

Desk rejection from the EJ

From: Steffen Huck via Editorial Express <ej_steffen.h@editorialexpress.com>

Sent: Tuesday, August 8, 2023 11:01 AM

To: Moav, Omer <O.Moav@warwick.ac.uk>

Subject: The EJ: decision on your submission

Dear Omer:

Thank you for submitting your paper to the Economic Journal. I am sorry to let you know I have decided to reject the paper for publication in the Economic Journal without full review. In an attempt to minimize editorial delays, we have decided to desk reject manuscripts that are unlikely to reach the publication stage.

I have read your study with much interest and learned from it. It's intriguing how well your data matches your predictions. Having said that, your paper strikes me as geared towards a narrower readership than we target and I would suggest you turn to a more specialized outlet. I am sure it will find a good home.

One more small remark. I found the assumption of linear costs coupled with an upper bound on p in your "probability model" peculiar. Marginal costs jumping at some $p_{\text{upper_bar}} (< 1)$ from alpha to infinity is a little weird, no? If you need this, perhaps you can justify it better.

Thanks again for letting us consider your work. The Economic Journal rejects more than 95% of all submissions such that we have to reject many interesting papers (like yours). I wish you luck with other journals and would like to encourage you to continue to consider the Economic Journal as an outlet for your research.

Sincerely,

Steffen Huck

ej_steffen.h@editorialexpress.com

Economic Journal