchanged if any multiple of one row is added to a different row of $A$.

Proof.
For the matrix $A/(a_r^T + \alpha a_s^T)_r$, where $\alpha$ times row $s$ of $A$ has been added to row $r$, row multilinearity implies that

$$|A/(a_r^T + \alpha a_s^T)_r| = |A/(a_r^T)_r| + \alpha |A/(a_s^T)_r|$$

But $A/(a_r^T)_r = A$ and $A/(a_s^T)_r$ has a copy of row $s$ in row $r$.
By the duplication rule, it follows that

$$|A/(a_r^T + \alpha a_s^T)_r| = |A/(a_r^T)_r| + \alpha |A/(a_s^T)_r| = |A| + 0 = |A|$$
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Verification of the Product Rule 7: Diagonal Case

Recall that Rule 7 is the product rule stating that $|AB| = |A| \cdot |B|$. First we consider the special case when $A$ is the $n \times n$ diagonal matrix $D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n)$.

Proposition

For any $n \times n$ matrix $B$, one has $|DB| = |D| \cdot |B| = (\prod_{k=1}^{n} d_k) |B|$.

Proof.

First, note that $(DB)_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} d_i \delta_{ik} b_{kj} = d_i b_{ij}$ for all $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}_n^2$. Then applying the expansion formula thrice implies that

$$|D| = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi} \text{sgn}(\pi) \prod_{i=1}^{n} d_i \delta_{i,\pi(i)} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} d_i \delta_{ii} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} d_i$$

because the only non-zero term comes when $\pi = \iota$, and also

$$|DB| = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi} \text{sgn}(\pi) \prod_{i=1}^{n} d_i b_{i,\pi(i)} = (\prod_{k=1}^{n} d_k) \sum_{\pi \in \Pi} \text{sgn}(\pi) \prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{i,\pi(i)} = |D| \cdot |B|$$
Determinant Preserving Row Operations: Definition

Definition
Let $\mathcal{M}_{m \times n}$ denote the family of all $m \times n$ matrices. Then any $m \times m$ matrix $R$ induces, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a row operation $\mathcal{M}_{m \times n} \ni A \mapsto RA \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}$.

The row operation represented by the $m \times m$ matrix $R$ is determinant preserving just in case, given any $m \times m$ matrix $X$, one has $|RX| = |X|$.

Lemma
If the $m \times m$ matrix $R$ is determinant preserving, then $|R| = 1$.

Proof.
Putting $X = I$ in the definition gives $|R| = |RI| = |I| = 1$. 

\[ \square \]
Determinant Preserving Row Operations: Examples

Let $X$ denote an arbitrary $n \times n$ matrix.

Recall the notation $E_{r+\alpha q}$ and $E_{r+\alpha q}X$ for the matrices which result from applying to $I$ and $X$ respectively the elementary row operation of adding $\alpha$ times row $q$ to row $r$.

Recall too that $T_{r\leftrightarrow s}$ denotes the elementary row operation of interchanging rows $r$ and $s$; we know that $|T_{r\leftrightarrow s}| = -1$.

To preserve determinants, define $T^*_{r\rightarrow s\rightarrow r^{-}}$ as $T_{r\leftrightarrow s}$ followed by changing the sign of the new row $r$ (but not row $s$).

Evidently, for any $m \times m$ matrix $X$ we have $|E_{r+\alpha q}X| = |T^*_{r\rightarrow s\rightarrow r^{-}}X| = |X|$.

So the row operations $E_{r+\alpha q}$ and $T^*_{r\rightarrow s\rightarrow r^{-}}$ are all determinant preserving.

This implies that their inverses all exist, with $E^{-1}_{r+\alpha q} = E_{r-\alpha q}$ and $(T^*_{r\rightarrow s\rightarrow r^{-}})^{-1} = T^*_{s\rightarrow r\rightarrow s^{-}}$. 


The Subgroup of Determinant Preserving Row Operations

The set of all non-singular $m \times m$ matrices forms a group $G_m$ under matrix multiplication, with identity $I$ and matrix inversion.

The set $R_m$ of all determinant preserving row operations on $m \times n$ matrices is a subgroup of $G_m$ because:

1. if the $m \times m$ matrix $R$ is determinant preserving, then it is non-singular because $|R| = |RI| = |I| = 1$;

2. if the two $m \times m$ matrices $R$ and $S$ are both determinant preserving, then for every $m \times m$ matrix $X$ one has

$$|(RS)X| = |R(SX)| = |SX| = |X|$$

implying that $RS$ is also determinant preserving.
Verification of the Product Rule 7: Non-Singular Case

Proposition

For any two \( n \times n \) matrices \( A \) and \( B \) where \( |A| \neq 0 \), one has \( |AB| = |A| \cdot |B| \).

Proof.

Because \( |A| \neq 0 \), there exist a non-singular diagonal matrix \( D \) and a sequence of determinant preserving row operations \( \langle R_k \rangle_{k=1}^m \) such that \( RA = D \) where \( R = \prod_{q=1}^m R_q \).

Because the family of all determinant preserving row operations is a subgroup, and so closed under matrix multiplication, the matrix \( R \), as well as its inverse \( R^{-1} \), are also determinant preserving row operations.

It follows that \( |A| = |R^{-1}D| = |D| \)

and also \( |AB| = |R^{-1}DB| = |DB| = |D| \cdot |B| \).

Hence \( |AB| = |D| \cdot |B| = |A| \cdot |B| \).
Verification of the Product Rule 7: Singular Case

In case the $n \times n$ matrix $A$ satisfies $|A| = 0$, pivoting reaches a partially diagonalized matrix of the form

$$RAP = \begin{pmatrix} D_{r \times r} & C_{r \times (n-r)} \\ 0_{(n-r) \times r} & 0_{(n-r) \times (n-r)} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $n - r \geq 1$, while $P$ is a $n \times n$ permutation matrix, and the $m \times m$ matrix $R$ is determinant preserving.

So there exist matrices $S, T, U, V$ of suitable dimension such that $RAB = (RAP)P^{-1}B$ takes the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} D_{r \times r} & C_{r \times (n-r)} \\ 0_{(n-r) \times r} & 0_{(n-r) \times (n-r)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} S_{r \times r} & T_{r \times (n-r)} \\ U_{(n-r) \times r} & V_{(n-r) \times (n-r)} \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence $|AB| = |RAB| = |DS + CU DT + CV| = 0 = |A| \cdot |B|$

also in this case when $|A| = 0$. 
Verification of the Product Rule 7: Summary

Finally, therefore, in view of the previous proposition when $|A| \neq 0$, we have proved:

**Theorem**

For any $n \times n$ matrices $A$ and $B$, one has $|AB| = |A| \cdot |B|$. 
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Cofactor Expansion: Theorem

Definition
Given any element \( a_{rs} \) of the matrix \( n \times n \) matrix \( A \), the associated \((r, s)\)-cofactor \(|C_{rs}|\) is the determinant of the \((n - 1) \times (n - 1)\) matrix \( C_{rs} \) obtained by omitting row \( r \) and column \( s \) from \( A \).

The cofactor expansions of \(|A|\) along any row \( r \) or column \( s \) are respectively

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{r+j} a_{rj} |C_{rj}| \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i+s} a_{is} |C_{is}|.
\]

Theorem
For every row \( r \) and column \( s \) of any \( n \times n \) matrix \( A \), these cofactor expansions are valid — i.e., one has

\[
|A| = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{r+j} a_{rj} |C_{rj}| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i+s} a_{is} |C_{is}|
\]

The proof of this theorem will occupy the next 6 slides.
Later we will prove the row expansion formula.

If it is valid, then applying it to the transpose matrix $A^\top$ gives

$$|A^\top| = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{r+j} a_{rj} |C_{rj}|$$

Taking transposes throughout gives

$$|A| = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{r+j} a_{jr} |C_{jr}|$$

Replacing $j$ by $i$ and $r$ by $s$, then $s+i$ by $i+s$, one obtains

$$|A| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i+s} a_{is} |C_{is}|$$

This is the column expansion formula.

So we have proved that the column expansion formula is implied by the row expansion formula, leaving us to prove the latter.
Cofactor Expansion: Proof, Part 2

To verify the row expansion formula, first note that the $r$th row vector satisfies $a_r^\top = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{rj} e_j^\top$, where $e_j^\top$ is defined as the $j$th unit row vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$, equal to the $j$th row of the $n \times n$ identity matrix $I_n$.

Because the determinant is multilinear, it follows that

$$|A| = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{rj} |A/(e_j^\top)_r|$$

which is a linear combination of the $n$ determinants $|A/(e_j^\top)_r|$ in which row $a_r^\top$ of $A$ gets successively replaced by each corresponding $j$th unit row vector $e_j^\top$.

