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 culture. There is, first, the 'ideal', in which culture is a state or process of human perfection, in terms of certain absolute or universal values. The analysis of culture, if such a definition is accepted, is essentially the discovery and description, in lives and works, of those values which can be seen to compose a timeless order, or to have permanent reference to the universal human condition. Then, second, there is the
 and imaginative work, in which, in a detailed way, human thought and experience are variously recorded. The analysis of culture, from such a definition, is the activity of criticism, by which the nature of the thought and experience, the details of the language, form and convention in which these


 the world', through a process which, while interested in tradition, takes as its primary emphasis the particular work being studied (its clarification and valuation being the principal end in view) to a kind of historical criticism which, after analysis of particular works, seeks to relate them to the particular traditions and societies in which they appeared.
 which culture is a description of a particular way of life, which expresses certain meanings and values not only in art
 The analysis of culture, from such a definition, is the clarification of the meanings and values implicit and explicit in a particular way of life, a particular culture. Such analysis will include the historical criticism already referred to, in
$5^{6}$
science, religion, family life and the other categories we speak of as absolutes, belong in a whole world of active and interacting relationships, which is our common associative life. If we begin from the whole texture, we can go on to study particular activities, and their bearings on other kinds. Yet we begin, normally, from the categories themselves, and this has led again and again to a very damaging suppression of relationships. Each kind of activity in fact suffers, if it is wholly abstracted and separated. Politics, for example, has gravely suffered by its separation from ordinary relationships, and we have seen the same process in economics, science, religion, and education. The abstraction of art has been its promotion or relegation to an area of special experience emotion, beauty, phantasy, the imagination, the unconscious), which art in practice has never confined itself to, ranging in fact from the most ordinary daily activities to exceptional crises and intensities, and using a range of means from the words of the street and common popular stories to strange systems and images which it has yet been able to make common property. It has been the purpose of this review of creative activity to allow us to acknowledge this, which is the real history of art and yet which we are kept from by definitions and formulas that were stages in its interpretation but that we must now move beyond. A further consequence of this sense of creative activity is that we are helped, by what it shows of communication and community, to review the nature of our whole common life: the terms of this review are the terms of the definition of culture. When we have grasped the fundamental relation between meanings arrived at by creative interpretation and description, and


 then a real extension of our powers to understand ourselves
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 areas of fact which the other definitions might exclude. For it seems to me to be true that meanings and values, discovered in particular societies and by particular individuals, and kept alive by social inheritance and by embodiment in particular kinds of work, have proved to be universal in the
 they can contribute radically to the growth of man's powers to enrich his life, to regulate his society, and to control his environment. We are most aware of these elements in the form of particular techniques, in medicine, production, and
 more purely intellectual disciplines, which had to be wrought
 disciplines in themselves, together with certain basic ethical assumptions and certain major art forms, have proved similarly capable of being gathered into a general tradition which


 being shaped, as it does so, by more local and temporary systems.
The variations of meaning and reference, in the use of culture as a term, must be seen, I am arguing, not simply as a disadvantage, which prevents any kind of neat and exclusive definition, but as a genuine complexity, corresponding to real elements in experience. There is a significant reference in each of the three main kinds of definition, and, if this is so,
 tion. It seems to me that any adequate theory of culture must include the three areas of fact to which the definitions point, and conversely that any particular definition, within any of the categories, which would exclude reference to the
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 'ideal' emphasis, the discovery of certain absolute or universal, or at least higher and lower, meanings and values,

 que sofurueau reןnotired su! values, seeks not so much to compare these, as a way of establishing a scale, but by studying their modes of change to -ләрй and cultural development as a whole can be better stood.
It seems to me that there is value in each of these kinds of definition. For it certainly seems necessary to look for mean-










 the broad reference. I find it very difficult, after the many comparative studies now on record, to identify the process
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 beyond its context, and becomes an element in a major and general dramatic tradition, in quite different societies. The play itself, a specific communication, survives the society and the religion which helped to shape it, and can be re-created to speak directly to unimagined audiences. Thus, while we could not abstract the ideal value or the specific document, neither could we reduce these to explanation within the local terms of a particular culture. If we study real relations, in any actual analysis, we reach the point where we see that we are
 in this general organization there is no element that we can abstract and separate from the rest. It was certainly an error to suppose that values or art-works could be adequately studied without reference to the particular society within which they were expressed, but it is equally an error to suppose that the social explanation is determining, or that the

