

Regulation Governing Research Degrees

Notes

1. *Where the post of a University official is named in the Regulation, this refers to the member of staff concerned or his/her authorised nominee.*
2. *Text in italics is included to provide explanation. Sub-headings in italics are intended to help users of the regulations to find the relevant section.*

This Regulation applies to Research Degrees at the University, except for Higher Doctorates. Detailed information on individual courses is listed in the Course Regulations.

The Regulation on Collaborative Postgraduate Degrees applies to research degrees offered in collaboration with one or more institutions.

This Regulation sets out a list of research degrees awarded, general requirements for the award of degrees and specific provisions.

1 Research degrees awarded by the University

1. The University awards the following degrees by research:

Master of Arts (MA)
Master of Science (MSc)
Master of Laws (LLM)
Master of Surgery (MS)
Master of Medical Science (MMedSci)
Master of Philosophy (MPhil)
Doctor of Clinical Psychology (DClinPsych)
Doctor of Education (EdD)
Doctor of Engineering (EngD)
Doctor of Medicine (MD)
Doctor of Philosophy (PhD)
European Doctor of Philosophy (PhD)

2. Degrees by research are normally awarded in a specific subject. A student may pursue research across more than one subject. A degree may be awarded that names more than one subject if:
 - (a) A supervisor is appointed in each of these subjects.
 - (b) The examiners appointed are deemed to be competent in each subject.
 - (c) The examiners recommend the award of the degree in each of the relevant subjects.

2 Registration for a research degree

There are detailed Guidelines for students registered for a research degree and staff involved in supervision of research students, approved by the Board of Graduate Studies. All research students and supervisors are expected to familiarise themselves with the relevant Guidelines, which are available on the Graduate School website. Departments also have specific requirements for research degrees, which are set out in departmental handbooks and on websites. In addition to these Guidelines, the Regulation on student registration, attendance and progress applies to all students at the University, including those registered for research degrees.

Some research degrees include a compulsory taught component. **Detailed information on individual course requirements, including course length and assessment methods, is set out in the Course Regulations.**

1. A student registered for a research degree must have at least one supervisor. Supervisors are normally members of academic staff at the University. Supervisors are nominated by Heads of Departments in accordance with the Guidelines on the Supervision and Monitoring of Research Degree Students and their appointment is subject to approval by the Chair of the Board of Graduate Studies.

2. Registration for a research degree is subject to monitoring, review and upgrade procedures specified by each department.
3. A student registered for a research degree may be required to pursue taught courses or training preparatory to or alongside research.
4. A student registered for a research degree may spend part of the period of study away from the University, as set out in the Guidelines on the Supervision of Research Students based away from the University.

3. Periods of study

1. The standard period of study for research degrees as set out below is determined by the Senate.

Degree	Full-time	Part-time
Master's by Research (MA, MSc, LLM, MMedSci, MS)	1 year	2 to 5 years
MPhil and MD	2 years	3 to 6 years
PhD	3 years	4 to 7 years
EngD	4 years	n/a
EdD	3 years	4 years

Guidance for this Regulation, Requirements for the award of Research Degrees, sets out specific requirements for the award of the degrees listed above, including the award of a PhD awarded on the basis of published work.

Part-time study

2. Part-time study is normally permitted if a student is only able to study on a part-time basis because of employment or other commitments for a significant part of the working week. Requests to study part-time are subject to approval by the Chair of the Board of Graduate Studies.

Reductions to a period of study

3. The Chair of the Board of Graduate Studies may approve a shorter period of study, particularly if a student has completed part of a period of study for a similar degree elsewhere.

Changing registration to another course or qualification

4. A student may upgrade to a higher qualification subject to satisfactory progress.

3.5 A student may, with the agreement of his/her department, seek permission from the Chair of the Board of Graduate Studies to change mode of attendance or to transfer to a different research degree.

Submission deadlines

6. A student registered for a research degree is expected to submit his/her thesis by the end of the period of study. An extension to this deadline of a maximum of twelve months may be permitted.
7. A student may apply to the Chair of the Board of Graduate Studies for a further extension of the period for submission, following the process set out by the Academic Office. A further extension will only be granted in exceptional circumstances.
8. Applications for extensions of more than twelve months at a time will not be considered.
9. A student who wishes to submit before the deadline may make a request to the Chair of the Board of Graduate Studies, with the support of the department concerned. Normally there will be no reduction in the total fee payable over the standard period of registration if a thesis is submitted early.