Therefore, to verify the row expansion formula

$$|A| = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{r+j} a_{rj} |C_{rj}|$$

it suffices to verify that $|A/(e_j^\top)_r| = (-1)^{r+j} |C_{rj}|$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_n$. 
Consider the bordered $n \times n$ matrix $\hat{C}_{rj} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{rj} & (a_j)_{-r} \\ 0^\top & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ whose:

1. top left hand corner
   is the $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ cofactor matrix $\hat{C}_{rj}$;
2. top right hand border is the column vector $(a_j)_{-r} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$
   that is constructed by dropping the $r$th element
   from the $j$th column $a_j$ of the original matrix $A$;
3. bottom left hand border
   is the $n - 1$-dimensional row vector $0^\top$ of zeros;
4. bottom right hand corner is the number 1.

Three lemmas will be used to show that, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_n$:
(i) there exist permutation matrices such that $\hat{C}_{rj} = P_{r \rightarrow n} A P_{j \rightarrow n}$;
(ii) $|A/e_j^\top| = (-1)^{r+j} |\hat{C}_{rj}|$; and (iii) $|\hat{C}_{rj}| = |C_{rj}|$.

This will complete the proof that $|A/(e_j^\top)_r| = (-1)^{r+j} |C_{rj}|$. 
Given $k \leq \ell \leq n$, recall that $\pi^{k \to \ell} \in \Pi_n$ moves $k$ to $\ell$, and then moves each $q \in \{k+1, \ldots, \ell\}$ to $q-1$.

Let $P^{k \to \ell}$ denote the corresponding permutation $P^{\pi^{k \to \ell}}$.

**Lemma**

For each $r, j \in \mathbb{N}_n$, one has

$$\hat{C}_{rj} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{rj} & (a_j)^{-r} \\ 0^\top & 1 \end{pmatrix} = P^{r \to n} \left[A/(e_j^\top)_r\right] P^{j \to n}$$

**Proof.**

Premultiplying by $P^{r \to n}$ applies $\pi^{r \to n}$ to the rows, whereas postmultiplying by $P^{j \to n}$ applies $\pi^{j \to n}$ the columns.

Now the result follows immediately from the definitions of:

(i) the matrix $\hat{C}_{rj}$; 
(ii) the permutations $\pi^{r \to n}$ and $\pi^{j \to n}$; 
(iii) the associated permutation matrices $P^{r \to n}$ and $P^{j \to n}$. \qed
Cofactor Expansion: Proof, Part 5

Lemma
For each \( r, j \in \mathbb{N}_n \) one has \( |A/(e_j^\top)_r| = (-1)^{r+j}|\hat{C}_{rj}| \).

Proof.
The previous Lemma implies that \( |\hat{C}_{rj}| = |P^{r\nearrow n}[A/(e_j^\top)_r]P^{j\nearrow n}| \).
In earlier results we showed that \( |P^\pi A| = |AP^\pi| = \text{sgn}(\pi)|A| \)
and also that \( \text{sgn}(\pi^k \nearrow \ell) = \ell - k \).
Hence we have \( |P^{r\nearrow n}[A/(e_j^\top)_r]| = \text{sgn}(\pi^{r\nearrow n})|A/(e_j^\top)_r| \) and so

\[
|P^{r\nearrow n}[A/(e_j^\top)_r]P^{j\nearrow n}| = \text{sgn}(\pi^{r\nearrow n})\text{sgn}(\pi^{j\nearrow n})|A/(e_j^\top)_r| \\
= (-1)^{n-r}(-1)^{n-j}|A/(e_j^\top)_r|
\]

Because \((-1)^{2n} = 1\), it follows that

\[
|A/(e_j^\top)_r| = (-1)^{r+j-2n}|P^{r\nearrow n}[A/(e_j^\top)_r]P^{j\nearrow n}| = (-1)^{r+j}|\hat{C}_{rj}|
\]

Cofactor Expansion: Proof, Part 6

Lemma

For each $j \in \mathbb{N}_n$ one has $|\hat{C}_{rj}| = \left| \begin{array}{cc} C_{rj} & (a_j)^{-r} \\ 0 \top & 1 \end{array} \right| = |C_{rj}|$.

Proof.

Note that $(\hat{C}_{rj})_{n,\pi(n)} = \delta_{n,\pi(n)}$, so the expansion formula yields

$$|\hat{C}_{rj}| = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{C}_{rj})_{i,\pi(i)} = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_{n-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\hat{C}_{rj})_{i,\pi(i)}$$

because all other terms are equal to zero.

