

# Markov chain approximations for transition densities of Lévy processes

M. Vidmar (with A. Mijatović & S. Jacka)

## 1 Abstract

We consider the convergence of a continuous time Markov chain (CTMC) approximation  $X^h$ ,  $h > 0$ , to an  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued Lévy process  $X$ . The state space of  $X^h$  is an equidistant lattice and its  $Q$ -matrix is chosen to approximate the generator of  $X$ . Under a general sufficient condition for the existence of transition densities of  $X$  we establish sharp convergence rates of the normalised probability mass function of  $X^h$  to the probability density function of  $X$ .

## 2 Motivation

Discretization schemes for stochastic processes are relevant both *theoretically*, as they shed light on the nature of the underlying stochasticity, and *practically*, since they lend themselves well to numerical methods. Consequently there has been a plethora of publications devoted to the subject, see e.g. [1]. In particular, there is also a wealth of existing literature concerning approximations of Lévy processes in one form or another and a brief overview of simulation techniques is given by [3].

## 3 Setting

Let  $\Psi$  be the characteristic exponent corresponding to a Lévy process in law  $X$  with characteristic triplet  $(\sigma^2, \lambda, \mu)_c - \tilde{c}(y) := \mathbb{1}_{[-V, V]}(y)$  is the cut-off function and  $V$  is 1 or 0, the latter only if  $\int_{[-1, 1]} |x| d\lambda(x) < \infty$ . Recall that  $X$  is then a Markov process with transition function  $P_{t,T}(x, B) := \mathbb{P}(X_{T-t} \in B - x)$  ( $0 \leq t \leq T$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ ) and characteristic function  $\phi_{X_t}(p) = \mathbb{E}[e^{ipX_t}] = \exp\{t\Psi(p)\}$  ( $p \in \mathbb{R}$ ).

Fix  $h > 0$ . Consider a CTMC  $X^h = (X_t^h)_{t \geq 0}$  approximating our Lévy process  $X$  (in law). We describe  $X^h$  as having state space  $\mathbb{Z}_h$ , initial state  $X_0^h = 0$ , a.s. and an infinitesimal generator  $L^h$  given by a spatially homogeneous  $Q$ -matrix  $Q^h$  (i.e.  $Q_{ss'}^h$  depends only on  $s - s'$ , for  $\{s, s'\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_h$ ).

It remains to specify  $Q^h$ . To this end we discretise on  $\mathbb{Z}_h$  the infinitesimal generator  $L$  of the Lévy process  $X$ , thus obtaining  $L^h$ . Recall that [4, p. 208]:

$$Lf(x) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} f''(x) + \mu f'(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} [f(x+y) - f(x) - yf'(x)\mathbb{1}_{[-V, V]}(y)] d\lambda(y)$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and every twice continuously differentiable  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $f, f', f''$  vanishing at infinity. We thus have **discretisation scheme 1**, for  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_h$  and  $f: \mathbb{Z}_h \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  vanishing at infinity:

$$L^h f(s) = \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^2 + c_0^h) \frac{f(s+h) + f(s-h) - 2f(s)}{h^2} + (\mu - \mu^h) \frac{f(s+h) - f(s-h)}{2h} + \sum_{s' \in \mathbb{Z}_h \setminus \{0\}} [f(s+s') - f(s)] c_{s'}^h \quad (1)$$

where we have introduced  $c_s^h := \lambda(A_s^h)$  with  $A_s^h := (s - h/2, s + h/2]$  if  $s > 0$  and  $A_s^h := [s - h/2, s + h/2)$  if  $s < 0$  ( $s \in \mathbb{Z}_h \setminus \{0\}$ ); finally (letting  $A_0^h := [-h/2, h/2]$ ;  $\delta^0 \in \{0, 1\}$ ):

$$c_0^h := \delta^0 \int_{A_0^h} y^2 \mathbb{1}_{[-V, V]}(y) d\lambda(y) \quad \mu^h := \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_h \setminus \{0\}} s \int_{A_s^h} \mathbb{1}_{[-V, V]}(y) d\lambda(y).$$

