# P/CVE Index

## Codebook

Author: anonymised

**Contact: anonymised** 

Last Update: 31.03.2022

# Contents

Introduction	3
Strategy and Institutions Dimension	4
Formal P/CVE Strategy (PCVE_strat)	4
Dedicated Government Unit (PCVE_unit)	5
Official Review or Evaluation of P/CVE Policies (Eval_strat)	5
Prevention Dimension	6
Education/Cohesion/Resilience Programs for Pupils and Students (Prev_edu)	6
Education/Cohesion/Resilience Programs for Particular Communities/Social Groups (P	rev_comm)
	6
Training for Public Servants (Prev_train)	7
Alternative/Counter-Narratives (Prev_narratives)	7
Public Vigilance Campaigns (Prev_vigil)	8
Intervention Dimension	9
Referral Scheme (Int_referral)	9
Legal Duty to Report (Int_duty)	9
Risk-Assessment Tool for Non-Offenders (Int_risk_nonoffenders)	10
Interventions on Non-Offenders (Int_nonoffenders)	10
Removal of Online Content (Int_internet)	11
Rehabilitation Dimension	12
Risk-assessment tool for offenders (Reh_risk_offenders)	12
De-Radicalisation / Disengagement in Prisons (Reh_derad)	12
Post-detention rehabilitation (Reh. postdetent)	13

### Introduction

This codebook provides information on the translation of responses from the online expert survey into numerical scores for each variable used to construct the P/CVE index.

There are 16 variables divided into four dimensions that make up the P/CVE index. The final index score is an average of scores of these dimensions, whereby the score of each dimension is made by averaging the scores of variables making up that dimension. All scores were normalised to 0-1 scale. The figure below shows the overview of dimensions and variables making up the P/CVE index, as well as the potential values each variable can score.

Figure 1. The conceptualisation and operationalisation of the P/CVE index (possible scores for each item in brackets).

	P/CVE Index			
Dimensions	Strategy and Institutions	Prevention	Intervention	Rehabilitation
Variables	1. Formal P/CVE strategy (0, 0.5, 1) 2. Dedicated gov. unit (0,1) 3. Official review or evaluation of P/CVE policies (0,1)	<ol> <li>Education/cohesion/resilien ce programs for pupils and students (0,1,2,3)</li> <li>Education/cohesion/resilien ce programs for particular communities/social groups (0,1,2,3)</li> <li>Training for public servants (from 0 to variable maximum)</li> <li>Alternative/Counternarratives (0,1)</li> <li>Public vigilance campaigns (0,1,2,3)</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Referral scheme (0,1)</li> <li>Legal duty to report (0,1)</li> <li>Risk-assessment tool for non-offenders (0,1,2,3)</li> <li>Interventions on non-offenders (0,1,2,3)</li> <li>Removal of online content (0,1)</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Risk-assessment tool for offenders (0,1,2,3)</li> <li>De-radicalisation / disengagement in prisons (0,1,2,3)</li> <li>Post-detention rehabilitation (0,1,2,3)</li> </ol>

The P/CVE index captures the extent of P/CVE policies deployment in 38 countries in year 2020. The basic unit of coding is therefore a country.

The following four sections of this codebook correspond to the four dimensions of the P/CVE index. Each section contains the name of the variables in the given dimension (short name used in the STATA software package is shown in brackets), the survey items (question wording) used to measure the score of the variables, and the scoring explanation.

# Strategy and Institutions Dimension

### Formal P/CVE Strategy (PCVE\_strat)

#### Survey items:

1. Is there a counter-terrorism strategy with a designated part devoted to "soft" prevention, like counter-radicalization or counter-extremism? (For example, does the strategy talk about preventing extremism/radicalisation by building community cohesion, promoting democratic values, resolving social exclusion or providing mentoring interventions to radical individuals/groups?)