 be determined by the whole situation in which they are expressed, of asking about these relationships in a standard
 'society', in this question, is a specious whole. If the art is part
 the form of our question, we concede priority. The art is there, as an activity, with the production, the trading, the politics, the raising of families. To study the relations adequately we must study them actively, seeing all the activities as particular and contemporary forms of human energy. If we take any one of these activities, we can see how many of the others are reflected in it, in various ways according to the nature of the whole organization. It seems likely, also, that the very fact that we can distinguish any particular activity, as serving certain specific ends, suggests that without this activity the whole of the human organization at that place and time could not have been realized. Thus art, while clearly related to the other activities, can be seen as expressing

63

 histories, for it is with the relations between them, the particular forms of the whole organization, that it is especially


 nature of the organization which is the complex of these relationships. Analysis of particular works or institutions is, in this context, analysis of their essential kind of organization,
 ‘s!S
 istic kind that any useful cultural analysis begins, and it is

 hitherto separately considered activities, sometimes again reveal discontinuities of an unexpected kind, that general cultural analysis is concerned.

It is only in our own time and place that we can expect to know, in any substantial way, the general organization. We can learn a great deal of the life of other places and times, but certain elements, it seems to me, will always be irrecoverable. Even those that can be recovered are recovered in abstraction, and this is of crucial importance. We learn each element as a precipitate, but in the living experience of the time every element was in solution, an inseparable part of a

 at a particular place and time: a sense of the ways in which
 living. We can go some way in restoring the outlines of a particular organization of life; we can even recover what
 of culture'. The social character - a valued system of behaviour and attitudes - is taught formally and informally;
 selection and configuration of interests and activities, and a
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certain elements in the organization which, within that organization's terms, could only have been expressed in this way. It is then not a question of relating the art to the society, but of studying' all the activities and their interrelations, without any concession of priority to any one of them we may choose to abstract. If we find, as often, that a particular activity came radicaily to change the whole organization, we
 must be related; we can only study the varying ways in which, within the changing organization, the particular activities


 rarely be of a simple kind: elements of persistence, adjustment, unconscious assimilation, active resistance, alternative pue sə!t! in the whole organization.

The analysis of culture, in the documentary sense, is of great importance because it can yield specific evidence about the whole organization within which it was expressed. We cannot say that we know a particular form or period of




 economic, and 'social' arrangements, form the central core
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 and tones in argument, are of major importance. For here, if

 we have of recorded communication that outlives its bearers, the actual living sense, the deep community that makes the communication possible, is naturally drawn upon. I do not
 character, is possessed in the same way by the many individuals in the community. But $I$ think it is a very deep and


 formal sense, learned. One generation may train its successor, with reasonable success, in the social character or the general cultural pattern, but the new generation will have its own

 organization is enacted in the organism: the new generation
 taking up many continuities, that can be traced, and reproducing many aspects of the organization, which can be
 differently, and shaping its creative response into a new structure of feeling.

Once the carriers of such a structure die, the nearest we can get to this vital element is in the documentary culture, from poems to builings ance to the definition of culture in documentary terms. This in no way means that the documents are autonomous. It is simply that, as previously argued, the significance of an activity must be sought in terms of the whole organization, which is more than the sum of its separthat the whole organization is there to express. The significance of documentary culture is that, more clearly than


$64 \quad$ The Long Revolution tion, a 'way of life'. Yet even these, as we recover them, are usually abstract. Possibly, however, we can gain the sense of
 the pattern, but as it were the actual experience through which these were lived. This is potentially of very great importance, and I think the fact is that we are most conscious of such contact in the arts of a period. It can happen that when we have measured these against the external characteristics of the period, and then allowed for individual variations, there is still some important common element that we cannot
 of any similar analysis of a way of life that we ourselves share.
 munity of experience hardly needing expression, through


 aware of this when we notice the contrasts between generations, who never talls quite 'the same language', or when we







 different generation: the position, in fact, that we are all in, of pəuint วq uro $7!$ ч
 trivial nor marginal; it feels quite central.

 in the most delicate and least tangible parts of our activity. In one sense, this structure of feeling is the culture of a period:


49
 from the culture as lived.