4 Upgrading from MPhil to PhD

1. In the Faculties of Arts, Medicine and Social Studies students who aim to complete a PhD normally register in the first instance for the degree of MPhil. Subject to satisfactory progress, registration may be upgraded to the degree of PhD. Departments may apply to the Chair of the Board of Graduate Studies to allow a student to register directly for the degree of PhD.
2. Departments are responsible for advising students of departmental requirements and procedures for upgrading to PhD in line with the Guidelines on the Supervision and Monitoring of Research Degree Students. If a student successfully completes departmental upgrading procedures, the department will then recommend upgrading to the Chair of the Board of Graduate Studies.
3. If a student fails to upgrade to the degree of PhD at the first attempt, s/he will normally be permitted to submit a further upgrading proposal. If the second attempt fails, the student may be allowed to continue his/her registration, but only for the degree of MPhil. Alternatively, the department may recommend that the student be required to withdraw in accordance with the Regulation on student registration, attendance and progress.
4. A student has a right to appeal against a decision that s/he be allowed to continue registration only for the degree of MPhil. Appeals will be considered if they are made within 42 days of notification of the department's recommendation. The appeals procedure set out in Section 9 will be used.

5. Requirements for theses submitted for research degrees

A summary of requirements for different research degrees is contained within the Guidance to this Regulation, Requirements for Research Degrees. More detailed requirements for the presentation of theses are set out in the Guide to the Examination of Higher Degrees by Research, approved by the Board of Graduate Studies, which is available on the Graduate School website.

1. A thesis shall consist of one or more pieces of work as specified in the relevant course regulations or departmental guidance as specified in the relevant course regulations or departmental guidance. The length should not exceed the word limit specified for that degree, unless prior permission is obtained from the Chair of the Board of Graduate Studies.
2. A student will not be permitted to submit a thesis which has been, or is being, submitted for a degree at another university, unless this is part of arrangements for an approved collaborative degree. A student may incorporate work submitted for a degree that has already been awarded, provided that the extent of this work is clearly indicated in the thesis. This work may provide support for a thesis but will not be taken into account when evaluating the thesis.
3. A student must indicate any joint work included in the thesis, stating their share in such work. Joint work includes work undertaken with the student's supervisor.
4. A thesis submitted for examination for a higher degree by research shall include a declaration that the research has been undertaken in accordance with University safety policy and Guidelines on Ethical Practice.

Languages other than English

- 5.5 A thesis or dissertation shall be written in English unless a student has obtained prior approval from the Chair of the Board of Graduate Studies for the use of another language.
- 5.6 The Chair of the Board of Graduate Studies may permit doctoral students of Modern Language Departments in the Faculty of Arts to write their theses in the language taught in that department. The primary reason for use of a language other than English should be that it allows a fuller historical or critical engagement with the materials discussed. An abstract of 1500 words in English should be submitted alongside the thesis for inclusion in the Library copy. All students must meet entry requirements for English language competence.

6 Examination of research degrees; appointment of examiners

There is a detailed Guide to the Examination of Higher Degrees by Research, approved by the Board of Graduate Studies that sets out detailed information on examination procedures. This is available on the Graduate School website. Some research degrees have specific examination requirements. These are set out in Annex 1 to this Regulation, Requirements for Research Degrees.

Boards of Examiners for taught components of research degrees

1. Where a Board of Examiners is appointed to examine a compulsory assessed taught component of a research degree as set out in the Course Regulations, the appointment of the Board and the process followed should be in line with the provisions of Section 3 of the Regulation on Taught Postgraduate Courses and the Senate Examination and Degree Conventions published by the Academic Office.
2. A Board of Examiners may reach one of the following decisions for each student:
 - (a) To permit the student to proceed to the next part of the course, as set out in the Course Regulations;
 - (b) To require the student to resit an examination or resubmit specified work within a stated period, before proceeding to the next part of the course.
 - (c) To require the student to withdraw from the course. In this instance the Board may recommend the award of a qualification, if the student has met the requirements set out in the Course Regulations.