(Please reply to multiple options below – use all three levels if necessary)

- A. On the level of the central government (yes/no)
- B. On the level of federal states, devolved national authority or regional governments (yes/no)

(If yes to B) The strategy/ies cover a) <u>less than</u> 50% of the population of the country – b) <u>more than</u> 50% of the population of the country

C. On the local levels of government (yes/no)

(If yes to C) Local CVE strategies with 'soft' preventative components cover a) less than 50% of the population of the country – or b) more than 50% of the population of the country

2. Is there a separate counter-extremism (or counter-radicalization) strategy?

(Please reply to multiple options below – use all three levels if necessary)

- A. On the level of the central government (yes/no)
  - 1) (If yes to A) When was it issued (year)?
  - 2) (If yes to A) When was it last updated (year)?
  - 3) (If yes to A) How many times was the strategy updated in total?
- B. On the level of federal states, devolved national authority or regional governments (yes/no)

(If yes to B) The strategy/ies cover a) <u>less than</u> 50% of the population of the country – or b) <u>more than</u> 50% of the population of the country

C. On the local levels of government (yes/no)

(If yes to C) Local CVE strategies with 'soft' preventative components cover a) <u>less than</u> 50% of the population of the country – or b) <u>more than</u> 50% of the population of the country

### **Scoring rule:**

The variable is scored 1 if 2A=yes or 2Bb=yes or 2Cb=yes. The variable is scored 0.5 if 2A=no but 1A=yes or 1Bb=yes or 1Cb=yes. Otherwise, the variable is scored 0.

Dedicated Government Unit (PCVE unit)

### Survey item:

- 3. Does the central government have a dedicated unit, or personnel, working **solely** on P/CVE policy (e.g., a national center, commission, department, special representative)?
  - A. (If yes to 3) What is the name of the unit(s) or the designation/rank of the personnel?

### **Scoring rule:**

The variable is scored 1 if 3=yes. Otherwise, the variable is scored 0.

Official Review or Evaluation of P/CVE Policies (Eval\_strat)

## Survey item:

4. Have there been any official reviews or evaluations of the national P/CVE policy?

### **Scoring rule:**

The variable is scored 1 if 4=yes. Otherwise, the variable is scored 0.

### **Prevention Dimension**

### Education/Cohesion/Resilience Programs for Pupils and Students (Prev edu)

#### Survey item:

5. Does the government fund or directly run educational, cohesion, or resilience building programs for **pupils or students** situated **explicitly** in the framework of P/CVE policy?

(Please reply to multiple options below – use all three levels if necessary)

- A. Organised at central government level (yes/no)
- B. At least one federal state/devolved national authority/regional government (yes/no)
- C. At least one local government (yes/no)

(If yes to either A, B, or C) Overall, to what extent would you say such programs are widespread in the country?

- a) very little extent (e.g., few, small, and mostly one-off projects at some schools in the country)
- b) some extent (e.g., more systematically supported programs in some municipalities across the country)
- c) to a great extent (e.g., more systematically supported programs covering all or most large municipalities across the country)

### Scoring rule:

The variable is scored 3 if 5Ac, 5Bc, or 5Cc. The variable is scored 2 if 5Ab, 5Bb, or 5Cb=yes. The variable is scored 1 if 5Aa, 5Ba, or 5Ca=yes. Otherwise, the variable is scored 0.

Education/Cohesion/Resilience Programs for Particular Communities/Social Groups (Prev comm)

### Survey item:

6. Does the government fund or directly run educational, cohesion, or resilience building programs for **specific communities or groups** (e.g., ethnic minorities, socially excluded areas, families, or football hooligans) situated **explicitly** in the framework of P/CVE policy?

(If yes to either A, B, or C) Overall, to what extent would you say such programs are widespread in the country?

- a) very little extent (e.g., few, small, and mostly one-off projects at some schools in the country)
- b) some extent (e.g., more systematically supported programs in some municipalities across the country)

c) to a great extent (e.g., more systematically supported programs covering all or most large municipalities across the country)

#### **Scoring rule:**

The variable is scored 3 if 6Ac, 6Bc, or 6Cc=yes. The variable is scored 2 if 6Ab, 6Bb, or 6Cb=yes. The variable is scored 1 if 6Aa, 6Ba, or 6Ca=yes. Otherwise, the variable is scored 0.

Training for Public Servants (Prev\_train)

#### Survey item:

7. Does the government fund or directly run training programs for **civil/public servants** with respect to recognizing, assessing, or dealing with radicalization concerns for **non-offenders** (i.e., those not currently convicted, serving prison terms, or on probation)?

