

Guide to Using the Index

1. Steps in the Calculation

Generally speaking, there are four stages in constructing an index such as the CSGR Globalisation Index, or the well-known UNDP Human Development Index (HDI).

First, a judgement is made about the “relevant variables” that should enter the index.

Second, quantitative i.e. numerical measures of these variables are found – here, data constraints are important.

Third, these quantitative measures are normalised, to deal with the problem that different variables are typically measured in different units and therefore may have very different average numerical values.

Fourth, an average or weighted average of the normalised variables is calculated, which gives a numerical score for each country in each year.

Fifth, the numerical scores are used to determine country rankings.

In our case, this five-step procedure is slightly more complex, as we first construct three separate sub-indices of globalisation (economic, social, and political) following steps 1-5. . The country rankings derived from these indices are reported separately on our website. We then calculate the simple unweighted average of these three sub-indices to give an overall globalisation index; the country rankings derived from this overall globalisation index are reported, along with the rankings from the separate economic, social, and political dimensions, giving four rankings in all.

2. The Variables in the Index

The names and definitions of the variables in the index, plus their average (i.e. mean) values, are given below:

Table 1

Sub-Index	variable	definition	Mean*
Economic Globalisation	Trade	Exports plus imports of goods and services as a proportion of GDP	83.29%
	Foreign Direct Investment (FDI)	Inflows plus outflows of foreign direct investment as a proportion of GDP	2.35%
	Portfolio Investment	Inflows plus outflows of portfolio investments as a proportion of GDP	3.22%

	Income	Employee compensation paid to non-resident workers and investment income from foreign assets owned by domestic residents plus employee compensation paid to resident workers working abroad and investment income from domestic assets owned by foreign residents, as a proportion of GDP.	9.12%
Social Globalisation	People		
	Foreign Stock	Stock of foreign population as proportion of total population.	6.65%
	Foreign Flow	Inflows of foreign population as proportion of total population.	0.5%
	Worker Remittances	Worker remittances (receipts) as a proportion of GDP.	3.11%
	Tourists	Number of tourists (arrivals plus departures) as proportion of total population.	57.15%
	Ideas		
	Phone calls	International outgoing telephone traffic (minutes) per capita	0.059
	Internet users	Internet users as a percentage of population	3.97%
	Films	Number of films imported and exported.	310.92
	Books and newspapers	Sum of value of books and newspapers imported and exported per capita (US dollars)	10.08
	Mail	Number of international letters delivered and sent per capita	15.76
Political Globalisation	Embassies	Number of foreign embassies in country	34.16
	UN Missions	Number of UN peacekeeping operations in which country participates	1.16
	Organisations	Number of memberships of International organisations	40.70

* Calculated over all countries/years

So, the economic globalisation index is constructed from four variables, the social globalisation index is constructed from nine variables (four included in the people globalisation sub-index, and five in the ideas globalisation sub-index), and the political globalisation index is constructed from three variables.

It is also clear from Table 1 that the mean or average values of the variables differ considerably, even across variables that are used to construct a given sub-index. To deal with this, we normalise the variables.

3. The Sources of Data

The variables in the index are taken from a variety of data sources, as indicated in the Table below.

Table 2

Sub-Index	Variables	Source
Economic Globalisation	Trade	World Bank- World Development Indicators - Latest issue
	FDI	World Bank- World Development Indicators - Latest issue
	Portfolio Investment	IMF- International Financial Statistics- Latest issue
	Income	World Bank- World Development Indicators- Latest issue
Social Globalisation	People	
	Foreign Stock	World Bank- World Development Indicators- Latest issue
	Foreign Flow	World Bank- World Development Indicators- Latest issue, and Mitchell, B. R. 1998. International Historical Statistics. New York: Stockbridge Press and London: Macmillan.
	Worker Remittances	World Bank- World Development Indicators- Latest issue
	Tourists	World Bank- World Development Indicators- Latest issue
	Ideas	
	Phone calls	International Telecommunication Union (ITU) World Telecommunication Indicators Database (www.itu.int)
	Internet users	International Telecommunication Union (ITU) World Telecommunication Indicators Database (www.itu.int)
	Films	UNESCO -1999 Statistical Yearbook (www.unesco.org)
	Books and newspapers	UNESCO-1999 Statistical Yearbook (www.unesco.org)
	Mail	Universal Postal Union (www.upu.int)
	Political Globalisation	Embassies