Appointment of examiners and examination advisors for research degrees

3. A Head of Department is required to nominate examiners for each research degree, following the process set out by the Academic Office. The Chair of the Board of Graduate Studies considers these nominations on behalf of the Senate. Normally, one external examiner and one internal examiner are appointed. A fee is payable to examiners.
4. A former member of University staff is not normally eligible to act as an external examiner, unless at least three years have elapsed since his/her resignation. Heads of Departments are required to monitor the nomination of examiners to ensure that, other than when required because of the nature of a student's research project, the same external examiners do not regularly examine students supervised by a single member of staff.
5. If the student is a member of University staff, or has been a member of staff during their course, two external examiners will be appointed. For these purposes, a member of staff is defined as someone with a formal contract of employment with the University.
6. For examinations where no internal examiner has been appointed, the department is required to nominate an examination advisor to advise and assist the examiners with University and departmental procedures. A Head of Department may choose to nominate an examination advisor for any research degree examination. An advisor shall be a member of staff from the student's Department, School or Faculty. The advisor may chair and maintain a record of the oral examination but shall not otherwise participate in the examination process.
7. A student's supervisor may not be involved in the examination. A supervisor is not entitled to attend the oral examination and may normally only be present at the request of the examiners. If present, the supervisor shall play no part in the oral examination.

7 Examination process

The method of examination for each research degree is set out in Annex 1 to this Regulation, Requirements for Research Degrees. This Annex also specified whether an oral examination is required for each degree.

1. Each examiner is required to make an independent report prior to any oral examination on the work submitted. Both examiners shall be present at any oral examination.
2. In all cases, even if an oral examination is not held, the examiners are required to complete a joint report with a final joint recommendation. The reports shall be sent to the Academic Registrar. The examiners' reports shall be treated at all times as confidential to those involved

in the examination process, any appeal process, the Head of Department, Director of Graduate Studies, the supervisor and the student.

3. The recommendations of examiners are subject to approval by the Chair of the Graduate Studies Committee of the Board of the appropriate Faculty. Degrees by research are awarded by the Senate.
4. If, at any point, the examiners suspect a candidate of cheating as defined under University Regulation 11 governing the Procedure to be Adopted in the Event of Suspected Cheating in a University Test, the examination process shall be stopped. The case will be referred to the Head of Department as set out in Regulation 11.

Appointment of external adjudicator

5. If the examiners are unable to agree a joint recommendation, or if for any other reason a further opinion is required on the work submitted, the Chair of the Board of Graduate Studies may appoint an external adjudicator. The adjudicator shall be appointed on the recommendation of the Head of the appropriate department after consultation with the original external examiner. A fee is payable to adjudicators.
6. The adjudicator shall consider the work submitted and will also be sent the reports of the original examiners. The adjudicator shall make an independent report which shall conclude with one of the recommendations available to the examiners, as set out below.
7. The adjudicator's report shall be sent, together with the original examiners' reports, to the Academic Registrar for consideration by the Chair of the appropriate Graduate Studies Committee. The recommendation of the adjudicator will normally prevail.

8 Decisions of the examiners

1. The examiners shall reach one of the decisions listed below and set out their recommendation in their joint report.
 - (a) That the degree be awarded.
 - (b) That the degree be awarded, subject to minor amendments or corrections as defined in 8.3 below. In this instance, the examiners should advise the student of the required corrections and the deadline.
 - (c) (MA, MSc and LL.M by research) that the thesis (or exceptionally a revised thesis submitted within a prescribed period) be re-examined for the degree of MPhil.
 - (d) (MPhil only) that the thesis (or exceptionally a revised thesis submitted within a prescribed period) be re-examined for the degree of PhD.
 - (e) (MA, MSc, LL.M only) that the degree be awarded with Distinction.
 - (f) That the degree be not awarded, but that the student be permitted to submit a revised thesis. The examiners must set a deadline for resubmission of the thesis, within a maximum of 12 months from official notification by the University. In the case of a PhD by published work, the examiners may permit the submission of a revised covering document and/or a different selection of published material.
 - (g) That a degree of lower status be awarded. The degrees available are listed below. The award of a degree may be subject to minor amendments as defined in 8.3 below. In this instance, the examiners should advise the student of the required corrections.
PhD; the degrees of MPhil or the appropriate Masters degree by Research may be awarded.
MD; the degree of MMedSci may be awarded
EngD; the degrees of MPhil or MSc by Research in Engineering may be awarded
EdD; the degree of MA or MSc by Research in Education
LLM; the Diploma in Legal Studies.
 - (i) That no degree be awarded.
2. A student shall normally be permitted to resubmit a thesis on one occasion only.