But then the definition of the bordered matrix $\hat{C}_{rj}$ implies that

$$|\hat{C}_{rj}| = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_{n-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (C_{rj})_{i,\pi(i)} = |C_{rj}|$$

This completes all the parts of the proof that the row $r$ cofactor expansion of $|A|$ is valid.
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Expansion by Alien Cofactors

Expanding along either row $r$ or column $s$ gives

$$|A| = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{rj}|C_{rj}| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{is}|C_{is}|$$

when one uses matching cofactors.

Expanding by alien cofactors, however, from either the wrong row $i \neq r$ or the wrong column $j \neq s$, gives

$$0 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{rj}|C_{ij}| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{is}|C_{ij}|$$

This is because the answer will be the determinant of an alternative matrix in which:

- either row $i$ has been duplicated and put in row $r$;
- or column $j$ has been duplicated and put in column $s$. 
The Adjugate Matrix

Definition

The adjugate (or “(classical) adjoint”) $\text{adj} \ A$ of an order $n$ square matrix $A$ has elements given by $(\text{adj} \ A)_{ij} = |C_{ji}|$.

It is therefore the transpose $(C^+)^\top$ of the cofactor matrix $C^+$ whose elements $(C^+)_{ij} = |C_{ij}|$ are the respective cofactors of $A$. 
Main Property of the Adjugate Matrix

Theorem
For every \( n \times n \) square matrix \( \mathbf{A} \) one has

\[
(\text{adj} \mathbf{A})\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}(\text{adj} \mathbf{A}) = |\mathbf{A}| \mathbf{I}_n
\]

Proof.
The \((i, j)\) elements of the two product matrices are respectively

\[
[(\text{adj} \mathbf{A})\mathbf{A}]_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} |C_{ki}| a_{kj} \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathbf{A}(\text{adj} \mathbf{A})]_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} |C_{jk}|
\]

These are both cofactor expansions, which are expansions by:

\begin{itemize}
  \item alien cofactors in case \( i \neq j \), implying that both equal 0;
  \item matching cofactors in case \( i = j \), implying that both equal \(|\mathbf{A}|\).
\end{itemize}

Hence for each pair \((i, j)\) one has

\[
[(\text{adj} \mathbf{A})\mathbf{A}]_{ij} = [\mathbf{A}(\text{adj} \mathbf{A})]_{ij} = |\mathbf{A}| \delta_{ij} = |\mathbf{A}|(\mathbf{I}_n)_{ij}
\]
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Existence of the Inverse Matrix

Theorem
An $n \times n$ matrix $A$ has an inverse if and only if $|A| \neq 0$, which holds if and only if at least one of the two matrix equations $AX = I_n$ and $XA = I_n$ has a solution.

Proof.
Provided that $|A| \neq 0$, the identity $(\text{adj } A)A = A(\text{adj } A) = |A|I_n$ shows that the matrix $X := (1/|A|)\text{adj } A$ is well defined and satisfies $XA = AX = I_n$, so $X$ is the inverse $A^{-1}$.

Conversely, if $XA = I_n$ has a solution, then the product rule for determinants implies that $1 = |I_n| = |XA| = |X||A|$. Similarly if $AX = I_n$ has a solution.

In either case one has $|A| \neq 0$.

The rest follows from the paragraph above.
Singularity versus Invertibility

So $A^{-1}$ exists if and only if $|A| \neq 0$.

Definition

1. In case $|A| = 0$, the matrix $A$ is said to be singular;
2. In case $|A| \neq 0$, the matrix $A$ is said to be non-singular or invertible.
Example and Application to Simultaneous Equations

Exercise

Verify that

\[ A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \implies A^{-1} = C := \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & -1/2 \end{pmatrix} \]

by using direct multiplication to show that \( AC = CA = I_2 \).

Example

Suppose that a system of \( n \) simultaneous equations in \( n \) unknowns is expressed in matrix notation as \( Ax = b \).

Of course, \( A \) must be an \( n \times n \) matrix.

Suppose \( A \) has an inverse \( A^{-1} \).

Premultiplying both sides of the equation \( Ax = b \) by this inverse gives \( A^{-1}Ax = A^{-1}b \), which simplifies to \( Ix = A^{-1}b \).