	UN Missions	CIA- World Factbooks, various years. (Latest issue available on line at (http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/)) United Nations Website (www.un.org)
	Organisations	CIA- World Factbooks, various years. (Latest issue available on line at (http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/))

4. Normalisation

The method we use is panel normalisation. To illustrate, suppose the variable is trade. First, the minimum and maximum values of this variable over the years 1970-2001, and over all countries, are found. In the case of the trade variable, it turns out that the maximum is 1448 %, for Netherlands Antilles in 1980, and the minimum is 6%, for Sudan in 1987. Then, if the trade variable for some country (say the UK) in some year is x%, then the panel normalised value of x is

$$y = (x - 6)/(1448-6)$$

Note that with this normalisation, all values of y lie between zero and one. Note also that, with this method, the means and the variances of different variables can still be different to each other, although they will be much closer to each other than before panel normalisation.

Panel normalisation has both advantages and disadvantages. The advantage is that with panel-normalised data, we can make meaningful comparisons over time for a given country or indeed between countries. A disadvantage, discussed in detail in Lockwood (2004), is that when additional years of data are added to the database, the maximum or minimum value of a variable may change, and those variables affected then have to be re-normalised. For example, suppose Sudan's trade openness variable rose from 6% to 10% in 1987; from the above formula in Section 2, this would change the value of trade openness for the UK in (say) 1998. This changes countries' scores in previous years, and could even change their ranking in the overall globalization index in previous years.

Nevertheless, a major objective in constructing our index is to make comparisons between different points in time. So, in spite of the problem just discussed, we use panel normalisation.

5. Weighting

Once the different variables in the index have been normalised, they have to be "added together" to generate the index. At this point, there are two alternatives.

First, the index can be constructed by taking a simple average of the variables. This is straightforward, but of course imposes the assumption that all variables entering the index are equally important.

Second, the index can be constructed by taking a weighted average of the variables, where the weights are positive and add up to one. There are then two ways of assigning the weights.

First, the weights can be subjective, i.e. chosen by the researcher according to his/her subjective belief about which variables are more important. This is the approach taken by Foreign Policy and A.T.Kearney in the construction of their Globalisation Index. In fact, in the latest version of their index, they double-weight FDI flows, as they believe that this is a particularly important indicator of globalisation.

Second, the weights can be chosen to maximise the informativeness of the index: statistically optimal weights. We take the second approach, as we feel this avoids any subjective bias on the part of the researcher as to which weights are important.

Briefly, statistically optimal weighting (or principal component weighting, as it is more properly known) works as follows (if you want to know more, see the Appendix!). Take for example, the four variables that make up the economic globalisation index. In 2000, these were available for 119 countries. Thus, in 2000, we are using 119×4 pieces of information. When we aggregate these four variables to make the index, we end up with only one piece of information about each country: thus we are “throwing away” 119×3 pieces of information. Statistically optimal weighting ensures that when we do this, we retain as much as possible of the original information about the countries.

The statistically optimal weights used in the construction of our index are:

Table 3

Sub-Index	variables	weight
Economic Globalisation	Trade	0.418
	Fdi	0.092
	Portfolio	0.220
	Income	0.270
Social Globalisation	People	0.331
	Foreign Stock	0.266
	Foreign Flow	0.629
	Worker Remittances	0.079
	Tourists	0.026
	Ideas	0.669
	Phone calls	0.004
	Internet users	0.303
	Films	0.061
	Books and newspapers	0.577
	Mail	0.054
Political Globalisation	Embassies	0.378
	UN Peace Missions	0.357
	International Organisations	0.266

Note that weights sum to one for each of the sub-indices.

6. Controlling for Fixed Country Characteristics

A final refinement in the construction of our index is the following. Broadly speaking, the variables used in our index to measure different dimensions of globalisation measure outcomes, rather than policy. For example, the variable they use to measure openness to trade is the value of total trade (imports plus exports) as a percentage of GDP.

A well-known problem with this measure of trade openness for a given country is that it depends not only on underlying trade policy (i.e. tariff and non-tariff barriers to trade imposed by the country in question), but also on the geographical and economic characteristics of a country. Other things equal, countries with large populations and diversified economies will trade less (as a proportion of GNP) than small countries. For example, both the Netherlands and the US are highly open to trade in the sense that they have low tariffs and non-tariff barriers. However, in 2001, the trade openness scores for the Netherlands and the US were 129% and 23% respectively. But is the Netherlands really over five times more open to trade flows than the US?