Options (in electronic version):

Police, social workers, health professionals, education staff...others

For each option:

(Please reply to multiple options below – use all three levels if necessary)

- A. Organised at central government level (yes/no)
- B. At least one federal state/devolved national authority/regional government (yes/no)
- C. At least one local government (yes/no)

(If yes to either A, B, or C) Overall, to what extent would you say such training programs target this particular group of civil/public servants?

- a) very little extent (e.g., in few local areas)
- b) some extent (e.g., in several large municipalities across the country)
- c) to a great extent (e.g., training conducted across entire regions or the country covering most civil servants)

#### **Scoring rule:**

The variable is scored 0 if 7A, 7B, or 7C=no. Otherwise, the variable is scored by adding the extent of training for each type of civil servants where a)=1, b)=2, and c)=3.

Alternative/Counter-Narratives (Prev\_narratives)

#### Survey item:

8. Does the government fund or directly run counter-narrative or alternative narrative projects, aimed at a domestic audience, **situated in the framework of P/CVE** (e.g., online or offline strategic messaging that aims at discrediting specific groups of violent extremists)?

(Please reply to multiple options below – use all three levels if necessary)

- A. Organised at central government level (yes/no)
- B. At least one federal state/devolved national authority/regional government (yes/no)
- C. At least one local government (yes/no)

### **Scoring rule:**

The variable is scored 1 if 8A, 8B, or 8C=yes. Otherwise, the variable is scored 0.

Public Vigilance Campaigns (Prev vigil)

### Survey item:

10. Does the government fund or directly run vigilance campaigns aimed at getting the public to recognize and report concerns about radicalizing/radicalized individuals (e.g., a website, or leaflets, with information on how to recognize sings of radicalization and how/to whom one can report such concerns)?

(If yes to either A, B, or C) Overall, how intensive such vigilance campaigns are?

- a) very little (e.g., a one-off project)
- b) some extent (e.g., irregular campaigns with limited reach)
- c) to a great extent (e.g., regular campaigns across the country, in the form of leaflets, ads or frequently updated websites)

### Scoring rule:

The variable is scored 3 if 10Ac, 10Bc, or 10Cc=yes. The variable is scored 2 if 10Ab, 10Bb, or 10Cb=yes. The variable is scored 1 if 10Aa, 10Ba, or 10Ca=yes. Otherwise, the variable is scored 0.

### Intervention Dimension

### Referral Scheme (Int\_referral)

#### Survey item:

11. Does the government fund or directly run a referral mechanism(s)? (A referral mechanism is a procedure that allows the public or public/civil servants to report concerns about individuals exhibiting signs of radicalization. The organization that collects such referrals can be, for example, a social service agency, municipal department, an NGO, or the police.)

(Please reply to multiple options below – use all three levels if necessary)

- A. Organised at central government level (yes/no)
- B. At least one federal state/devolved national authority/regional government (yes/no)
- C. At least one local government (yes/no)

### **Scoring rule:**

The variable is scored 1 if 11A, 11B, or 11C=yes. Otherwise, the variable is scored 0.

### Legal Duty to Report (Int\_duty)

#### Survey item:

- 12. Do certain groups of civil/public servants (e.g. social service, education or health care practitioners) have <u>a legal duty</u> to refer individuals where there is a radicalization/extremism concern?
  - A. Yes, the legal duty explicitly mentions radicalization/extremism concerns.
  - B. Yes, the legal duty mentions concerns such as "anti-social behavior" or "failure to thrive" that in practice include radicalization/extremism referrals, but these are not explicitly stipulated in the duty
    - C. No, there is no such legal duty to refer.

#### **Scoring rule:**

The variable is scored 1 if 12A=yes. The variables is scored 0.5 if 12B=yes. Otherwise, the variable is scored 0.

### Risk-Assessment Tool for Non-Offenders (Int\_risk\_nonoffenders)

#### Survey item:

13. Is there a risk assessment tool(s) used by any state agency or section of the government, aimed specifically at people who are thought to be at risk of becoming involved in violent extremism (but are **not convicted** of an extremism/terrorism related offense)?

(If yes) Overall, to what extent would you say is this tool(s) widespread in the country?