Hence the unique solution of the equation is \( x = A^{-1}b \).
Inverting Triangular Matrices

**Theorem**

*If the inverse $U^{-1}$ of an upper triangular matrix $U$ exists, then it is upper triangular.*

Taking transposes leads immediately to:

**Corollary**

*If the inverse $L^{-1}$ of a lower triangular matrix $L$ exists, then it is lower triangular.*
Inverting Triangular Matrices: Proofs

Recall the \((n - 1) \times (n - 1)\) cofactor matrix \(C_{rs}\) that results from omitting row \(r\) and column \(s\) of \(U = (u_{ij})\).

When it exists, \(U^{-1} = (1/|U|) \text{adj} U\), so it is enough to prove that the \(n \times n\) matrix \((|C_{rs}|)\) of cofactor determinants, whose transpose \((|C_{rs}|)^\top\) is the adjugate, is lower triangular.

In case \(r < s\), every element below the diagonal of the matrix \(C_{rs}\) is also below the diagonal of \(U\), so must equal 0.

Hence \(C_{rs}\) is upper triangular, with determinant equal to the product of its diagonal elements.

Yet \(s - r\) of these diagonal elements are \(u_{i+1,i}\) for \(i = r, \ldots, s - 1\). These elements are from below the diagonal of \(U\), so equal zero.

Hence \(r < s\) implies that \(|C_{rs}| = 0\), so the \(n \times n\) matrix \((|C_{rs}|)\) of cofactor determinants is indeed lower triangular, as required.
Cramer’s Rule: Statement

Notation
Given any $m \times n$ matrix $A$, let $[A_{-j}, b]$ denote the new $m \times n$ matrix in which column $j$ has been replaced by the column vector $b$.


Theorem
Provided that the $n \times n$ matrix $A$ is invertible, the simultaneous equation system $Ax = b$ has a unique solution $x = A^{-1}b$ whose $i$th component is given by the ratio of determinants $x_i = |[A_{-i}, b]|/|A|$. This result is known as Cramer’s rule.
Cramer’s Rule: Proof

Proof.
Given the equation $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$, each cofactor $|C_{ij}|$ of the coefficient matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is formed by dropping row $i$ and column $j$ of $\mathbf{A}$.

It therefore equals the $(i, j)$ cofactor of the matrix $|[\mathbf{A}_{-j}, \mathbf{b}]|$. Expanding the determinant by cofactors along column $j$ therefore gives

$$|[\mathbf{A}_{-j}, \mathbf{b}]| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i |C_{ij}| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\text{adj } \mathbf{A})_{ji} b_i$$

by definition of the adjugate matrix.

Hence the unique solution to the equation system has components

$$x_i = (\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b})_i = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}|} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\text{adj } \mathbf{A})_{ji} b_i = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}|} |[\mathbf{A}_{-i}, \mathbf{b}]|$$

for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. 
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Definition of Dimension

The dimension of a linear space is the number of elements in the largest linearly independent subset.

Theorem

The dimension of $\mathbb{R}^m$ is $m$.

To prove this, we first construct a linearly independent set of $m$ vectors.

Indeed, consider the list $(e_j)_{j=1}^m$ of $m$ unit column vectors in $\mathbb{R}^m$ with each $e_j$ equal to $j$th column of the $m \times m$ identity matrix $I_m$.

Obviously $0 = I_m x$ implies that $x = 0$, so this list does form a linearly independent set.
Too Many Vectors Are Linearly Dependent

To complete the proof that $\mathbb{R}^m$ has dimension $m$, consider any list $(y_j)_{j=1}^n$ of $n > m$ vectors in $\mathbb{R}^m$. These $n$ vectors form the columns of an $m \times n$ matrix $Y$. After applying enough suitable pivoting operations, the matrix equation $Yx = 0$ reduces to

$$
RYPz = \begin{pmatrix}
D_{r \times r} & B_{r \times (n-r)} \\
0_{(m-r) \times r} & 0_{(m-r) \times (n-r)}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
z_1 \\
z_2
\end{pmatrix} = 0
$$

where $r \leq m < n$ and $z = P^{-1}x$.

This equation system has many non-trivial solutions of the form $z_1 = -D^{-1}Bz_2$ where $z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-r}$ is arbitrary. \qed
The $n$ column vectors of the $m \times n$ matrix $A$ are linearly independent just in case the vector equation $0_m = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_j a_j$ in $\mathbb{R}^m$ implies that $\xi_j = 0$ for each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

Or equivalently, just in case the only solution of $0_m = Ax$ is the trivial solution $x = 0_n$. 
Spanning

Definition
Given any finite set \( S = \{x^j \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid j \in \mathbb{N}_m\} \) of \( m \) vectors in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), the set of vectors spanned by \( S \), or the span of \( S \), is the set

\[
\text{sp} S := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \forall j \in \mathbb{N}_m; \ \exists y_j \in \mathbb{R} : z = \sum_{j=1}^{m} y_j x^j\}
\]

Note that any vector \( z \in \text{sp} S \)
is a linear combination of the vectors in \( S \).