We feel that this problem is most serious for the variables that make up the economic globalisation index, as it is here that variation in country size (population or land area) or geographical location is most likely to affect the economic outcome, given a fixed policy stance.

There are then two possible solutions to this problem. First, rather than measuring outcomes, we could try to measure the underlying policies directly. However, except in the case of trade openness¹, the data are not available to do this. For example, there are no widely available² quantitative measures of the strength of “capital controls” i.e. controls on the capital account that can affect inward or outward direct or portfolio investment.

A second solution is to correct the outcome measure of openness (i.e. trade flows, FDI and portfolio investment flows, income payments and receipts) for relevant country characteristics. This is done³ by least-squares regression of any one of the openness measures on a number of country characteristics that are thought to be: (a) exogenous to economic openness; and (b) relevant in determining economic openness as a percentage of GNP.

The resulting residuals (actual value minus the predicted value) then measure the extent to which a country is more or less open than would be expected, given its characteristics. So, we interpret the residuals from the regression as the “corrected” or “adjusted” measure of openness.

Here, we apply this method of adjustment to all the measures of economic openness (i.e. trade flows, FDI and portfolio investment flows, income payments and receipts). That is, our measures of these variables are the residuals from the regressions just described.

We now describe the regressions in a bit more detail. Our choice of relevant country characteristics were: population in 1998 (POP), land area (AREA), and a dummy variables recording whether the country was landlocked (LANDLOCK). This dummy is included as countries without seaports face higher costs of international trade, and this may well affect foreign direct investment. Indeed, Sachs(2001) finds that distance from the sea-coast is negatively related to per capita GDP. Finally, we do not include the usual measure of economic development, GDP per capita, although its inclusion would undoubtedly increase the explanatory power of our regressions.

The reason is the following. In our view, what our index is ultimately trying to measure is to what extent the past and present policy choices of a country have led it to integrate with the world economy (and society). These policy choices (given geographical characteristics) also determine its level of economic development (as measured by GDP per capita). So, “stripping out” the effects of GDP per capita from the various measures of globalisation would in fact be removing valuable information from these measures. The regression results are described below.

¹ Data is available on average tariffs and non-tariff barriers from the World Bank.

² Such measures have been constructed by various authors for a limited set of countries and years using qualitative information in the IMF’s publication, Exchange Controls and Exchange Restrictions: see e.g. (Quinn(1997)).

³ This approach extends Pritchett(1996), who applied it to get various measures of trade openness .

	Trade	FDI	Portfolio	Income
Log AREA	-1.57*** (0.47)	-0.197*** (0.05)	-0.21** (0.10)	-0.01 (0.14)
Log POP	-14.43*** (0.50)	-0.23*** (0.061)	0.04 (0.11)	-1.47*** (0.15)
LANDLOCK	-11.06*** (2.22)	-0.56** (0.26)	-0.55 (0.54)	0.16 (0.67)
Adjusted R-sqr	0.272	0.015	0.001	0.035

Robust standard errors in brackets: *** = significant at 1%, ** = significant at 5%.

In the trade and FDI regressions, AREA, POP, and LANDLOCK are individually significant, and in some cases have quite large effects. Jointly, these three factors explain about 27% of the total variation in the trade variable, although the percentage of the total variation in FDI explained is much lower, reflecting the fact that FDI flows are highly volatile

For example, if a country is landlocked, its trade variable is 11 percentage points lower than it would be otherwise. Recalling from Table 1 that the mean value of the trade variable is about 83%, this means that other things constant, a landlocked country has 13% less trade with the rest of the world than it would otherwise have. Again recalling from Table 1 that the mean value of the trade variable is about 2.35%, a similar calculation (divide 0.56 by 2.35) implies that a landlocked country has about 24% less FDI flows with the rest of the world than it would otherwise have.

7. Missing Values

One of the main problems we encounter when dealing with a large dataset (covering more than 20 years, over 200 countries and several different variables) is the presence of a large number of missing values.

In order to obtain a numeric value for our index for a country in a specific year we must have non-missing values for every variable used to calculate the index. In other words, suppose that only one of the sixteen variables in Table 1 above is not available for a country in a year, then the value of the globalisation index for that specific year and country cannot be calculated. This clearly causes a large amount of information to be “lost”.