Minor amendments or corrections

3. Minor amendments or corrections must be completed by the candidate to the satisfaction of the internal examiner. Minor corrections should not entail a significant amount of further

research or analysis. The examiners must specify the time available for completion of the corrections, up to a maximum of three months. The internal examiner shall ensure that the one copy of the thesis has been amended. In the case of a PhD by published work, the examiners may require minor amendments to the covering document.

9 Right of appeal and permitted grounds for appeal

Following final examination

1. If the examiners reach one of the decisions set out below, a student has the right of appeal within 42 days of notification.
 - (a) If no qualification is awarded
 - (b) If a lower qualification than that for which the student is registered is awarded
2. Appeals may only be made on one or more of the following grounds:
 - (a) There is evidence of exceptional circumstances that affected the student's performance. The student is required to provide an explanation why the evidence was not available when the examiners or the external adjudicator reached their decision.
 - (b) There appear to have been procedural irregularities in the conduct of the examination.
 - (c) There appears to be evidence of prejudice or of bias during the examination process.
 - (d) There appears to be evidence of inadequacy of supervisory or other arrangements during the student's enrolment at the University. In this instance, the student is required to explain why they did not make a complaint at an earlier stage.Appeals made on grounds covered by (a) and (d) will be rejected if the student does not provide an explanation for the failure to provide the evidence before the examination process.

Following an upgrade process

3. If a department decides that a student be allowed to continue his/her registration only for the degree of MPhil rather than upgrading to PhD, the student has the right to appeal against this decision within 42 days of notification.
4. Appeals may only be made on one or more of the following grounds:
 - (a) There is evidence of exceptional circumstances that affected the student's performance. The student is required to provide an explanation why the evidence was not available before the upgrading process.
 - (b) There appear to have been procedural irregularities in the conduct of the upgrading process.
 - (c) There appears to be evidence of prejudice or bias during the upgrading process.
 - (d) There appears to be evidence of inadequacy of supervisory or other arrangements during the student's enrolment at the University. In this instance, the student is required to explain why they did not make a complaint at an earlier stage.Appeals made on grounds covered by (a) or (d) will be rejected if the student does not provide an explanation for failure to provide the evidence during the upgrading process.

Following the recommendation of the Board of Examiners for the taught component of a research degree

5. If a Board of Examiners requires a student to withdraw from a research degree following the examination of the taught component, the student has the right of appeal within 42 days of notification.
6. Appeals may only be made on one or more of the following grounds:
 - (a) There is evidence of exceptional circumstances that affected the student's performance. In this instance, the student is required to provide an explanation why the evidence was not available at the meeting of the Board of Examiners.
 - (b) There appears to be evidence of procedural irregularities in the examination process.
 - (c) There appears to be evidence of prejudice or bias during the examination process.
 - (d) There appears to be evidence of inadequacy of supervisory or other arrangements during the student's enrolment at the University. In this instance, the student is required to explain why they did not make a complaint at an earlier stage.

Appeals made on grounds covered by (a) or (d) will be rejected if the student does not provide an explanation for failure to provide the evidence for consideration by the Board of Examiners.

10 Appeals process

1. All appeals will first be considered by a Preliminary Review panel which decides whether the evidence presented constitutes grounds for an appeal.
2. All written evidence should be made available to both the appellant and the department.
3. At any point during the appeals process, the student and department are permitted to agree a resolution that meets the student's concerns, provided the terms of any agreement are acceptable under the University's regulations.

Constitution of the Preliminary Review Panel and Graduate Appeals Committee

4. The constitution of a Preliminary Review Panel and Graduate Appeals Committee are set out below. Membership of the Preliminary Review Panel and the Appeals Committee may not include any member of staff from the student's department, or any member of staff who has taught on modules taken by the student or is involved in the appeal in another capacity.

Preliminary Review Panel

A Preliminary Review Panel shall comprise two of the following:

- (a) a Pro-Vice-Chancellor;
- (b) the Chair (or Deputy Chair) of the Board of Graduate Studies;
- (c) the Chair (or Deputy Chair) of a Faculty Graduate Studies Committee or the Board of a Faculty other than that in which the student is based.