We reserve the right to set  $c_0^h$  to 0 even if  $V \neq 0$ , hence the presence of  $\delta^0 \in \{0, 1\}$ . Note that  $Q^h$  has nonnegative off-diagonal entries for all  $h$  for which:

$$\frac{\sigma^2 + c_0^h}{2h^2} + \frac{\mu - \mu^h}{2h} + c_h^h \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\sigma^2 + c_0^h}{2h^2} - \frac{\mu - \mu^h}{2h} + c_{-h}^h \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

and in that case  $Q^h$  is a genuine regular  $Q$ -matrix. When  $\sigma^2 > 0$ , it is shown that (2) always obtains, at least for all sufficiently small  $h$ , but not in general. When  $\sigma^2 = 0$  we shall thus employ an alternative discretisation for which the above condition holds vacuously. The changes are as follows.

First, (1) now reads (letting  $\text{sgn} := \mathbb{1}_{(0, \infty)} - \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, 0)}$ ):

$$L^h f(s) = \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^2 + c_0^h) \frac{f(s+h) + f(s-h) - 2f(s)}{h^2} + \sum_{s' \in \mathbb{Z}_h \setminus \{0\}} [f(s+s'+h\text{sgn}(s')) - f(s)] c_{s'}^h + (\mu - \mu^h) \left( \frac{f(s+h) - f(s)}{h} \mathbb{1}_{[0, \infty)} + \frac{f(s) - f(s-h)}{h} \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, 0]} \right) (\mu - \mu^h)$$

So, in place of  $(\mu - \mu^h) \frac{f(s+h) - f(s-h)}{2h}$  we have rather  $(\mu - \mu^h) \frac{f(s+h) - f(s)}{h}$  or  $(\mu - \mu^h) \frac{f(s) - f(s-h)}{h}$  according as  $\mu - \mu^h \geq 0$  or  $\mu - \mu^h \leq 0$ . We have also modified slightly the final summation in  $L^h$ . This will turn out to be advantageous when considering the convergence of the transition kernel with  $\sigma^2 = 0$  and is made solely for this purpose. Namely, it allows to control the decay of the characteristic functions of the process  $X$  and the approximating CTMCs at infinity, uniformly in the discretisation parameter  $h$ . It is possible that one could establish convergence rates even without this modification but our proof technique relies on it.

Second, we have:

$$\mu^h := \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_h \setminus \{0\}} (s + h\text{sgn}(s)) \int_{A_s^h} \mathbb{1}_{[-V, V]}(y) d\lambda(y).$$

Everything else remains unchanged. We shall refer to this as **discretisation scheme 2**.

## 4 Assumptions

The assumption alluded to in the abstract is the following:

**Assumption 1.** Either  $\sigma^2 > 0$  or Orey's condition holds:

$$\exists \epsilon \in (0, 2) \quad \text{such that} \quad \liminf_{r \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{r^{2-\epsilon}} \int_{[-r, r]} u^2 d\lambda(u) > 0.$$

The usage of the two schemes and the specification of  $\delta^0$  and  $V$ , depending on the nature of  $\sigma^2$  and  $\lambda$ , is as summarized in the following table (for the definition of  $\kappa$  see (5)).

Lévy measure/diffusion part	$\sigma^2 > 0$ (scheme 1)	$\sigma^2 = 0$ (scheme 2)
$\lambda(\mathbb{R}) < \infty$	$V = \delta^0 = 0$	$\times$
$\kappa(0) < \infty = \lambda(\mathbb{R})$	$V = 1$ and $\delta^0 = 0$	
$\kappa(0) = \infty$	$V = \delta^0 = 1$	

## 5 Transition kernels

Under Assumption 1 for every  $t > 0$ ,  $\phi_{X_t} \in L^1(\text{Leb})$  where  $\text{Leb}$  is Lebesgue measure and (for  $0 \leq t < T$ ,  $\{x, y\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ ) the continuous transition density for  $X$ , from  $x$  at time  $t$  to  $y$  at time  $T$ , is:

$$p_{t,T}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\{ip(x-y)\} \exp\{\Psi(p)(T-t)\} dp. \quad (3)$$

Similarly, with  $\Psi^h$  denoting the characteristic exponent of the compound Poisson process  $X^h$  (for  $0 \leq t < T$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{Z}_h$ ,  $\mathbb{P}_{X_t^h}$ -a.s. in  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_h$ )  $P_{t,T}^h(x, y) := \mathbb{P}(X_T^h = y | X_t^h = x)$  is given by:

$$\frac{1}{h} P_{t,T}^h(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{h}}^{\frac{\pi}{h}} \exp\{ip(x-y)\} \exp\{\Psi^h(p)(T-t)\} dp. \quad (4)$$

Note that the RHS is defined even if  $\mathbb{P}(X_t^h = x) = 0$  and we let the LHS be this value when this is so.

## 6 Rates of convergence for the transition densities

For  $0 \leq t < T$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_h$  define:

$$\Delta_{T-t}(h) := \sup_{\{x, y\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_h} D_{t,T}^h(x, y) \quad \text{where} \quad D_{t,T}^h(x, y) := \left| p_{t,T}(x, y) - \frac{1}{h} P_{t,T}^h(x, y) \right|$$

and we shall formulate the convergence rates in terms of the following key quantity:

$$\kappa(h) := \int_{[-1, 1] \setminus [-h, h]} |x| d\lambda(x). \quad (5)$$

**Theorem 1.** Under Assumption 1, whenever  $s > 0$ , the convergence of  $\Delta_s(h)$  is as summarized in the following table. In general convergence is no better than stipulated.

$\Delta_s(h)$	$\lambda(\mathbb{R}) = 0$	$0 < \lambda(\mathbb{R}) < \infty$	$\kappa(0) < \infty = \lambda(\mathbb{R})$	$\kappa(0) = \infty$
$\sigma^2 > 0$	$O(h^2)$	$O(h)$	$O(h)$	$O(h\kappa(h/2))$
$\sigma^2 = 0$	$\times$	$\times$		

**Remark 1.**  $X^h$  converges to  $X$  weakly in finite-dimensional distributions as  $h \downarrow 0$ .

The proof of Theorem 1 is in two steps:

- (i) Establish the convergence rates of the characteristic exponents of  $X_t$  and  $X_t^h$ .
- (ii) Apply the latter to study the convergence of the transition densities via (3) and (4).

For more detail on the proof, as well as a more nuanced statement of the results, we refer to the article [2].

**Example:** If  $\lambda([-1, 1] \setminus [-h, h]) \sim 1/h^{1+\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , then  $\kappa(h) \sim h^{-\alpha}$  and the convergence of the normalized probability mass function to the transition density is by Theorem 1 of order  $h^{1-\alpha}$ . (We use the notation  $f \sim g$  to mean  $\lim_{h \downarrow 0} f(h)/g(h) \in (0, \infty)$ .)

## 7 Conclusion and extensions

CTMCs represent a much simpler class of processes for which many of the quantities are more easily calculated as for a general Lévy process. In particular, this is true of scale functions — where a spectrally negative Lévy process is approximated by an “upwards-skip-free Lévy chain” — and convergence rates for these are currently work in progress.

**Acknowledgement:** The support of the Slovene Human Resources Development and Scholarship Fund under contract number 11010-543/2011 is recognized.

## References

- [1] Peter E. Kloeden and Eckhard Platen. *Numerical solution of stochastic differential equations*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin; New York, 1992.
- [2] Aleksandar Mijatović, Matija Vidmar, and Saul Jacka. Markov chain approximations for transition densities of Lévy processes. 2012. arXiv:1211.0476 [math.PR].
- [3] Jan Rosiński. Simulation of Lévy processes in *Encyclopedia of Statistics in Quality and Reliability: Computationally Intensive Methods and Simulation*. Wiley, 2008.
- [4] K. I. Sato. *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions*. Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.