We deal with this problem by linear interpolation. This works as follows. Consider the following artificial example, where the observation for the “trade” variable is assumed missing in 1999 and 2000. Then, linear interpolation would provide the two values for trade in 1999 and 2000 of

year	trade	trade
1998	.6	.6
1999	missing	.7
2000	missing	.8
2001	.9	.9

Generally, the missing values are assumed to be equal to the initial observation (here 0.6), plus a fraction of the difference between the initial observation and the next available observation (here this difference is $0.3=0.9-0.6$).

The fraction is calculated as follows. In any year Y, the fraction is $f = (Y-1998)/(2001-1998)$. So, if $Y = 1999$, $f = 1/3$, and if $Y = 2000$, $f = 2/3$. So, this gives a value of trade in 1999 of $0.6 + 0.3/3 = 0.7$, and a value of trade in 2000 of $0.6 + 2(0.3)/3 = 0.8$, as shown.

Another problem we face is that for many countries, some variables but not others in the index are available for the most recent two or three years, due to different lags in the production of different kinds of data. We deal with this problem by extrapolation. Specifically, we extrapolate by assuming that the variable takes the value of the last year available.

8. References

Lockwood, B(2004), “How Robust is the Foreign Policy-Kearney Globalisation Index?”, *The World Economy* 27, 507-23

Pritchett, L.,(1996), “Measuring outward orientation in LDCs: can it be done?” *Journal of Development Economics*, 49, 307-335

Quinn, D. (1997), “the correlates of change in international financial regulation”, *American Political Science Review*, 91, 531-551

Sachs,J.(2001), “Tropical Underdevelopment”, NBER Working Paper No. W8119

9. Annex: Weighting – More Details for the Technically Minded

This section describes in more detail the principal component weighting process that we use. Let the variables that make up the index be denoted $i = 1, \dots, n$, and the years for which data is available be denoted $t = 1, \dots, T$, and let the countries for which data is available be denoted $j = 1, \dots, m$. Then let X be the data matrix. It has n columns, one for each of the variables, and in the i th column, there are mT elements, so X has mT rows. The first T elements are the observations on variable i in country 1 in years $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$, the next T elements are the observations on variable i in country 2 in years $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$, and so on. Let x_i be the i th column of X .

Suppose that the globalisation index for any country and year is constructed by attaching a weight to the i th variable of λ_i and summing the weighted variables (as we do). Then the value of the index for each country and year is given by a $mT \times 1$ vector z , where

$$z = \lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n \quad (1)$$

Now – and here is the clever part - the index z can be regarded as an approximation to each of the $i=1,..n$ variables that are used to construct it. In particular, we can approximate x_1 by some scalar multiple α_1 of z , x_2 by some scalar multiple α_2 of z , and so on. So, if we denote the approximation to x_i as \hat{x}_i , we have

$$\hat{x}_1 = \alpha_1 z, \quad \hat{x}_2 = \alpha_2 z, \dots, \hat{x}_n = \alpha_n z \quad (2)$$

We want these approximations to be as good as possible. The goodness of fit measure used in the principal component approach is the sum of squared deviations

$$S = \sum_{l=1}^{mT} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_{il} - \hat{x}_{il})^2 \quad (3)$$

where l is an index that runs over all mT observations for a given variable (such as the trade-GDP ratio).

So, the “optimal weighting” problem is to choose weights $\lambda_1, .. \lambda_n$ and $\alpha_1,.. \alpha_n$ to minimise S in (3) subject to (1) holding - which defines z – and (2) holding - which defines the approximations. The solution to the problem therefore chooses weights which make z a best approximation to all of the variables $x_1,..x_n$ simultaneously, subject to z being a linear combination of the same variables.

The solution to this problem is well-known. It is that both $\lambda_1, .. \lambda_n$ and $\alpha_1,.. \alpha_n$ are equal to the principal eigenvector of the $n \times n$ matrix $X'X$. This principal eigenvector is easily computed in any statistical package – we used Stata. That gives us the weights $\lambda_1, .. \lambda_n$ in the Table above. And I think we will stop there!

(if you know enough matrix algebra to have got this far, but are unfamiliar with eigenvalues and eigenvectors, you should consult any textbook on linear algebra, such as *Mathematical Methods for Economists*, by Steven Glaister).