It is very important to try to understand the operation of a selective tradition. To some extent, the selection begins
 certain things are selected for value and emphasis. In general this selection will reflect the organization of the period as a whole, though this does not mean that the values and emphases will later be confirmed. We see this clearly enough in the case of past periods, but we never really believe it about our own. We can take an example from the novels of the last decade. Nobody has read all the English novels of the nineteen-fifties; the fastest reader, giving twenty hours a day to this activity alone, could not do it. Yet it is clear, in print and in education, not only that certain general characteristics of the novel in this period have been set down, but also that a reasonably agreed short list has been made, of what seem to be the best and most relevant works. If we take the list as containing perhaps thirty titles (already a very drastic selection indeed) we may suppose that in fifty years the specialist in the novel of the ig50s will know these thirty, and the general reader will know perhaps five or six. Yet we can surely be quite certain that, once the 1950 s have passed, another selective process will be begun. As well as reducing the number of works, this new process will also alter, in some cases drastically, the expressed valuations. It is true that when fifty years have passed it is likely that reasonably permanent valuations will have been arrived at, though these may continue to fluctuate. Yet to any of us who had lived this long process through, it would remain true that elements important to us had been neglected. We would say, in a vulnerable elderly way, 'I don't understand why these young people don't read X any more', but also, more firmly, 'No, that isn't really what it was like; it is your version'.* Since any period includes at least three generations, we are always seeing examples of this, and one complicating factor is that none of us stay still, even in our most significant period: many of the adjustments we should not protest against,
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on the nature of a structure of feeling, and see how it can fail
 with it, with ample material at their disposal, including the contemporary arts, we shall not suppose that we can ever do more than make an approach, an approximation, using any channels.

We need to distinguish three levels of culture, even in its most general definition. There is the lived culture of a particular time and place, only fully accessible to those living in that time and place. There is the recorded culture, of every kind, from art to the most everyday facts: the culture of a period. There is also, as the factor connecting lived culture and period cultures, the culture of the selective tradition.

When it is no longer being lived, but in a narrower way survives in its records, the culture of a period can be very carefully studied, until we feel that we have reasonably clear ideas of its cultural work, its social character, its general patterns of activity and value, and in part of its structure of feeling. Yet the survival is governed, not by the period itself, but by new periods, which gradually compose a tradition.
 records. One can say with confidence, for example, that nobody really knows the nineteenth-century novel; nobody
 range from printed volumes to penny serials. The real specialist may know some hundreds; the ordinary specialist some-
 will have clear ideas on the subject. A selective process, of a quite drastic kind, is at once evident, and this is true of every field of activity. Equally, of course, no nineteenth-century

 which, I have argued, no later individual can wholly recover: that sense of the life within which the novels were written,


 sufficient resources to the ordinary work of preservation, and to resist the criticism, which any particular period may make with great confidence, that much of this activity is
 a society that so many academic institutions are, to an important extent, self-perpetuating and resistant to change. The changes have to be made, in new institutions ifnecessary, but if we properly understand the process of the selective
 to get a real sense of historical change and fluctuation,
 appreciated.

In a society as a whole, and in all its particular activities, the cultural tradition can be seen as a continual selection and re-selection of ancestors. Particular lines will be drawn, often for as long as a century, and then suddenly with some new stage in growth these will be cancelled or weakened, and new lines drawn. In the analysis of contemporary culture, the existing state of the selective tradition is of vital importance, for it is often true that some change in this tradition establishing new lines with the past, breaking or re-drawing existing lines - is a radical kind of contemporary change. We tend to underestimate the extent to which the cultural tradition is not only a selection but also an interpretation. We see most past work through our own experience, without even making the effort to see it in something like its original terms. What analysis can do is not so much to reverse this, returning
 by showing historical alternatives; to relate the interpretation to the particular contemporary values on which it rests; and, by exploring the real patterns of the work, confront us with the real nature of the choices we are making. We shall find, in some cases, that we are keeping the work alive because it is a genuine contribution to cultural growth. We shall find, in other cases, that we are using the work in a particular way for our own reasons, and it is better to know this than to
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 Violation', illustrated by a large woodcut and backed by a detailed story. The total circulation of newspapers of this kind, at the end of the decade, was about 275,000 , as compared with a total of 60,000 for the daily papers. If we are examining the actual culture of the period, we must begin from this fact, rather than from the isolation of The Times which its continuing importance in a tradition of high politics has brought about.
In the case of literature, the working of the selective tradition is similarly obvious. We think of the period as that of Dickens, Thackeray, Charlotte and Emily Brontë, at the upper levels of the novel, and of Elizabeth Gaskell, Kingsley, Disraeli, in a subsidiary range. We know also, as 'period' authors, Lytton, Marryat, Re Pick. Dick, to take one example, had sold 40,000 copies a number in periodical publication, and later examples climbed to 70,000 and above. Yet if we look at the other most widely read writers of the period, we find the following list, in order of popularity, given by W. H. ${ }^{\text {'qе }}$, G. P. R. James, James Grant, Miss Sinclair, Haliburton, Mrs Trollope, Lever, Mrs Gaskell, Jane Austen. The two most popular series of cheap novels, the Parlour and Railway Libraries ( 1847 and 1849 ), included as their leading authors
 Marryat ( $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ ), Ainsworth (I4), Mrs Gore (I0), Grant (8), Grattan (8), Maxwell (7), Mrs Trollope (7), Emma Robinson (6), Mayne Reid (6), W. Carleton (6), Jane Austen (6),
 of the range: Agincourt, Last Days of Pompeii, Midshipman Easy,