Exercise
Verify that \( \text{sp} A \) is a linear subspace of \( \mathbb{R}^n \)
— i.e., it satisfies the vector space axioms.
The Column and Row Spaces

In case the set $S = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$
consists of the $n$ columns of the $m \times n$ matrix $A$, one has

$$\text{sp}(\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid \exists x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid y = Ax\}$$

This is the column space of $A$; the row space spanned by its rows,
which equals the column space of $A^\top$, is given by

$$\text{sp}(\{a_1^\top, \ldots, a_m^\top\}) = \{w^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \exists z^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid w^\top = z^\top A\}$$
Definition

The column rank of the $m \times n$ matrix $A$ is the dimension $r_C \leq m$ of its column space, which is the maximum number of linearly independent columns.

The row rank of the $m \times n$ matrix $A$ is the dimension $r_R \leq n$ of its row space, which is the maximum number of linearly independent rows.

Obviously, the row rank of $A$ equals the column rank of the transpose $A^\top$. \hfill \square
The Column Rank of a Partially Diagonalized Matrix

**Theorem**

The partially diagonalized $m \times n$ matrix

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
D_{r \times r} & B_{r \times (n-r)} \\
0_{(m-r) \times r} & 0_{(m-r) \times (n-r)}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where $D_{r \times r}$ is invertible, has column rank $r$.

**Proof.**

Given an arbitrary $z \in \mathbb{R}^r$ and $w \in \mathbb{R}^{m-r}$, the vector equation

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
D_{r \times r} & B_{r \times (n-r)} \\
0_{(m-r) \times r} & 0_{(m-r) \times (n-r)}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
x \\
y
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
z \\
w
\end{pmatrix}
\]

has a solution given by $x = D^{-1}(z - By) \in \mathbb{R}^r$ iff $w = 0_{m-r}$.

Hence the column space is $\mathbb{R}^r \times \{0_{m-r}\}$.

It is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^r$, whose dimension is $r$, the number of pivots.

\[\square\]
The Row Rank of a Partially Diagonalized Matrix

Theorem

*The partially diagonalized* \( m \times n \) *matrix*

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
D_{r \times r} & B_{r \times (n-r)} \\
0_{(m-r) \times r} & 0_{(m-r) \times (n-r)}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

*where* \( D_{r \times r} \) *is invertible* has row rank \( r \).

Proof.

Given an arbitrary row vector \((z^T, w^T) \in \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R}^{m-r}\), the equation

\[
(x^T, y^T) \begin{pmatrix}
D_{r \times r} & B_{r \times (n-r)} \\
0_{(m-r) \times r} & 0_{(m-r) \times (n-r)}
\end{pmatrix} = (z^T, w^T)
\]

has a solution given by \((x^T, y^T) = (z^T D^{-1}, 0_{m-r}^T)\)

if and only if \( w^T = z^T D^{-1} B \).

Hence the row space is \( \{(z^T, w^T) \in \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R}^{m-r} | \ w^T = z^T D^{-1} B\} \).

It is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{R}^r \), whose dimension is \( r \).
Invariance of Row Space

Theorem

Let $A$ be any $m \times n$ matrix and $R$ any determinant preserving row operation.

Then $A$ and $RA$ have the same row space.

Proof.

Suppose that $w^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is in the row space of $A$, with $w^\top = z^\top A$ where $z^\top \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Then $w^\top = (z^\top R^{-1})RA$,

so $w^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is in the row space of $RA$.

Conversely, suppose $w^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is in the row space of $RA$, with $w^\top = z^\top RA$ where $z^\top \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Then $w^\top = (z^\top R)A$,

so $w^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is in the row space of $A$. 

□
Isomorphism of Column Spaces

Theorem
Let $A$ be any $m \times n$ matrix
and $R$ any determinant preserving row operation.
Then $A$ and $RA$ have isomorphic column spaces.

Proof.
Suppose that $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is in the column space of $A$,
with $y = Ax$ where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
Then $Ry = (RA)x$, so $Ry$ is in the column space of $RA$.