Graduate Appeals Committee

The Graduate Appeals Committee shall be appointed by the Vice-Chancellor with the following membership:

- (a) Chair: the Chair (or Deputy Chair) of the Board of a Faculty other than that in which the student is based, the Chair (or Deputy Chair) of the Board of Graduate Studies or a Pro-Vice-Chancellor.
- (b) No fewer than two members drawn from a panel of up to 20 members appointed by the Senate on the recommendation of the Faculty Boards.

The membership of the Appeals Committee will include at least one person from the Preliminary Review Panel.

The dates of the meetings of the Graduate Appeals Committee shall be published by the University.

Preliminary Review Panel stage

5. The Preliminary Review Panel must decide whether the evidence presented constitutes grounds for an appeal as set out in 9 above. The Preliminary Review Panel must not otherwise seek to determine the merits of the appeal.
6. The Preliminary Review Panel must reject an appeal if it decides that:
 - (a) The student has not put forward any grounds for appeal, as allowed in Section 9.
 - (b) For appeals on the basis of exceptional circumstances affecting the student's performance or a complaint about the course, the student has not put forward an explanation for the failure to make the evidence available before the examination or upgrade process, or the meeting of the Board of Examiners.
7. Where the Preliminary Review Panel considers that the evidence provided constitutes grounds for an appeal, the case will be considered by a Graduate Appeals Committee.

8. The student and department will be notified of the reasons for the Preliminary Review Panel's decision.

Graduate Appeals Committee stage

9. The student will be given at least 10 working days notice of the date of the Appeals Committee.
10. The Graduate Appeals Committee may take evidence from the Head of the student's Department, the appellant's supervisor, the Chair of the upgrading panel and such other persons as it deems appropriate. The Head of Department, or his/her authorised deputy, must be available when the appeal is being considered to advise the Committee on departmental procedures and other relevant matters arising in the course of the hearing.

11 Decisions open to a Graduate Appeals Committee

1. The Graduate Appeals Committee may make one of the decisions set out below. In all cases the Committee must notify the appellant and the department of the reasons for its decision. The decision of a Graduate Appeals Committee is final.

Following final examination

2. The Graduate Appeals Committee may decide:
 - (a) To reject the appeal
 - (b) To recommend to the examiners that, for the reasons given, they should reconsider their decision. This would be appropriate if the appeal is upheld on the basis of procedural irregularity or because there is evidence of issues that affected the student's performance that was not available to the examiners.
 - (c) To permit the student to submit a revised and final version of the work for examination within a specified period of time.
 - (d) That the work should be re-examined.
3. If the Graduate Appeals Committee decides that the work should be re-examined, new examiners shall be appointed to conduct the re-examination in line with the procedures for the appointment of examiners in Section 6. The joint recommendation of the new examiners will normally prevail.

Following an upgrade process

4. The Graduate Appeals Committee may decide:
 - (a) To reject the appeal
 - (b) To recommend to the department that, for the reasons given, the upgrading panel should reconsider its decision. This would be appropriate if the appeal is upheld on the basis of procedural irregularity or because there is evidence of issues that affected the student's performance that was not available to the examiners.
 - (c) To permit the student to submit a revised and final version of the work for the upgrading process within a specified period of time.
 - (d) That the work should be re-considered by an upgrading panel with the same or an alternative membership. The decision of the new upgrading panel will normally prevail.

Following the recommendation of the Board of Examiners for the taught component of a research degree

5. The Graduate Appeals Committee may decide:
 - (a) To reject the appeal
 - (b) To recommend to the Board of Examiners that, for the reasons given, the Board should reconsider its decision. The Graduate Appeals Committee may advise the Board of Examiners as follows:
 - (i) to permit the student to proceed to the next part of the course;
 - (ii) to permit the student to be re-examined or to resubmit specified assessed work within a reasonable period;
 - (iii) to permit the student to submit revised work within a reasonable period;
 - (iv) to take into account any advice relevant to the student's circumstances.

6. If the Graduate Appeals Committee recommends that the Board of Examiners reconsider its decision, the Board of Examiners should do so within three months of the meeting of the Graduate Appeals Committee.
7. After considering the decision of the Graduate Appeals Committee, the decision of the Board of Examiners shall be final.