 Pride and Prejudice, The Little Wife. In 1851 The Times commented:

Every addition to the stock was positively made on the assumption that persons of the better class who constitute the larger portion of the station.
$\quad$ The Long Revolution
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surrender to the mysticism of the 'great valuer, Time'. To
put on to Time, the abstraction, the responsibility for our
own active choices is to suppress a central part of our experi-
ence. The more actively all cultural work can be related,
either to the whole organization within which it was
expressed, or to the contemporary organization within which
it is used, the more clearly shall we see its true values. Thus
'documentary' analysis will lead out to 'social' analysis,
whether in a lived culture, a past period, or in the selective
tradition which is itself a social organization. And the dis-
covery of permanent contributions will lead to the same kind
of general analysis, if we accept the process at this level, not
as human perfection (a movement towards determined
values), but as a part of man's general evolution, to which
many individuals and groups contribute. Every element that
we analyse will be in this sense active: that it will be seen in
certain real relations, at many different levels. In describing
these relations, the real cultural process will emerge.

## II




 have been discussing.
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 Sporting and Police Gazette, and Newspaper of Romance, and a characteristic headline is 'Daring Conspiracy and Attempted
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 the period. Thus, technical changes (in newspapers, developed steam-printing and rotary presses; in books, inkblocking on cloth) provicled part of the basis of the printed expansion. The railway boom led to new reading needs and, more centrally, to new points of distribution. Yet the kind of people who made use of these technical opportunities must equally attract our attention. There is an important increase, in this decade, in the entry of pure speculators into these profitable businesses: Lloyd and Bell, in newspapers and periodicals, combining (as did Reynolds more seriously) a generalized radicalism with a sharp commercial instinct; or, in the theatre, the essential beginning of the ownership of theatres by men not directly concerned with the drama, but品 actor-managers and companies, a method that has had a profound effect on English theatrical development. Again, a large part of the impetus to cheap periodical publishing was the desire to control the development of working-class opinion, and in this the observable shift from popular educational journals to family magazines (the latter the immediate ancestors of the women's magazines of our own time) is significant. Respectable schemes of moral and domestic improvement became deeply entangled with the teaching and implication of particular social values, in the interests of the existing class society. These changes, in a wide field, are necessary parts of the real cultural process that we must examine.

As we move into this wider field, we see, of course, that the selective tradition operates here as in the documents. The institutional developments just noted, representing a critical phase in the commercial organization of popular culture, interest us primarily because they relate to a subsequent major trend. So also do developments of a different kind, in the same field; the beginnings of public museums (a limited
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72 in may be, it is clear that the fiction mentioned was not merely the reading of the degraded poor, but that, at least for railway journeys, this was the taste of 'persons of the better class'. If we take the whole range of readers, we must include an author not yet mentioned, G. W. M. Reynolds, of whom The Bookseller at his death said that he was 'the most popular writer of our time', having previously said that he had written more and sold in far greater numbers than Dickens. Reynolds was at his height in the new popular




 illegally produced and distributed from the 'filthy cellars 'ว1人โлер јо sy,


 historical, religious and poetic writing. The operation of
 of as the characteristic work of the period, hardly needs stressing.
 led out to the social history of the period. We come to see certain crucial changes in cultural institutions: the effective establishment of a popular Sunday press as the most successful element in journalism; the growth of new kinds of periodical, combining sensational and romantic fiction with


 in 1845 , the year in which the new-type London fournal began) ; the coming of cheap another with half-crown and