Conversely, suppose $Ry$ is in the column space of $RA$,
with $Ry = (RA)x$ where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
Because $R$ is determinant preserving, it is invertible.
Then $y = R^{-1}(RA)x = Ax$, so $y$ is in the column space of $A$.

It follows that $y \leftrightarrow Ry$ is a linear bijection
between the column spaces of $A$ and $RA$.  


Column Rank Equals Row Rank

Theorem
Suppose the \( m \times n \) matrix \( A \) can be partially diagonalized as
\[
RAP = \begin{pmatrix}
D_{r \times r} & B_{r \times (n-r)} \\
0_{(m-r) \times r} & 0_{(m-r) \times (n-r)}
\end{pmatrix}
\]
where \( D_{r \times r}^{-1} \) exists, while \( R \) is determinant preserving, and \( P \) is a permutation.
Then both the column and row rank of \( A \) are equal to \( r \).

Proof.
Because permuting the columns of a matrix makes no difference to its row or column rank, the row and column ranks of \( RA \) are equal to those of \( RAP \), both of which equal \( r \).

By the previous theorems, the two matrices \( A \) and \( RA \) have isomorphic row and column spaces, with equal dimensions.

So the row and column ranks of \( A \) are equal to the row and column ranks of \( RA \), both of which are \( r \).
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Minors and Minor Rank

Definition

Given any $m \times n$ matrix $A$, a minor (determinant) of order $k$ is the determinant $|A_{i_1i_2...i_k, j_1j_2...j_k}|$ of a $k \times k$ submatrix $(a_{ij})$, with $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_k \leq m$ and $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \ldots < j_k \leq n$.

The matrix $A_{i_1i_2...i_k, j_1j_2...j_k}$ is formed by selecting in the right order all the elements that lie in both:

- one of the chosen rows $i_r$ ($r = 1, 2, \ldots, k$);
- one of the chosen columns $j_s$ ($s = 1, 2, \ldots, k$)

Definition

The (minor) rank of a matrix is the dimension of its largest non-zero minor determinant.
Minors: Some Examples

Example

1. In case $A$ is an $n \times n$ matrix:
   - the whole determinant $|A|$ is the only minor of order $n$;
   - each of the $n^2$ cofactors $C_{ij}$ is a minor of order $n - 1$.

2. In case $A$ is an $m \times n$ matrix:
   - each element of the $mn$ elements of the matrix is a minor of order 1;
   - the number of minors of order $k$ is
     \[
     \binom{m}{k} \cdot \binom{n}{k} = \frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!} \cdot \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}
     \]

Exercise

Verify that the set of elements that make up the minor $|A_{i_1i_2...i_k, j_1j_2...j_k}|$ of order $k$ is completely determined by its $k$ diagonal elements $a_{i_h,j_h}$ ($h = 1, 2, \ldots, k$).
(These need not be diagonal elements of $A$).
Principal and Leading Principal Minors

Definition
If \( A \) is an \( n \times n \) matrix, the minor \( |A_{i_1i_2...i_k, j_1j_2...j_k}| \) of order \( k \) is:

- a \textbf{principal minor} if \( i_h = j_h \) for \( h = 1, 2, \ldots, k \), implying that its diagonal elements \( a_{i_hj_h} \) are all on the (principal) diagonal of \( A \);
- a \textbf{leading principal minor} if its diagonal elements are the leading elements of the (principal) diagonal of \( a_{hh} \) \((h = 1, 2, \ldots, k)\).

Exercise

\textit{Explain why an} \( n \times n \) \textit{determinant has:}

1. \( 2^n - 1 \) \textit{principal minors};
2. \( n \) \textit{leading principal minors}.
Determinantal Rank
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Rank Condition for Existence of a Solution, I

**Theorem**

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be an $m \times n$ matrix, and $\mathbf{b}$ a column $m$-vector.

Then the equation $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ has a solution $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if and only the rank of the $m \times (n + 1)$ augmented matrix $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b})$ equals the rank of $\mathbf{A}$.

**Proof.**

**Necessity:** Suppose that $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ has a solution $\mathbf{x} = (x_j)_{j=1}^n$.

Now apply to $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b})$ the compound column operation of successively subtracting from its last column the multiple $x_j$ of each column $j$.

This converts $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b})$ to $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{0})$ while preserving the column rank.

Hence the ranks of $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b})$ and $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{0})$ are equal, with both equal to the rank of $\mathbf{A}$. \qed
Proof.

