PROTECTING YOUR DEPOSITS



EUROPEAN GUARANTEE ARRANGEMENTS: LESSONS LEARNED

Dr. Dalvinder Singh (University of Warwick) and David Walker (CDIC)

Symposium on Financial Crisis Management & Bank Resolution
University of Warwick Law School: 16 – 17 April 2009





CDIC

- Since 1994 all EU member states have been required to have deposit insurance schemes in place
- Review in 2005/06 highlighted a number of areas in need of improvement – addressed only on a voluntary basis
- Financial crisis has exposed deficiencies in pre-existing arrangements
- European Commission announced changes to the Directive in March 2009.



Rationale for deposit insurance

- Why explicit deposit insurance?
 - Most countries rely on explicit limited deposit insurance 108 systems worldwide
- Benefits
 - Reduces bank "runs" and contributes to financial stability
 - Can limit government fiscal and political exposure
 - Creates a formal mechanism for transferring the costs of bank failures to industry (rather than taxpayers)



Rationale for deposit insurance

Limitations

- Cannot deal by itself with a "systemic crisis"
- Requires sound legal, supervisory and accounting regimes
- May create additional "moral hazard" if not well designed



- DIS/DGS part of a financial system safety net
- EC started discussions about a DIS in late 1980s when only a small number of member states had systems
- EU Directive 1994 required states to establish a DIS. Key features:
 - Payout must be made once authorities have decided that deposits are unavailable at a credit institution within 3 months
 - Excludes interbank deposits and those linked to money laundering
 - Minimum coverage Euro 20,000 with coinsurance option 10%



- Coverage provided by home state expected to cover depositors at branches set up by the credit institution in the host states
- Provides topping up arrangements
- But, allows a wide range of latitude related to administration, governance, powers, funding, public awareness...



- ◆ 2005 Review of Directive found:
 - Minimum harmonization levels
 - Many differences in governance, powers, financing arrangements etc creating unlevel playing field
 - But, Commission concluded while a need to address shortcomings a limited political will for mandatory changes
 - More research needed



CDIC The crisis - coverage before and after Oct 2008

	<u>Pre-crisis</u>	Post-crisis target
Austria	E20,000/coin	E100,000
Belgium	E20,000/coin	E100,000
Cyprus	E20,000/coin	E100,000
Czech	E20,000/coin	E50,000/100,000
Denmark	E40,000	E50,000/100,000
Estonia	E20,000/coin	E50,000/100,000
Finland	E\$25,000	E50,000/100,000
France	E70,000	E70,000/100,000
Germany	E20,000/coin/unlim	E100,000/unlim
Greece	E20,000	E100,000
Hungary	E24,000/coin	E100,000
Ireland	E20,000/coin	E100,000
Italy	E100,000	E100,000
Latvia	E20,000	E50,000/100,000
Lithuania	E20,000/coin	E100,000
Luxembourg	E20,000/coin	E100,000
Malta	E20,000	E100,000





Coverage before and after

	<u>Pre-crisis</u>	Post-crisis target
Netherlands	E20,000	E100,000
Poland	E20,000/coin	E45,000/100,000
Portugal	E25,000	E100,000
Slovakia	E20,000/coin	E50,000/100,000
Slovenia	E20,000	E100,000
Spain	E20,000/coin	E100,000
Sweden	E26,000	E50,000/100,000
United Kingdom	E20,000/coin	E45,000/65,000/100,000
Albania	E5,000/coin	E5,000
Armenia	E3,800	E3,800
Boznia/Hz	E3,800	E3,800
Bulgaria	E20,000	E50,000
Croatia	E13,500	E13,500
Iceland	E22,000	E100,000
Macedonia	E20,000/coin	E50,0000
Montenegro	E5,000	E5,000
Norway	E250,000	E250,000
Romania	E20,000	E50,000
Russia	E5,600/coin	E18,000
Switzerland	E20,000	E50,000/100,000/+





- Council of the European Union proposed on 7 October 2008:
 - "...that it is a priority to restore confidence and proper functioning of the financial sector"
- October proposal stressed three areas for reform:
 - Increase in minimum coverage level to Euro 50,000 and 100,000 by 31 December 2009
 - Reduction of payout delay to a maximum of 3 days from 3 months
 - Termination of coinsurance



- 11 March Revised 2009 Directive stipulates that:
 - Increase in coverage levels to Euro 50,000 and to 100,000 by December 2010
 - Reduction in payout delay to a maximum of 20 days
 - Decision to trigger a payout reduced from 21 to 5 days
 - Coinsurance depositors must be reimbursed up to coverage limit
 - Greater emphasis on public awareness
 - emergency payout mechanisms
 - adjust coverage levels according to price indices

CDIC

- Directive promised to analyze related issues such as:
 - set offs
 - funding contributions
 - scope of coverage
 - cross-border issues
- Also emphasized:
 - need for member states to co-operate



CDIC

Questions & Comments?