75 with Macaulay, for example, that universal suffrage is 'incompatible with the very existence of civilization'. Yet other
 the General Strike of 1926 , it was a tragic example of 'the wrong way to get change', the right way being the actually succeeding phase; or, again, that it was muddled and even ridiculous, with its oddly mixed supporters and its monster petitions which were simply disregarded. But the fact is that we have no adequate history of Chartism; we have substitutes for such a history, on one or other of the partial versions thrown up by the selective tradition. We see from this, also, the importance of our theoretical observation on one aspect of the working of the selective tradition: that it is not only affected, even governed, by subsequent main lines of growth, but also changes, as it were retrospectively, in terms of subsequent change. The attention now given to the growth of working-class movements in the nineteenth century would

 these movements, or commitment to them. The stress on economic history has a similar basis of retrospective change.
 tion needs separate examination. To a considerable extent it is true that the work we now know from the 1840 is the best work of the period: that repeated reading, in a variety of
 there are other factors. Mrs Gaskell and probably Disraeli survive by this criterion, but in both their cases there are other affecting elements: in Mrs Gaskell the documentary interest that is useful to a social history preoccupied by this period; in Disraeli, the fact of his subsequent fame in politics. Kingsley's novels, in my view, would not have survived on literary merit at all, but again they have some documentary interest, and his contribution to intellectual history, in
 Dickens, and Charlotte Brontë survive on strict literaxy merit,



## 

and public parks (allowed from the rates in 184.7). The fierce controversy surrounding these innovations (from the charges



 this decade brought crucial developments in the commercial exploitation of culture, in its valuable popular expansion, and in enlightened public provision. This is the reality that various strands of the selective tradition tend to reduce, seeking always a single line of development.

This is true also of the general political and social history of the period. As I see it, it is dominated by seven features. There is the crucial Free Trade victory in the Repeal of the Corn Laws, in 1846 . There is the virtual re-creation of a


 of working-class political consciousness. There is the factory legislation, culminating in the Ten Hours Bill of 1847 . There
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would now be said by many critics to be the finest novelist of

 related to changes in twentieth-century literature, moving towards the theme and language of Wuthering Heights and away from the main fictional tradition of the decade in which


 of Matthew Arnold's 1849 poems if he had not subsequently acquired a reputation of a different kind. We read Carlyle,

 traditions. But, where we read Thomas Arnold, it is because алеч әм 'и!ร̊п
 relations between art and society; where we read Macaulay, we read perhaps with less interest, not because his ability seems less, but because his way of thinking seems increasingly irrelevant. Thus the selective tradition, which we can be certain will continue to change, is in part the emphasis of works of general value, in part the use of past work in terms of our own growth. The selective tradition which relates to this period is different from the period itself, just as the period culture, consciously studied, is necessarily different from the culture as lived.
 tradition, tends to specialization of different classes of activity, and we must look now at the area of relations between these, to see if our theoretical description of such relations is valid. We have already seen one important class of relationships, in the field of cultural institutions. Such factors in the society as the class situation (particularly the range of middle-class attitudes to the dissident working class), the technical expansion which followed from the growth of an industrial economy, and the kinds of ownership and distribu-
 such institutions as the press, book publishing, and the

The Analysis of Culture
77 theatre, and the form of these institutions, with the purposes



 we are reasonably familiar, but it is not the only kind.

A second kind, in which, knowing the society, we look for its direct reflection in cultural work, is, in this period, quite clear. Of the seven general features listed, from the political and social history of the 1840 s, all are extensively reflected in contemporary literature, particularly in the novel. If weread only Mary Barton, Sybil, Coningsby, Dombey and Son, Yeast,


 several novels of the crisis of religious belief and affiliation), and the politics of Free Trade and Young England. The

 and discussion, we shall find it difficult to estimate even these.