12 Regulations for the Degree of Doctor of Clinical Psychology (DClinPsych)

(1) General

- (a) The degree of Doctor of Clinical Psychology is awarded jointly by Coventry University and the University of Warwick. The programme is managed by a Programme Director and a Programme Board which shall include representatives from both Universities.
- (b) During their period of study candidates shall be registered students of both Universities. They shall have the same rights and responsibilities as other students of each University except where special provision is made within these regulations.
- (c) A candidate for the degree of Doctor of Clinical Psychology shall be required to follow the approved programme of study for a period of three years full-time. The programme is not available by part-time study.
- (d) It shall be a condition of registration on the degree that candidates have an approved contract of employment with an appropriate National Health Service Trust. Candidates whose contract of employment is terminated prior to completion of their degree shall be required to withdraw from the programme.
- (e) Should there be any doubt concerning the regulations which apply in particular circumstances, the Programme Director, in consultation with the Academic Registrars of both Universities, shall decide which regulations should apply.

(2) Progression and Award of the Degree

- (a) The Programme Assessment Board for the degree shall be appointed by Coventry University in accordance with Coventry University Academic Regulations 6 and 8 and by the University of Warwick in accordance with paragraphs (1) to (6) of [Regulation 14.3](#). The Board shall include representatives from both Universities.
- (b) At the end of the first and second years, the Programme Assessment Board shall consider the progress of candidates on the basis of performance in written coursework assignments and reports from professional placement supervisors, and candidates shall either:
 - (i) be permitted to proceed to the next year of the Doctor of Clinical Psychology programme; or
 - (ii) be permitted to resubmit within a prescribed period a limited number of coursework assignments and one placement report in accordance with the Programme Regulations for the degree; or
 - (iii) be awarded the degree of MSc in Abnormal Psychology by Coventry University for satisfactory completion of all components of the first two years of the programme; or
 - (iv) be required to withdraw from the Doctor of Clinical Psychology programme.

Where a candidate has been permitted to resubmit work in accordance with paragraph (ii) above, the Programme Assessment Board shall consider the resubmitted work presented by the candidate and shall make a decision on the candidate's progress in accordance with either paragraph (i), (iii) or (iv) above. Resubmission of work will be permitted on one occasion only.

(c) In accordance with the Programme Regulations for the degree, in addition to a placement report and professional portfolio, students will be required to submit a research thesis during the third year. The report shall not exceed 20,000 words in length exclusive of appendices, footnotes, tables and bibliography. Extensions to the submission deadline for the thesis shall only be permitted in exceptional circumstances.

(d) In order for the candidate to be eligible for the award of the degree of Doctor of Clinical Psychology, the research thesis must constitute an original contribution to knowledge which is, in principle, worthy of publication in a peer-reviewed journal.

(e) The appointment and duties of the examiners for the research thesis shall be in accordance with the provisions of University of Warwick [Regulation 16.6](#) Governing the Appointment of Examiners for Research Degrees.

(f) Candidates shall be required to undergo an oral examination concerning the research thesis.

(g) The joint report of the examiners of the research thesis shall conclude with one of the following recommendations:

(i) That the thesis be approved in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Clinical Psychology.

(ii) That the thesis submitted be approved in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Clinical Psychology, subject to minor amendments or corrections specified by the examiners. The minor amendments or corrections to be made will be indicated fully to the candidate by the examiners. The internal examiner shall ensure that the Library copies are corrected or amended. The minor amendments or corrections must be completed by the candidate to the satisfaction of the internal examiner within one month of the candidate receiving notification of the work required.

(iii) That the thesis be not approved in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Doctor of Clinical Psychology in its present form, but that the candidate be permitted to submit a revised thesis within a prescribed period.

(iv) That the work be not approved in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Clinical Psychology.

The referral of a thesis in accordance with recommendation (iii) above shall be permitted on one occasion only and the period prescribed for resubmission shall not normally exceed twelve months from the date on which the candidate is notified by the University of the decision of the examiners.

(h) The final recommendation of the examiners of the research thesis shall be considered by the Programme Assessment Board for the degree of Doctor of Clinical Psychology together with the performance of the candidate in the other components of the third year of study and the Programme Assessment Board shall recommend either:

(i) That the candidate be awarded the degree of Doctor of Clinical Psychology; or

(ii) That the candidate be awarded the degree of MSc in Abnormal Psychology by Coventry University where the examiners of the research thesis have concluded that the thesis does not meet the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Clinical Psychology.