**Sufficiency:** Suppose the ranks of $A$ and $(A, b)$ are both $r$. Then there is an $r \times n$ submatrix $\tilde{A}$ consisting of $r$ linearly independent columns of $A$.

Because the rank of $(A, b)$ equals $r$, and not $r + 1$, the $r + 1$ columns of $(\tilde{A}, b)$ must be linearly independent.

This can only be true because there exists an $r$-vector $\tilde{x}$ such that $b = \tilde{A}\tilde{x}$.

By augmenting $\tilde{x}$ with $n - k$ appropriately placed zero elements, one can construct $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to satisfy $Ax = b$.

**Exercise**

*Let $A$ and $B$ be $m \times n$ and $m \times k$ matrices.*

*Prove that the matrix equation $AX = B$ has one or more solutions for the $n \times k$ matrix $X$ if and only if both $A$ and the augmented matrix $(A, B)$ have the same rank.*
Theorem

Let $A$ be an $m \times n$ matrix, and $b$ a column $m$-vector.

Suppose $A$ and the augmented matrix $(A, b)$ have both rank $r$.

1. If $r < m$, then $Ax = b$ has $m - r$ superfluous equations.

2. If $r < n$, then there are $n - r$ degrees of freedom in the solution to $Ax = b$.

In the following proof, we assume that the $m \times n$ matrix $A$ can be partially diagonalized as $RAP = \begin{pmatrix} D_{r \times r} & B_{r \times (n-r)} \\ 0_{(m-r) \times r} & 0_{(m-r) \times (n-r)} \end{pmatrix}$ where $D_{r \times r}^{-1}$ exists, while $R$ is determinant preserving, and $P$ is a permutation.
Superfluous Equations and Degrees of Freedom, II

Proof.

Under the previous assumption, the equation system $Ax = b$ is equivalent to $RAPz = w$ where $z = P^{-1}x$ and $w = Rb$.

This system can be written as

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
D_{r \times r} & B_{r \times (n-r)} \\
0_{(m-r) \times r} & 0_{(m-r) \times (n-r)}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
z_r^1 \\
z_{n-r}^2
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
w_r^1 \\
w_{m-r}^2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Here the rank of $(RAP, w)$ is $r$ iff $w_{m-r}^2 = 0_{m-r}$, in which case the last $m - r$ equations are superfluous.

Then, for each $z_{n-r}^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-r}$ there is a unique solution given by $z_r^1 = D_{r \times r}^{-1}(w_r^1 - B_{r \times (n-r)}z_{n-r}^2)$.

Hence there are $n - r$ degrees of freedom. \qed
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General Equation Systems
Existence of a Solution

Consider again the matrix equation $AX = Y$ in its equivalent form

$$RAX = RAPP^{-1}X = \begin{pmatrix} D_{r \times r} & B_{r \times (n-r)} \\ 0_{(m-r) \times r} & 0_{(m-r) \times (n-r)} \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}X = RY$$

Introduce the partitioned matrix $\begin{pmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \end{pmatrix}$ as notation for $Z = P^{-1}X$, where the $r \times p$ matrix $Z_1$ consists of the first $r$ rows of $Z$, and the $(n-r) \times p$ matrix $Z_2$ consists of the other $n-r$ rows.

The equation system takes the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} D_{r \times r} & B_{r \times (n-r)} \\ 0_{(m-r) \times r} & 0_{(m-r) \times (n-r)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \end{pmatrix} = RY = \begin{pmatrix} V_{r \times p} \\ W_{(m-r) \times p} \end{pmatrix}$$

Because the matrix $D_{r \times r}$ of pivots is invertible, and the last $m-r$ rows of the left-hand side matrix are all zero, a solution exists if and only if $W_{(m-r) \times p} = 0_{(m-r) \times p}$.
The necessary and sufficient condition for solutions to exist is \( W_{(m-r)\times p} = 0_{(m-r)\times p} \).

In case this is met, the system reduces to \( DZ_1 + BZ_2 = RY_1 \).

The general solution is \( Z_1 = D^{-1}(RY_1 - BZ_2) \).

Because the \((n-r)\times p\) matrix \( Z_2 \) can be chosen arbitrarily, there are \( n-r \) degrees of freedom in each equation system.

The first \( r \) rows of the matrix \( P^{-1}X \) with permuted columns have been expressed as a linear function of \( Y \) and of these last arbitrary \( n-r \) rows of \( P^{-1}X \).

The remaining \( m-r \) equations are redundant.