

## 6. Other Models and Issues

### 6.1 Tobit model (censored model)

The RE Tobit is very similar to the RE probit with the difference being in the observation rule.

$$y_{it}^* = \mathbf{x}_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + c_i + u_{it} \quad (1)$$

The observation rule:

$$y_{it} = y_{it}^* \quad \text{if } y_{it}^* > 0$$
$$y_{it} = 0 \quad \text{otherwise}$$

Also known as **censored** regression model.

Observations below 0 are censored at 0.

Note, we know who these people are.

Make the same assumptions as before and use MLE

St. exog of  $\mathbf{x}$ ;  $u_{it} \sim N(0, \sigma_u^2)$  etc.

The joint density for the  $i$ th observation is:

$$f(y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iT} | \mathbf{x}_{it}, c_i) = \prod_t \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_u} \varphi\left(\frac{y_{it} - \mathbf{x}_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} - c_i}{\sigma_u}\right) \right]^{d_{it}} \left[ 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + c_i}{\sigma_u}\right) \right]^{1-d_{it}}$$

where  $d_{it} = 1$  if  $y_{it}^* > 0$  (i.e.  $y_{it}$  is observed and not **censored**)

Proceed as before by integrating out the  $c$  under a particular distribution assumption or use a discrete approximation.

## **6.2 Incomplete panels and selection bias**

- Reasons for incompleteness – attrition?
- Selection endog?

EG: Explaining performance of mutual funds – badly performing funds may not survive and therefore not appear in the sample.

- If observation missing, is it **missing at random**?

Consider  $y_{it} = \mathbf{x}_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + c_i + u_{it}$

Define  $r_{it} = 1$  if  $(y_{it}, \mathbf{x}_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})$  is observed and  $r_{it}=0$  if missing.

Observations  $(y_{it}, \mathbf{x}_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta})$  are **missing at random** if  $r_{it}$  is indep of  $c_i$  and  $u_{it}$ .

**Simple tests** (Verbeek and Nijmann, 1992)

- (i) If you include some functions of the indicators  $r_{it}$ , it should not be significant.

- For example, can add  $r_{it}$  (observed in the last period);  $\prod_{t=1}^T r_{it}$  (observed in all the periods); or  $\sum_{t=1}^T r_{it}$  (total number of periods over  $i$  was observed).
- In the case of linear models, the model has to be a RE model.
- Rejection may not actually indicate no-selection bias – low power!

- (ii) Comparison of the balanced vs unbalanced model estimates – use Hausman test.

## Estimation in the presence of selection

Need to make extra assumptions.

Example:  $r_{it}=1 \{z_{it}\gamma + \mu_i + e_{it}\}$

More complicated joint estimation.

- If selection is fully dependent on time-invariant characteristics, the problem becomes manageable!