Candidates who are not eligible for the award of the degree of Doctor of Clinical Psychology may be considered by the Programme Assessment Board for the award of the degree of MSc in Abnormal Psychology by Coventry University where the candidate has completed in full the requirements of the first two years of the Doctor of Clinical Psychology programme, whether or not the candidate has submitted a research thesis.

(i) The recommendations of the Programme Assessment Board for the award of the degree of Doctor of Clinical Psychology shall be subject to the approval of the Academic Board of Coventry University and of the Senate of the University of Warwick in accordance with the standard procedures of the two Universities for the award of research degrees.

(3) Appeals

(a) A candidate for the degree of Doctor of Clinical Psychology shall have the right of appeal against the decision of the Programme Assessment Board that he/she be required to withdraw from the programme under paragraph 2(b)(iv) above or that the degree of Doctor of Clinical Psychology not be awarded under paragraph 2(h)(ii) above. There shall be no right of appeal against the requirement to resubmit work relating to any component of the programme with the exception of a requirement to repeat a period of clinical placement.

(b) Appeals against the decisions of the Programme Assessment Board as defined in (3)(a) above will be considered where:

(i) a student is in possession of evidence which was not available to the examiners when their decision was reached and can provide good reasons for not having made the examiners aware of the circumstances affecting his/her performance prior to the meeting of the Programme Assessment Board; or

(ii) there appear to have been procedural irregularities in the conduct of the examination; or

(iii) there appears to be evidence of prejudice or of bias or of inadequate assessment on the part of one or more of the examiners.

An appeal on the grounds of inadequate supervisory or other arrangements during the period of study may also be considered where the candidate can provide good reasons for not having made known his/her complaint at an earlier stage.

(c) Notification of the intention to appeal must be lodged by the candidate with the Academic Registrar of Coventry University within 10 working days of receiving notification of the decision of the Programme Assessment Board.

(d) An Appeals Committee shall be constituted for the programme and shall include two representatives from Coventry University and two from the University of Warwick. The Coventry University representatives shall be appointed by the Academic Board of the University and shall normally include the Chair of the Examinations Appeals Committee. The representatives from the University of Warwick shall be appointed by the Senate of the University and shall normally include the Chair of the University's Graduate Appeals Committee and one other member of that Committee. The Committee shall be chaired alternately by a representative of each University for each case to be considered. The membership of the Appeals Committee will be selected to ensure that no member of the Committee is involved in the case in another capacity.

(e) The Chairs of the respective appeals committees of each University shall consider the candidate's case and decide whether there appears, *prima facie*, to be a case for consideration by a full hearing of the Appeals Committee. An appeal shall not be considered where both the Chairs consider that the evidence provided by the candidate concerned does not constitute grounds for an appeal, and the candidate will be notified of the reasons for the decision. Where one or both of the Chairs consider(s) that the evidence provided by the candidate constitutes grounds for an appeal a meeting of the Appeals Committee shall be convened.

(f) The appellant shall receive, so far as reasonably practicable, notice of at least 21 days of the date set for the meeting of the Appeals Committee. The appellant may, if he/she chooses, appear in person before the Committee and may invite any one person to attend the hearing. The name and status of the person accompanying the appellant must be notified to the Chair of the Appeals Committee in advance of the hearing. The Appeals Committee shall take evidence from such persons

as it deems appropriate. The Programme Director, or his/her authorised deputy, must be available when the appeal is being considered to advise the Committee on departmental procedures and other relevant matters arising in the course of the hearing. He/she shall be in attendance only at the meeting and shall take no part in the deliberations of the Appeals Committee.

(g) Notwithstanding their confidential nature, the reports of the examiners shall be made available to the Appeals Committee and also to the appellant. Where any written evidence is subsequently submitted by the examiners to the Committee, this shall also be made available to the appellant.

(h) The Appeals Committee may take one of the following decisions:

(i) To reject the appeal, in which case the appellant shall be notified of the Committee's reasons for rejecting the appeal.

(ii) Where the grounds for the appeal were that there appeared to have been procedural irregularities in the conduct of the examination or that there existed circumstances of which the examiners were not aware when their decision was taken, to recommend to the examiners that, for reasons stated, they should reconsider their decision.

(iii) To permit the student to submit a revised and final version of the work for examination within a specified period of time.

(iv) To determine that the work should be re-examined.

(i) Where the decision of the Appeals Committee is that the work should be re-examined (3(h)(iv) above), new examiners shall be appointed to conduct the re-examination, in number no fewer than the original examiners and including at least one external examiner. The new examiners shall be appointed by the Appeals Committee on the advice of members of the Programme Assessment Board who have not, as far as possible, had any involvement in the appeal. The reports of both the original and the new examiners shall be considered according to the procedure laid down in paragraph 2(i) above; where the recommendations of the two groups of examiners do not agree, the recommendation of the new examiners shall normally be expected to prevail.

(j) The decision of the Appeals Committee is final.

(4) Termination of Registration

(a) The Programme Director may recommend that a candidate registered on the programme be required to withdraw. The recommendation shall be considered by the Dean of the School of Health and Social Sciences at Coventry University and the Chair of the Graduate Studies Committee of the Faculty of Science at Warwick who may make further enquiries before deciding to require the student to withdraw. Should the Dean and Chair be unable to agree, the view of one of the external examiners for the programme shall be sought and his/her view shall normally be expected to prevail.

(b) A candidate may appeal against the decision requiring him/her to withdraw. Such an appeal must be lodged by the candidate with the Academic Registrar of Coventry University within 10 days of the decision having been communicated to the candidate in writing by the Dean and Chair. The appeal will be considered by the Appeals Committee for the degree and the membership of the Committee will be as prescribed under paragraph 3(d) above.

(c) The appellant shall normally receive at least 10 days notice of the date set for the meeting of the Appeals Committee. The appellant may, if he/she chooses, appear in person before the Appeals Committee and may be accompanied by any one other person. The name and status of this person must be notified to the Chair of the Appeals Committee in advance of the hearing. The Appeals Committee will take evidence from the Programme Director and such other persons as it deems appropriate.

- (d) The Appeals Committee may either:
 - (i) reject the appeal and confirm the decision requiring the student to withdraw; or
 - (ii) uphold the appeal and permit the student to continue with his/her registration on the degree.
- (e) The decision of the Appeals Committee is final.

(5) Disciplinary Matters

(a) Disciplinary matters will be considered under the relevant regulations and procedures of either Coventry University or the University of Warwick by mutual agreement between the two institutions depending on the location and parties involved in the issue concerned; students shall not have a choice of which procedures shall be adopted. Where the Universities consider it appropriate, the procedure may involve consideration of the case by staff from both institutions.

(b) This paragraph shall apply to matters such as car parking, damage to property, library regulations, regulations governing the use of computing facilities, safety regulations, use or sale of drugs, assault or serious threatening behaviour, abusive or unreasonable behaviour, freedom of speech, breaches of codes of practice relevant to the programme. This list is not intended to be exhaustive.

(6) Cheating and Plagiarism

(a) Cases of suspected cheating or plagiarism by candidates in the first two years of the programme shall normally be investigated in accordance with Appendix 1 to the General Regulations of Coventry University, relating to the examinations responsibilities of students.

(b) Cases of suspected cheating or plagiarism by candidates in the third year of the programme will normally be investigated in accordance with University of Warwick [Regulation 11](#) governing the procedure to be adopted in the event of suspected cheating in a University Test.

(c) Where two or more candidates are suspected of cheating or plagiarism and the candidates involved include at least one from the third year and one from the first or second years of the degree, the Programme Director shall decide which University's procedures should be used to investigate the case.

(d) In all cases where an Investigating Panel or Committee is convened, the Panel or Committee shall include at least one representative from each University.

(7) Complaints

(a) Candidates who wish to lodge a formal complaint regarding any aspect of the programme may do so in accordance with the procedures laid down for complaints in Appendix 13 of the General Regulations of Coventry University. The complainant may lodge their complaint with the Programme Director, the Dean of the School of Health and Social Sciences at Coventry University, the Head of the Department of Psychology at the University of Warwick or with the Vice-Chancellor of either University.

(b) The investigation of the complaint will be conducted in accordance with the general procedures set down in Appendix 13 of the General Regulations of Coventry University but the personnel to be involved in the investigation will be determined on a case by case basis by agreement between the two Universities depending on the nature of the complaint and the parties involved. The candidate shall be informed of the names and posts of the individuals involved in the investigation.