The further area of relations, that we must now examine, is that described and interpreted by such concepts as the social character and the structure of feeling. The dominant social character of the period can be briefly outlined. There is the belief in the value of work, and this is seen in relation to
 in these terms. A class society is assumed, but social position
 The poor are seen as the victims of their own failings, and it is strongly held that the best among them will climb out of their class. A punitive Poor Law is necessary in order to stimulate effort; if a man could fall back on relief, without grave hardship in the form of separation from his family, minimum sustenance, and such work as stone-breaking or oakumpicking, he would not make the necessary effort to provide for himself. In this and a wider field, suffering is in one sense ennobling, in that it teaches humility and courage, and leads

79 charity against Whig rehabilitation; brutality and repression against positive civilization through institution. Some of the best criticism of the Whig Poor Law
 axe uoissaidax pue Kł! ready, in crisis, but as compared with the twenties and thirties, are being steadily abandoned in favour of positive legislation. Play may be frowned on by the social character, but the decade shows a large increase in light entertainment, from cheap novels to the music-halls. Notonly is the dominant
 in its shadow, but alternative social characters lead to the real conflicts of the time. This is a central difficulty of the social character concept, for in stressing a dominant abstraction it seriously underestimates the historical process of change and conflict, which are found even when, as in the 1840s, such a social character is very strong. For we must add another alternative, of major importance: the developing

 punitive rehabilitation, of the gospel of success and the pride of birth, of the real nature of work and the exposure to suffering, working-class people were beginning to formulate alternative ideals. They had important allies from the interaction of the other systems, and could be a major force either in the Corn Laws repeal or in the Factory legislation, when these were sponsored by different sections of the ruling class. But the 1840 show an important development of independent aims, though these are to be realized, mainly, through alliance with other groups. Thus Chartism is an ideal beyond the terms of any dominant group in the society, and is
 an assertion of an individual dignity transcending class. The Ten Hours Bill, in working-class minds, was more than a good piece of paternal legislation on work: it was also the claim to leisure, and hence again to a wider life. At the same time, in their own developing organizations, the most radical criticism of all was being made: the refusal of
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to the hard dedication to duty. Thrift, sobriety, and piety are
 tion. The sanctity of marriage is absolute, and adultery and fornication are unpardonable. Duty includes helping the weak provided that the help is not of such a kind as to confirm
 poor, are weaknesses by this definition. Training to the
 obligation to see that the institutions for such training are strengthened.

This can be fairly called the dominant social character of the period, if' we look at its characteristic legislation, the terms in which this was argued, the majority content of public writing and speaking, and the characters of the men most admired. Yet, of course, as a social character, it varied"con-

 look more closely at the period and realize that alternative social characters were in fact active, and that these affected, in important ways, the whole life of the time. A social

 of the morality of the industrial and commercial middle class - was at this time the most powerful. At the same time, there were other social characters with substantial bases in the society. The aristocratic character was visibly weakening,
 that work was not the sole social value and that civilization




 of one's station, very different from punitive rehabilitation,
 a natural habit of repression, which again differ from the


18
 pidity and depravity stressed, their mutual help ignored; the absolute sanctity of marriage, the manipulation of plot to
 weakness, however terrible, as one of the main creators of humble virtue. All this, often consciously didactic, is the direct expression of the dominant social character, and the assumptions tend to be shared by the pious 'improving' fiction (cf. Mrs Tonna's Helen Fleetwood) and by the sensational fiction which the improvers condemned. But then we are
 systems of value, often through stereotyped conventions of character. The 'fashionable novel' of high life only became unfashionable late in the decade. The typical hero is sometimes the successful exponent of self-help, but often he is an
 е әinseәd spuy очм иеши әчі иәла 'тпоиоч јо эрог е кя
 and the need to work were misfortunes to be endured; to
 to work came in only slowly, for understandable reasons.
 dull for a really interesting novel.) Further, heroes of either kind are capable of strong overt emotion; they can burst into public tears, or even swoon, as strong men used to do but were soon to do no more. Heroines have more continuity: they are weak, dependent, and shown as glad to be so, and of course they are beautiful and chaste. One interesting factor, obviously related to a continuing general attitude in the

 ness, mean, cruel and educationally ridiculous, but also they are inferior to the home and family, as a way of bringing up
 of English public opinion believed that home education was the ideal. From the sixteenth century, this belief had been gaining ground, and its complete reversal, with the new
public-school ethos after Arnold, is of considerable general

We can then distinguish three social characters operative in the period, and it is with the study of relations between them that we enter the reality of the whole life. All contribute to the growth of the society: the aristocratic ideals tempering the harshness of middle-class ideals at their worst; workingclass ideals entering into a fruitful and decisive combination
 character remains dominant, and both aristocrats and working people, in many respects, come to terms with it.
 the forties is in many respects modified as the forties end. The values of work and self-help, of social position by status
 sis on thrift, sobriety and charity, are still dominant. But

 joined by a major ideal of public service, in which the effort towards civilization is actively promoted by a genuine altruism and the making of positive institutions.

