Academic Promotion

Guidance for Heads of Departments
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# General Information

1.1 These guidelines are intended to provide Heads of Department with advice and guidance when considering applications for promotion and should be read in conjunction with the Academic Promotions Guidelines.

1. Schedule
   1. Heads of Department should notify the Academic Processes team in Human Resources of any promotion cases from their Department by 15 December. The [promotions submission form](#_Promotion_Submission_Form) found on page 6 of this guidance should be used to do this.
   2. Promotion cases should be submitted electronically, by the applicant (copying in the Head of Department) to the Academic Processes team ([academicprocesses@warwick.ac.uk](mailto:academicprocesses@warwick.ac.uk) ) by 27 January 2023.
   3. Promotion cases are considered during the Spring and Summer Terms. Decisions will formally be communicated directly to the applicant (copied to the Head of Department) as soon as possible thereafter, however, it is likely to be towards the end of the Summer term. VP/CoFs will provide updates on progress with an application (ie has it progressed to review by referees and has it been approved) which you are encouraged to share with the individual concerned.
   4. Promotions will take effect from 1 August.
   5. Individuals can expect to see some salary progression as the result of a successful promotion application. Successful applicants would normally move to the first point on the relevant salary scale. Given the overlap between the salary scales for Associate Professor and Reader, individuals can reasonably expect an additional increment as a result of a successful promotion application. Any applicants that are currently in the extended area will be reviewed on a case by case basis. For Professorial promotions, salaries will be reviewed on a case by case basis with the aim of ensuring some salary progression; in most cases this means that the salary will be set at the entry level of the professorial salary distribution.
   6. There is no right of appeal against a decision made by the UPPC or ASC not to support a case for promotion.

# Departmental Promotions process

# Departments must communicate to their academic staff, a process which outlines the mechanism by which promotions cases are considered for HoD support. It is a requirement that Heads of Department will seek advice from other senior colleagues when considering applications for promotion. Good practice will include some or all of the following:

* Explicitly inviting members of the department to consider putting themselves forward for promotion (Essential);
* Forming a Promotions Committee within the Department to review cases for promotion (Desirable);
* Using senior management meetings as a mechanism to review cases for promotion (As a minimum).
* Involvement of one representative from outside of the Department (this may be particularly helpful for small Departments)

# Making an Application

# The promotion case should focus on the applicant’s achievements in relation to the evidence and criteria for promotion. Candidates can make use of outputs and achievements prior to their last appointment/promotion and their case should be evaluated based on their overall profile of activity and achievement.

* 1. Where a candidate is being considered for promotion in a relatively short time since their previous appointment, ASC/UPPC are asked to assure themselves that the individual has been able to sustain the required level of performance since their previous promotion/appointment. The meaning of “sustained” in this context will vary across disciplines and cases and it is difficult to offer detailed guidance. However, you would be encouraged to look for the candidate to be providing evidence of continued delivery at the band level that they are claiming but perhaps with greater evidence on the level than the quantum.
  2. For Teaching Focussed applications, ASC/UPPC may request to see an applicant’s teaching profile of evidence if considered necessary.

# Where the Head of Department decides not to support a promotion case, they should complete their comments on the application form detailing the reasons why they are not supportive and return this to the individual. Individuals can then decide if they still want to apply for promotion and should submit the application form as detailed in sections 2.1 and 2.2.

# Generally, unpublished work will not count as evidence of an applicant’s research output, although it may provide information relating to a continued trajectory. Heads of Departments should clarify the position relating to unpublished work when submitting their comments. Evidence submitted by the applicant should not rely on anticipation of forthcoming work.

# Head of Department Statement

5.1 The statement should clearly state whether or not the Head of Department is supportive of the application.

5.2 The Head of Department should indicate whether or not the scores claimed by the applicant are appropriate, and provide comprehensive but succinct commentary on each of the four areas of activity.

5.3 Where you think the claimed score is justified, it is important to give a brief statement to support the score and highlight particular strengths. Where you do not support the claimed score, please give an explanation as to why and identify areas of concern. You do not have to provide an alternative score if you prefer not to but you do need to ensure that UPPC can understand why you think the claimed score is not justified.

5.4 If the candidate has previously applied for promotion but been unsuccessful, it is important to comment on how the profile has changed since the previous application.

5.5 Please ensure that applicants understand that they should respect the stated word limits and that where they do not, UPPC may ask for the submission to be rewritten.

# References

Full contact details of all referees should be provided where appropriate. Supporting statements are also required on the standing and relevance of each of the chosen referees. The Head of Department or the applicant may contact referees to notify them that they have been listed as a referee and that they may be contacted by the University.

**Please can you ensure that nominated referees are of an appropriate status and standing in relation to the grade that an individual is applying for. In order to ensure that the views of referees are given appropriate weight in deliberations, please can you also ensure that potential conflicts of interest are avoided. The selected referees will be asked to serve as neutral external assessors of the application and not as formal advocates for the individual applicant.**

# Details of references required for each level of promotion are outlined below:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Promotion to:** | **Number of Referees required** | **Notes** |
| Professor | 8 | All referees must be external (and at least 2 must be from international institutions).  Only 3 referees from a previous promotion/appointment can be named. |
| Reader | 6 | All referees must be external (and at least 2 must be from international institutions).  Only 2 referees from a previous promotion/appointment can be named. |
| Associate Professor | 4 | At least 2 referees should be external. |
| Assistant Professor (T, R focussed) (formerly Senior Teaching Fellow/  Senior Research Fellow) | None |  |
| Teaching Fellow/  Research Fellow | None |  |

# Heads of Departments are reminded that referees should be able to provide an independent and considered assessment of an applicant’s academic standing.

# It should also be noted for the levels of Reader and Professor, that any referees who have recently (usually within 3 years) left the University of Warwick will not normally be approached.

# Those referees that are judged by the Committees to be too close to the applicant (e.g. former PhD supervisor or close collaborators) may be rejected and the applicant may be asked to provide alternative names.

# The Committees reserve the right to identify their own referees or assessors in addition to those nominated by the applicant and/or Department.

6.6 Applicants should be aware that personal details from their application form and CV will be shared with referees.

6.7 We would normally require responses from a majority of the referees contacted and the Committee will make its decision based on the strength and valence of the analysis provided by the referees.

# 

# Out of Cycle Cases

# In exceptional cases, the Vice-Chancellor has discretion to consider promotion cases outside the annual promotions cycle. In such cases applicants would normally be expected to provide the same paperwork as that required for an ‘in cycle’ promotion, together with a rationale detailing why the case should be considered out of cycle.

# Feedback

# Feedback meetings are offered to unsuccessful applicants and their Head of Department by a nominated member of the Committee. It is strongly advised that these feedback meetings are taken up and that individuals are accompanied by their Head of Department.

# Unsuccessful applicants may reapply for promotion at any time, providing that they are able to demonstrate that they have acted on the feedback and have addressed the areas of shortfall against the criteria.

# Data Retention

9.1 Any personal data collected or retained in relation to the academic promotions procedure will be in accordance with the Data Protection Act 2018.  Further information is detailed in the University’s Privacy Notice and Record Retention Schedule.

Promotion Submission Form

# This form should be completed by the Head of Department with the names of all potential promotion applicants, and submitted by email to the Academic Processes team at [academicprocesses@warwick.ac.uk](mailto:academicprocesses@warwick.ac.uk) by **15 December 2022**.

# This can be amended up until 15th January 2023 and any amendments must be notified to the Academic Processes team.

Department/School/Institute \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Name of Candidate | Current Position | Applying for promotion to (indicate the job title which is being applied for) |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |