

The Climate and Debt Crises vs. Instruments of Private Finance

Dr. Farwa Sial

Head of Research and Policy- Asia Region

International Development Economists Associates (IDEAs)

Research Associate

Department of Economics SOAS

Basic Rationale for Innovative Finance in Debt and Climate

- Financing Gap in the Global South/ Lack of access to finance/ capital markets – high risk of investment in the Global South
- Instruments can complement-Debt Restructuring- rooted in IMF DSA
- Instruments:
 - Debt for Climate/Nature Swaps
 - Thematic Bonds-Blue/Green/Sustainability
 - Blended Finance
 - Guarantees
 - Debt for Climate Pauses (not an instrument per se- but considered a tool for restructuring)

Innovative Instruments of Finance

Instrument	Definition	Specific Rationale/Goal	Examples
Debt Swaps	A debt swap is a financial instrument that provides conditional debt reduction in exchange for the debtor agreeing to invest the freed-up resources (usually in local currency) in specific areas, such as education, health, climate or the environment.	An offer of concession (lower interest rate – longer debt repayment etc) earmarking climate commitments. Key promise- some form of debt reduction.	Debt-for-climate swaps- Belize (2021), Ecuador (2023), Gabon (2023)
Green Bonds-ESG, Sustainability Bonds.	Works as a normal bond but Green/ ESG/ Sustainability encapsulates various environmentally positive themes and commitments- e.g using all or part of capital for ethical/environmental/sustainability projects	Means of filling the Finance Gap for Global South countries. Proceeds-end use for climate commitments	ESG bonds issued by Global South Countries: Indonesia 1.25bn USD (2018) , Egypt 750 million (2020), Chile 473 million euros (2022)

Impact of Innovative Instruments of Finance

Instrument	Impact	Examples	
Debt Swaps	a) Inherent conditionality. b) Expensive! c) Greenwashing d) No participation from affected e) Divert reform-expensive distraction	Ecuador (2023 Galapagos Swap)- repurchase of \$656 mn of sovereign bonds out of a total of \$1.68 bn.	-Marginal reduction- 0.8% of GDP -Failing sustainability-no evidence of improving debt sustainability indicator. -Hidden financial cost-interest rate of 11% on new 'blue bonds'-existing bonds were yielding only 5/4% . -Enriched intermediaries. -Strict conditionalities
Green Bonds	a) Greenium" (lower borrowing cost) is unproven) b) Exacerbates debt vulnerability. c) High risk of greenwashing. d) Unequal	Nigeria- first sovereign bond in 2017 (raised approx. \$29.7 million). Second in 2019 (raised \$41 million). The 7-year green bond issued in June 2019 had a coupon rate of 14.5%, higher than the 13.48% on the first green bond issued in	<u>2017 vs. 2019</u> -2019 green bond had a higher yield (high interest) than a comparable non-green bond. This demonstrates that the green label no guarantee. Sovereign credit risk remains the primary pricing factor. <u>Internationally:</u> Continued- punitive interest rates paid by