This is one level of change, and such analysis is necessary if we are to explore the reality of the social character. In some respects, the structure of feeling corresponds to the dominant social character, but it is also an expression of the interaction described. Again, however, the structure of feeling is not uniform throughout the society; it is primarily evident in the dominant productive group. At this level, however, it is different from any of the distinguishable social characters, for it has to deal not only with the public ideals but with their omissions and consequences, as lived. If we look at the fiction of the forties, we shall see this clearly.

The popular fiction of the periodicals, so carefully studied by Dalziel, is very interesting in this context. At first sight we
 society, but with the stress on wealth rather than birth (aristocrats, indeed, being often personally vicious) ; the con-
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 death, in which the unloving partner shows great qualities of
 be consummated. In money, the process is similar: legacies,





 the actual structure of feeling.

The use of the Empire is similar but more complex. Of course there were actual legacies, and these eventually
 at this stage, lay in their timing. But the Empire was a more 7sol əq p
 return with fortunes; the weak of every kind could be transferred to it, to make a new life. Often indeed, the Empire is


 lands could be seen as self-help and enterprise of the purest
 labourers, and emigration as a solution to working-class prob-
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 conscious policy. Meanwhile, alongside this reflection of real factors, there was the use as magic: characters whose destinies could not be worked out within the system as given were simply put on the boat, a simpler way of resolving the conflict between ethic and experience than any radical questioning
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importance. But the new attitude does not appear in fiction until Tom Brown's Schooldays in 1857.

In the popular fiction of the forties, then, we find many marks of older ways of feeling, as well as faithful reproduction of certain standard feelings of the approved social character. We find also, in an interesting way, the interaction between these and actual experience. The crucial point, in this period, is in the field of success and money. The confident assertions of the social character, that success followed effort, and that wealth was the mark of respect, had to contend, if only unconsciously, with a practical world in which things were not so simple. The confidence of this fiction is often only superficial. What comes through with great force is a pervasive atmosphere of instability and debt. A normal element, in these stories, is the loss of fortune, and this is hardly ever presented in terms consistent with the social character: that success or failure correspond to personal quality. Debt and
 of cases simply happen to the characters, as a result of a
 social character are maintained: if you lose your fortune, you get out of the way - you cannot embarrass yourself or your friends by staying. But this ruthless code is ordinarily con-
 For the people who matter, some other expedient is necessary. It is found, over the whole range of fiction, by two devices: the unexpected legacy, and the Empire. These devices are extremely interesting, both at the level of magic and at the level of developing attitudes necessary to the society.

Magic is indeed necessary, to postpone the conflict between the ethic and the experience. It is widely used in sexual situations, where hero or heroine is tied to an unloved wife or husband, while the true lover waits in the wings. Solutions involving infidelity or breaking the marriage are normally unthinkable, and so a formula is evolved, for standard use:

 within the whole culture are made clear: relations that can





 floods through the work, in such a way as to make it relevant

 Charlotte Brontë, taking lonely personal desire to an intensity that really questions the conventions by which it is



 of the time. These are the creative elements, though the




 literature they emerge carrying an irresistible authenticity, not merely as exemplars of the accidents of the social system,




 of social character, the society might be confident of its
 seem to us, at least, the personal and social reality of the иеш 'рәz! alone, afraid, a victim: this is the enduring experience. The magic solutions will be grasped at, in many cases, in the end,
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of the ethic. This method had the additional advantage that