- a) very little extent (e.g., in few local areas)
- b) some extent (e.g., in some municipalities across the country)
- c) to a great extent (e.g., in all or most large municipalities across the country)

#### **Scoring rule:**

The variable is scored 3 if 13c=yes. The variable is scored 2 if 13b=yes. The variable is scored 1 if 13a=yes. Otherwise, the variable is scored 0.

Interventions on Non-Offenders (Int\_nonoffenders)

#### Survey item:

- 14. Does the government fund or directly run intervention programs (e.g., mentoring, social or healthcare assistance, exit programs) for **non-offenders** in the framework of P/CVE?
  - A. Organised at central government level (yes/no)
  - B. At least one federal state/devolved national authority/regional government (yes/no)
  - C. At least one local government (yes/no)

(If yes) Overall, to what extent would you say such programs are widespread in the country?

- d) very little extent (e.g., in few local areas)
- e) some extent (e.g., in some municipalities across the country)
- f) to a great extent (e.g., in all or most large municipalities across the country)

### **Scoring rule:**

The variable is scored 3 if 14Ac, 14Bc, or 14Cc=yes. The variable is scored 2 if 14Ab, 14Bb, or 14Cb=yes. The variable is scored 1 if 14Aa, 14Ba, or 14Ca=yes. Otherwise, the variable is scored 0.

# Removal of Online Content (Int\_internet)

### Survey item:

15. Is there a special unit with the objective of monitoring and removing (by way of requesting action from internet, webhosting, or social media providers) online extremist content from the Internet?

(Please reply to multiple options below – use all three levels if necessary)

- A. Organised at central government level (yes/no)
- B. At least one federal state/devolved national authority/regional government (yes/no)
- C. At least one local government (yes/no)

### **Scoring rule:**

The variable is scored 1 if 15A, 15B, or 15C=yes. Otherwise, the variable is scored 0.

### Rehabilitation Dimension

Risk-assessment tool for offenders (Reh\_risk\_offenders)

#### Survey item:

17. Is there a radicalization/extremism risk assessment tool(s) for **offenders** (e.g., to determine prison regime or to assess the risk of recidivism)? (If yes) Overall, to what extent would you say is this tool(s) widespread in the country?

(If yes) Overall, to what extent would you say is this tool(s) widespread in the country?

- a) very little extent (e.g., a pilot project in one prison)
- b) some extent (e.g., in a few prisons)
- c) to a great extent (e.g., systematically across the country/all or most prisons)

### **Scoring rule:**

The variable is scored 3 if 17c=yes. The variable is scored 2 if 17b=yes. The variable is scored 1 if 17a=yes. Otherwise, the variable is scored 0.

De-Radicalisation / Disengagement in Prisons (Reh. derad)

### Survey item:

18. Does the government fund or directly run **prison-based** disengagement or de-radicalization programs for offenders?

(If yes) To what extent would you say are such programs widespread across the country?

- a) very little extent (e.g., a pilot project in one prison)
- b) some extent (e.g., in a few prisons)
- c) to a great extent (e.g., systematically across the country/all or most prisons)

#### **Scoring rule:**

The variable is scored 3 if 18c=yes. The variable is scored 2 if 18b=yes. The variable is scored 1 if 18a=yes. Otherwise, the variable is scored 0.

# Post-detention rehabilitation (Reh\_postdetent)

### Survey item:

19. Does the government fund or directly run **prison-based** disengagement or de-radicalization programs for offenders?

(Please reply to multiple options below – use all three levels if necessary)

- A. Organised at central government level (yes/no)
- B. At least one federal state/devolved national authority/regional government (yes/no)
  - C. At least one local government (yes/no)

(If yes to either A, B, or C) Overall, to what extent would you say such programs are widespread in the country?

- a) very little extent (e.g., few small projects in some local areas in the country)
- b) some extent (e.g., more systematically supported programs in some municipalities across the country)
- c) to a great extent (e.g., more systematically supported programs covering all or most large municipalities across the country)

#### **Scoring rule:**

The variable is scored 3 if 19Ac, 19Bc, or 19Cc=yes. The variable is scored 2 if 19Ab, 19Bb, or 19Cb=yes. The variable is scored 1 if 19Aa, 19Ba, or 19Ca=yes. Otherwise, the variable is scored 0.