



Discussion of “AMM Designs beyond Constant Functions”
by A Cartea, F Drissi, L Sanchez-Betancourt, D Siska and L Szpruch

Wenqian Huang (BIS)
WBS Gillmore DeFi & Digital Currencies Conference, 27 Oct 2023

Disclaimer: The views expressed here are all mine and not necessarily of the Bank for International Settlements.

Summary

- ▶ An interesting paper on AMM trading mechanisms
- ▶ Key result: LP chooses *impact* functions → marginal rate/price discovery
- ▶ Arithmetic liquidity pool (ALP) vs geometric liquidity pool (GLP)
 - ▶ ALP: Marginal rate and LP inventory are additive
 - ▶ GLP: Marginal rate and LP inventory are multiplicative
 - ▶ No round-trip arbitrages (front-running/sandwich attacks)
- ▶ Second result: LP's optimal strategy in ALP and GLP
- ▶ Last-but-not-least result: constant functions (CFM) are a special case of ALP in which LP's strategy is sub-optimal

Comment 1: A mapping from the paper to Econ/MM literature

- ▶ Key concepts:

- ▶ Marginal rate $Z \implies$ mid-quotes m

- ▶ Shifts around marginal rate $Z \pm \delta \implies$ bid and ask prices p (ie $\delta \implies$ half spread s)

Comment 1: A mapping from the paper to Econ/MM literature

▶ Key concepts:

- ▶ Marginal rate Z \implies mid-quotes m
- ▶ Shifts around marginal rate $Z \pm \delta \implies$ bid and ask prices p (ie $\delta \implies$ half spread s)
- ▶ Trade quantity ζ \implies liquidity demand d
- ▶ Number of orders filled $N \implies$ liquidity supply q

Comment 1: A mapping from the paper to Econ/MM literature

▶ Key concepts:

- ▶ Marginal rate $Z \implies$ mid-quotes m
- ▶ Shifts around marginal rate $Z \pm \delta \implies$ bid and ask prices p (ie $\delta \implies$ half spread s)
- ▶ Trade quantity $\zeta \implies$ liquidity demand d
- ▶ Number of orders filled $N \implies$ liquidity supply q
- ▶ **Impact function** $\eta(\cdot) \implies$ dealer supply function $p(q)$

Comment 1: A mapping from the paper to Econ/MM literature

- ▶ Key concepts:
 - ▶ Marginal rate $Z \implies$ mid-quotes m
 - ▶ Shifts around marginal rate $Z \pm \delta \implies$ bid and ask prices p (ie $\delta \implies$ half spread s)
 - ▶ Trade quantity $\zeta \implies$ liquidity demand d
 - ▶ Number of orders filled $N \implies$ liquidity supply q
 - ▶ **Impact function** $\eta(\cdot) \implies$ dealer supply function $p(q)$
- ▶ Consider a two-period model: Z_0, x_0, y_0 , LT buys ζ unit of Y
 - ▶ **Cartea et al:** $dZ_t (= Z_1 - Z_0) = \eta(\cdot), \quad dy_t = -\zeta, \quad dx_t = \zeta(Z_0 + \delta)$

Comment 1: A mapping from the paper to Econ/MM literature

- ▶ Key concepts:
 - ▶ Marginal rate $Z \implies$ mid-quotes m
 - ▶ Shifts around marginal rate $Z \pm \delta \implies$ bid and ask prices p (ie $\delta \implies$ half spread s)
 - ▶ Trade quantity $\zeta \implies$ liquidity demand d
 - ▶ Number of orders filled $N \implies$ liquidity supply q
 - ▶ **Impact function** $\eta(\cdot) \implies$ dealer supply function $p(q)$
- ▶ Consider a two-period model: Z_0, x_0, y_0 , LT buys ζ unit of Y
 - ▶ **Cartea et al:** $dZ_t (= Z_1 - Z_0) = \eta(\cdot)$, $dy_t = -\zeta$, $dx_t = \zeta(Z_0 + \delta)$
 - ▶ **Translating to MM:** $m_1 = m_0 + \eta(\cdot)$
 - ▶ **Foucault, Pagano and Roell (2013) Chapter 3.5:**
$$m_1 = m_0 + \underbrace{(\mu_1 - \mu_0)}_{\Delta \text{Expected value}} + \underbrace{\rho \sigma q}_{\text{Inv cost}}$$

Comment 1: A mapping from the paper to Econ/MM literature

▶ CFMs as a special case

▶ **Cartea et al:** level function $x = \varphi(y)$ and marginal rate $Z = -\varphi'(y)$

▶ $\Rightarrow \eta = \varphi'(y_0) - \varphi'(y_1)$ and $\delta = \varphi'(y_0) - \frac{\varphi(y_1) - \varphi(y_0)}{y_1 - y_0}$

Comment 1: A mapping from the paper to Econ/MM literature

▶ CFMs as a special case

▶ **Cartea et al:** level function $x = \varphi(y)$ and marginal rate $Z = -\varphi'(y)$

▶ $\Rightarrow \eta = \varphi'(y_0) - \varphi'(y_1)$ and $\delta = \varphi'(y_0) - \frac{\varphi(y_1) - \varphi(y_0)}{y_1 - y_0}$

▶ **Translating to MM:** $m_1 = m_0 + \underbrace{(\varphi'(y_0) - \varphi'(y_1))}_{\text{Change of } \frac{dx}{dy}}$

▶ **Compared to Foucault et al (2013):** $m_1 = m_0 + \underbrace{(\mu_1 - \mu_0)}_{\Delta \text{Expected value}} + \underbrace{\rho\sigma q}_{\text{Inv cost}}$

▶ **CFM is not optimal!**

Comment 1: A mapping from the paper to Econ/MM literature

- ▶ Optimal liquidity provision with price-sensitive LT
 - ▶ LT arrival intensity λ decreases in the spread δ .
 - ▶ LP maximizes expected wealth subject to inventory risk
 - ▶ Optimal spread

$$\delta^* = \text{Round-trip trade profit} + \text{adj for inv cost} + \underbrace{\text{impact component}}_{\text{price impact ?}}$$

- ▶ What is η^* in $m_1 = m_0 + \eta$?
- ▶ What is the size of the round-trip trade?

Comment 2: Informed trading

- ▶ The impact function η aims to allow LP adjusting mid-quote, enhancing price discovery
- ▶ But η is still a function of y only (?)
- ▶ The no-round-trip-arbitrage is achieved by widening the spread δ ...
- ▶ ... which is possible because LT arrival intensity is deterministic?
- ▶ In addition, what if LT are informed? How adverse selection is addressed?

Minor comments

- ▶ Exposition: the current draft is pretty cryptic
 - ▶ Consider lightening up notation
- ▶ I find the result that CFM is not optimal very interesting and relevant
 - ▶ Consider more numerical exercises to highlight the inefficiency

Concluding remarks

- ▶ This paper provides a rigorous analysis on AMM trading mechanism
- ▶ It is more of a Math- or CS-oriented paper, with an application in Economics
- ▶ Overall the paper provides a lot of interesting findings
- ▶ Entrepreneurs interested in building DeFi apps should definitely read this paper
- ▶ Economists can also learn a lot from the paper