 social problems of the time; there could be only individual solutions, the rescue by legacy or emigration, the resolution by some timely change of heart.
Now the fascinating thing about the structure of feeling as described is that it is present in almost all the novels we now read as literature, as well as in the now disregarded popular fiction. This is true of the reflections and of the magic. Disraeli seems daring in dramatizing the two-nation problem in the love of an aristocrat and a Chartist girl, but Sybil, following the pattern of almost all poor heroines in such situations in the periodicals, is discovered in the end to be 'really' a dispossessed aristocrat. (The uniting of the two nations is in fact, in Disraeli, the combination of agricultural
 and the same pattern is followed in Coningsby, where the young aristocrat marries the Lancashire manufacturer's daughter, and is elected for an industrial constituency.) Mrs Gaskell, though refusing the popular fiction that the poor suffered by

 loved characters to Canada. Kingsley, in Alton Locke, sends his Chartist hero to America. And these are the humane critics, in many ways dissenting from the social character, but remaining bound by the structure of feeling.

The same correspondence is evident in novels less concerned with the problems of the society. The novels of Charlotte and Anne Brontë are, in terms of plot and structure of feeling, virtually identical with many stories in the periodicals: the governess-heroine, the insane wife or alcoholic magic. Dickens, similarly, uses the situations, the feelings, and the magic of periodical fiction again and again.

This connexion between the popular structure of feeling and that used in the literature of the time is of major importance in the analysis of culture. It is here, at a level even more
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 We find some art expressing feelings which the society, in its general character, could not express. These may be the creative responses which bring new feelings to light. They may be also the simple record of omissions: the nourishment

 kind of evidence clearly. The characteristic verse of Tennyson and Arnold in the decade, from Morte d'Arthur and




 to mark a further and perhaps disastrous moving away from


 can link with this the general romanticizing of the past, at a
 ч


 romanticism of the vision of a fuller human life is the sense of
 period, of certain basic human needs. The magic and tinsel of illegitimate theatre and music-hall, the ornate furnishing, the Gothicism in architecture, belong in the same category. And 1848 , the last year of the Chartists, is also the first year


 an element of the general human organization which found expression in this specific way, and which must be set in
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a novel like Wuthering Heights, which rejects so much more of วч7 ‘Кұ!
 through, finally, by an absolute human commitment. The commitment is realized through death, and the essential tragedy, embodied elsewhere in individual figures who may, by magic, be rescued from it, becomes the form of the whole work. The creative elements in the other fiction are raised to a wholeness which takes the work right outside the ordinary structure of feeling, and teaches a new feeling.

Art reflects its society and works a social character through to its reality in experience. But also art creates, by new perceptions and responses, elements which the society, as such, is not able to realize. If we compare art with its society, we find a series of real relationships showing its deep and central connexions with the rest of the general life. We find description, discussion, exposition through plot, and experience of

 problems of the society: often admitted to consciousness for the first time in this way. Part of this evidence will show a alse consciousness, designed to prevent yet uncharted, to
 latter feeling, in 1848 :

The day will come when there will be a temple of white marble, where sweet incense and anthems shall rise to the memory of every man and woman who has had a deep Ahnung, a presentiment, a yearning, or a clear vision of the time when this miserable reign of of the sea' - society no more like a face one half of which - the side of profession, of lip-faith - is fair and God-like, the other half - the side of deeds and institutions - with a hard old wrinkled skin puckered into the sneer of a Mephistopheles.
 this desire. And at this point we find ourselves moving into a
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> Finally, as welook its creative activities are to be found, not only in art but, following the main lines of the society, in industry a social eering, and, questioning the trial Revolution if we fail to recognize the real miracle that
 again, even by critics of the society, the excitement of this extraordinary release of man's powers was acknowledged and shared. The society could not have been acceptable to anybody, without that. 'These are our poems', Carlyle said in 1842, looking at one of the new locomotives, and this element, now so easily overlooked, is central to the whole culture.

In a quite different way, in new institutions, the slow creation of different images of community, different forms of relationship, by the newly-organizing workers and by middleclass reformers, marks a reaching-out of the mind of comparable importance. We cannot understand even the creative part of a culture without reference to activities of this kind, in industry and institutions, which are as strong and as
valuable an expression of direct human feeling as the major art and thought.

To make a complete analysis of the culture of the 1840 s would go far beyond the scope and intention of this chapter. I have simply looked at this fascinating decade as a way of considering what any such analysis involves. I have only indicated the ways in which it might begin, but I think it is clear that analysis of the kind described is feasible, and that the exploration of relations between apparently separate
 as we follow the analysis through, and as we see the ways in

 theoretical distinctions which follow from it, arc valid.

