(a) We consider sequences consisting of opening and closing parentheses. A sequence is *well-formed*, if it corresponds to a sequence of parentheses in some well-formed arithmetic expression: for example, the sequences '((((())))', '()(())()((()))', '' (empty sequence), are well-formed, while the sequences ')(', '(()))', '()(()', are not. Design an efficient BSP algorithm that, given an array of characters containing a sequence of parentheses, determines whether it is well-formed. Describe the main idea for any BSP algorithm used as a subroutine; you do not need to describe any such algorithms in detail. [12]

Solution: Denote the sequence of parentheses by x[i], where $0 \le i < n$. Define an integer array y of size n as

$$y[i] \leftarrow \begin{cases} 1 & x[i] = `(`, \\ -1 & x[i] = `)`. \end{cases}$$

Let z be an array of prefix sums of y:

$$z[i] \gets \sum_{0 \leq j \leq i} y[j]$$

Intuitively, z[i] gives the nesting depth at position immediately following parenthesis x[i]. Sequence x is well-formed, if and only if $z[i] \ge 0$ for all i, $0 \le i < n$, and z[n-1] = 0.

The algorithm proceeds as follows. Each processor reads a contiguous block of n/p elements of sequence x, and initialises a corresponding block of array y. The processors then perform the BSP prefix sums algorithm on array y, using the addition operator; as a result, each processor obtains a contiguous block of the prefix sums array z. Finally, each processor checks the conditions $z[i] \ge 0$ for all $i, 0 \le i < n$, and z[n-1] = 0 on its local block of array z, and notifies a designated processor if at least one of the conditions is violated. The designated processor returns "false (not well-formed)" if it has received such a notification from any of the processors, otherwise is returns "true (well-formed)".

(b) For the algorithm developed in part (b), give its asymptotic BSP cost, justifying your answers, and stating all necessary assumptions. [5]

Solution: (Application) The input and output run in O(n/p) local computation and communication, and one superstep. The prefix sums subroutine runs in O(n/p) local computation and communication, and O(1) supersteps. The final checks run in O(n/p) local computation and O(p) communication. Therefore, the whole algorithm has local computation cost O(n/p), communication cost O(n/p) and synchronisation cost O(1). For the prefix sums subroutine, we need $n/p \ge p$, hence $n \ge p^2$.